

Quantum Harmonic Analysis and Geometric Invariants*

Arthur Jaffe
Harvard University

September 1997

Abstract

We develop two topics in parallel and show their inter-relation. The first centers on the notion of a fractional-differentiable structure on a commutative or a non-commutative space. We call this study *quantum harmonic analysis*. The second concerns homotopy invariants for these spaces and is an aspect of non-commutative geometry.

We study an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, which will be a Banach algebra with unit, represented as an algebra of operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. In order to obtain a geometric interpretation of $\mathfrak{A}$, we define a derivative on elements of $\mathfrak{A}$. We do this in a Hilbert space context, taking da as a commutator $da = [Q, a]$. Here Q is a basic self-adjoint operator with discrete spectrum, increasing sufficiently rapidly that $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$ has a trace whenever $\beta > 0$.

We can define fractional differentiability of order μ , with $0 < \mu \leq 1$, by the boundedness of $(Q^2 + I)^{\mu/2} a (Q^2 + I)^{-\mu/2}$. Alternatively we can require the boundedness of an appropriate smoothing (Bessel potential) of da . We find that it is convenient to assume the boundedness of $(Q^2 + I)^{-\beta/2} da (Q^2 + I)^{-\alpha/2}$, where we choose $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta < 1$. We show that this also ensures a fractional derivative of order $\mu = 1 - \beta$ in the first sense. We define a family of interpolation spaces $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$. Each such space is a Banach algebra of operator, whose elements have a fractional derivative of order $\mu = 1 - \beta > 0$.

We concentrate on subalgebras $\mathfrak{A}$ of $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$ which have certain additional covariance properties under a group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathfrak{G}$ acting on $\mathcal{H}$ by a unitary representation $\gamma \times U(g)$. In addition, the derivative Q is assumed to be $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant. The geometric interpretation flows from the assumption that elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ possess an arbitrarily small fractional derivative. We study homotopy invariants of $\mathfrak{A}$ in terms of equivariant, entire cyclic cohomology. In fact, the existence of a fractional derivative on $\mathfrak{A}$ allows the construction of the cochain τ^{JLO} , which plays the role of the integral of differential forms. We give a simple expression for a homotopy invariant $\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g)$, determined by pairing τ^{JLO} , with a $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant element $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, such that a is a square root of the identity. This invariant is $\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-Q^2 + itda} \right) dt$.

*Supported in part by the Department of Energy under Grant DE-FG02-94ER-25228 and by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-94-24344.

This representation of the pairing is reminiscent of the heat-kernel representation for an index. In fact this quantity is an invariant, in the following sense. We isolate a simple condition on a family $Q(\lambda)$ of differentiations that yields a continuously-differentiable family $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ of cochains. Since $\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g)$ need not be an integer, continuity of $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ in λ is insufficient to prove the constancy of the pairing. However the existence of the derivative leads to the existence of the homotopy. As $\langle \tau, a \rangle$ vanishes for τ a coboundary, and as $d\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)/d\lambda$ is a coboundary, our condition on $Q(\lambda)$ ensures that $\mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda)}(a; g)$ is independent of λ . Hence it is a homotopy invariant.

The theory of $\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g)$ reduces to the study of the Radon transform of sequences of certain functions. The fractional differentiability properties of elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ translate into properties of the asymptotics of the sequences of Radon transforms. The condition that τ^{JLO} fit into the framework of entire cyclic cohomology translates to the existence of some fractional derivative for functions in the algebra under study, and in particular the assumption $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Thus the study of fractionally-differentiable structures dove-tails naturally with the theory of homotopy invariants.

In our study of quantum harmonic analysis, we introduce spaces $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$ of operator-valued distributions. These spaces are bounded, linear operators between Sobolev spaces. The elements of the interpolation spaces, the Banach algebras $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$, have derivatives da which belong to the spaces $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$. For a certain range of β and α , we extend the theory of the Radon transform from products of regularized, bounded operators to products of regularized, operator-valued distributions.

We sometimes wish to evaluate such an invariant at the endpoint of an interval such as $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, where $\mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda)}(a; g)$ becomes singular as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. We discuss in brief a procedure to regularize the endpoint, and a method to recover $\mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda)}(a; g)$ fully from certain partial information at the endpoint.

Finally, we generalize this approach to cover the case when Q can be split into the sum of “independent” parts $Q_1 + Q_2$, such that $(Q_1 + Q_2)^2 = (Q_1)^2 + (Q_2)^2$. Here we assume that Q_1 and $(Q_2)^2$ are $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant, but not necessarily Q_2 . With further assumptions on a , the most important being that $(Q_1)^2 - (Q_2)^2$ commutes with a , we obtain a modified formula for an invariant, namely $\mathfrak{Z}^{\{Q_j\}}(a; g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-(Q_1^2 + Q_2^2)/2 + itd_1 a} \right) dt$.

Contents

I	Introduction	5
II	Cochains and Coboundary Operators	13
II.1	Spaces of Cochains	13
II.2	Elementary Linear Transformations	14
II.3	Coboundary Operators	15
II.4	Convergence of Cochains	18
III	Pairing a Cochain	18
III.1	$\langle \partial G, a \rangle = 0$ Determines a Pairing	19
III.2	A Generating Functional $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$ for τ	21
III.3	The Pairing Expressed in Terms of $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$	22
III.4	Pairing for Families	23
IV	The JLO Cochain (Differentiable Case)	24
IV.1	Heat Kernel Regularization and the Radon Transform	24
IV.2	Expectations and the Radon Transforms	28
IV.3	The Cochain τ^{JLO}	29
IV.4	The JLO Pairing and the Generating Functional	31
V	Fractionally Differentiable Structures	31
V.1	The Classical Picture	31
V.2	Sobolev Spaces in $\mathcal{H}$	34
V.3	Some Facts	35
V.4	Operator-Valued Generalized Functions	36
V.5	Interpolation Spaces	44
V.6	Generalized Schatten Classes	49
VI	Cocycles	54
VI.1	The JLO-Cochain Extends to Interpolation Spaces	54
VI.2	The JLO-Cochain is a Cocycle	55

VII Homotopy Invariants	57
VII.1 The Main Result: JLO Pairing is Invariant	57
VII.2 Regular Linear Deformations	59
VII.3 Regular Deformations	64
VII.4 The Basic Cochains $L(\lambda)$ and $h(\lambda)$	74
VII.5 Deformations of $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ Yield a Coboundary	78
VII.6 Equivalence of Parallel, Radon Hyperplanes	81
VIII End Points	82
VIII.1 End Point Regularization	82
VIII.2 Exchange of Limits	83
IX Split Structures	84
IX.1 A Q_1 -Invariant Splitting	85
IX.2 Observables	87
IX.3 The Cochain $\tau^{\{Q_i\}}$ and Invariants	88
IX.4 An Example of a Split Structure	89

I Introduction

We study algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ of bounded linear transformation on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Each algebra describes the geometry of a space, and we study homotopy invariants of this space. All this fits into Alain Connes' formulation of non-commutative geometry [3], as well as extensions of that theory studied by others. We emphasize here the analytic aspects of this theory, and in doing so we develop the relation between the details of the analysis and the existence of invariants.

The algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ will be a Banach algebra with a unit I , and with a norm $\| \cdot \|$ that dominates the operator norm $\| \cdot \|$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the algebra of all bounded linear transformations on $\mathcal{H}$. Thus for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\|a\| \leq \|a\|, \quad (\text{I.1})$$

and the injection $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is continuous.

In order to describe $\mathfrak{A}$ and the norm $\| \cdot \|$, we need to define a derivative on $\mathfrak{A}$. We do this in terms of a given, self-adjoint, linear transformation $Q = Q^*$ on $\mathcal{H}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}$. Assume that the spectrum of Q is discrete, and that the eigenvalues increase sufficiently rapidly that $\exp(-sQ^2)$ has a trace for every $s > 0$. Connes calls the condition Θ -summability [4]. The derivative da of an element of $\mathfrak{A}$ is defined by a commutator

$$da = [Q, a] = Qa - aQ. \quad (\text{I.2})$$

In general da is not an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, but it is always given by a (possibly unbounded) sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ with domain $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$.

We define Sobolev spaces in §V as the domain of fractional powers of Q . They are realized as a Gelfand family of Hilbert spaces, see [11]. Define $R = (Q^2 + I)^{-1/2}$ to be a smoothing operator of degree -1 . The space $\mathcal{H}_\mu$ is the domain of the operator $R^{-\mu}$ of degree μ . One definition of order- μ , bounded-differentiability of $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is that

$$R^{-\mu}aR^\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (\text{I.3})$$

In other words, (I.3) is the assertion that a is a bounded, linear transformation on $\mathcal{H}_\mu$.

Alternatively, because of the fundamental nature of the differential da , we wish to pose differentiability properties of a in terms of properties of da . The differentiable case for a becomes the assumption that da is a densely defined, bounded sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{H}$, so da uniquely determines a bounded, linear transformation in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For short, $da \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Equivalently, a is a bounded, linear transformation on $\mathcal{H}_1$. This assumption that $da \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is made in all earlier work. This ranges from the differentiable case studied in [6], to the smooth case in [17], where one assumes that for all n , $d^n \mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. (I am thankful to A. Connes for informing me that he and Moscovici have also considered certain algebras of pseudo-differential operators in [7].)

Here we do not assume that da is necessarily an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Rather, we require that da defines a bounded, linear transformation between certain Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}_p$. In §V we formulate the assumption that da belongs to an interpolation space of fractionally-differentiable operators. Namely we assume that da is a bounded map

$$da : \mathcal{H}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-\beta} \quad (\text{I.4})$$

where $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and

$$\alpha + \beta < 1. \quad (\text{I.5})$$

We also write (I.4) as $da \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$, where $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$ denotes the space of bounded, linear maps from $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ to $\mathcal{H}_{-\beta}$. The condition (I.5) is crucial to the resulting analysis. We show that (I.4–5) ensures that each a we consider has a fractional derivative of order $\mu = 1 - \beta > 0$. Such an element da is an operator-valued, generalized function. The differentiable case is $\beta = 0$.

In §V we define Banach algebras $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$ of elements in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which have the property (I.4) with $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and (I.5). We call the $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$ interpolation spaces and assume that

$$\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha},$$

including the requirement that

$$\|a\| \leq \|a\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}} \leq \|a\|.$$

We show in §V.5 that if $a, b \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$, then both $(da)b$ and $a^\gamma(db)$ are bounded maps from $\mathcal{H}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-\beta}$. We also show that the graded Leibniz rule

$$d(ab) = (da)b + a^\gamma(db) \quad (\text{I.6})$$

extends from the differentiable case, to the case of interpolation spaces $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$. (Here $a^\gamma = a$ if also $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, where (I.6) reduces to the ordinary Leibniz rule.) These considerations lead us to the notion of a fractionally-differentiable structure $\{\mathcal{H}, Q, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ on $\mathfrak{A}$, see §VI.

In addition to the differential acting from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$, we also assume that two groups act as automorphism groups of $\mathfrak{A}$. Each group will act on $\mathcal{H}$ as a strongly-continuous, unitary representation. We assume that this conjugation by this unitary defines a *-automorphism of $\mathfrak{A}$. The first group is $\mathbb{Z}_2$, which will be represented by a self-adjoint, unitary operator $\gamma = \gamma^* = \gamma^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The action on $\mathfrak{A}$ is given by,

$$a \rightarrow a^\gamma = \gamma a \gamma^{-1} = \gamma a \gamma, \quad (\text{I.7})$$

and we assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is pointwise invariant: $a = a^\gamma$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Furthermore we assume that

$$\gamma Q \gamma = Q^\gamma = -Q, \quad (\text{I.8})$$

so

$$(da)^\gamma = -d(a^\gamma). \quad (\text{I.9})$$

This structure is familiar from ordinary geometry.¹

The second group $\mathfrak{G}$ is represented by the continuous unitary representation $g \rightarrow U(g)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ and by the automorphism

$$a \rightarrow a^g = U(g)aU(g)^* \quad (\text{I.10})$$

of $\mathfrak{A}$. We define $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ which is pointwise invariant under the $\mathfrak{G}$, namely the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ which commutes with the representation $U(g)$,

$$a^g = a \quad \text{for} \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}. \quad (\text{I.11})$$

The group $U(g)$ is also assumed to commute with γ and with Q .

$$\gamma^g = U(g)\gamma U(g)^* = \gamma, \quad Q^g = U(g)QU(g)^* = Q. \quad (\text{I.12})$$

The group $\mathfrak{G}$ may equal the identity. However, if $\mathfrak{G}$ is not trivial, then it gives rise to an equivariant theory.

Before embarking on the analysis of interpolation spaces, we consider the theory of continuous, multi-linear functionals² on $\mathfrak{A}$. Once $\mathfrak{A}$ has a topology given by the norm $\|\cdot\|$, we can define spaces of continuous, multilinear functionals on $\mathfrak{A}$. These are the spaces of cochains, introduced in §II. In particular we focus on the space of entire chains

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_n \quad (\text{I.13})$$

where an element $f_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ is an $(n+1)$ -linear functional on $\mathfrak{A}$ which is also a functional on $\mathfrak{G}$. We use a complex of cochains for which $f_n(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = 0$ whenever any $a_j = I$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ (but not $j = 0$).

The *entire* condition on the functionals in $\mathcal{C}$ pertains to uniform asymptotics on the norm of f_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The sequence $f = \{f_n\}$ must satisfy

$$n^{1/2} \|f_n\|^{1/n} \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{I.14})$$

¹In the case that $\mathcal{H}$ is the L^2 -Hilbert space of differential forms on a smooth, compact manifold $\mathcal{M}$, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading γ may be taken to equal $(-1)^n$ on the subspace of forms of degree n . One can take the elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ to be smooth forms of degree zero (functions on $\mathcal{M}$). In that case, with d_{ext} the exterior derivative, a possible example of Q is $Q = d_{\text{ext}}^* + d_{\text{ext}}$, see §V.1.

²Such functionals often arise in a purely mathematical setting: in analysis, in probability theory, or in geometry. Furthermore, such functionals arise in mathematical physics: in statistical physics, in quantum theory, in quantum field theory, and in string theory. Within each of these fields, it may be true that one can represent a particular functional as a well-defined integral over a function space. When this is the case, the functional integral representation provides a powerful tool in order to prove mathematical properties of the functional in question, as well as a tool to estimate the functional, or possibly to evaluate it in closed form. In particular, constructive quantum field theory provides many examples of this phenomenon.

This condition will appear in a natural way in the construction that follows; the entire condition will be just the asymptotics needed to establish convergence of various power series and the existence of generating functionals that we define on elements of $\mathcal{C}$. This condition was introduced in [4], in order to prove the existence of a “normalization” operator. Because we use a different complex of cochains, we do not require normalization, but the entire condition remains a natural analytic assumption, useful for other reasons.

In §II we give some continuous, linear operators on the spaces of cochains including the three fundamental coboundary operators b , B , and $\partial = b + B$. The operator b is the Hochschild coboundary operator, B is the Connes coboundary operator, and $\partial = b + B$ is the coboundary operator of entire cyclic cohomology satisfying

$$\partial : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} , \quad \partial^2 = 0 . \quad (\text{I.15})$$

In §III we study a natural pairing $\langle \tau, a \rangle$ between cochains $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ and elements $a \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$, which satisfy $a^2 = I$. More generally, we may take $a^2 = I$ where $a \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}})$. Here $\text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}})$ denotes the space of $m \times m$ matrices with matrix entries $a_{ij} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$. We show in §III that the requirements

$$\langle \partial G, a \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{I.16})$$

for all $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ for which $a^2 = I$, determines the representation for a possible pairing. It is

$$\langle \tau, a \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \mathcal{J}(t; a; g) dt , \quad (\text{I.17})$$

where $\mathcal{J}(t; a; g)$ is a generating functional for the components of τ , defined as the alternating sum

$$\mathcal{J}(t; a; g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-t^2)^n \text{tr} \tau_{2n}(a, a, \dots, a; g) , \quad (\text{I.18})$$

see Corollary III.5.

In §IV we introduce a particular cochain, the JLO-cochain

$$\tau^{\text{JLO}} = \{\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}\} \in \mathcal{C} .$$

The n^{th} component τ_n^{JLO} of τ^{JLO} is an $(n+1)$ -multilinear functional on $\mathfrak{A}$,

$$\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, da_n; g \rangle . \quad (\text{I.19})$$

Here the expectation $\langle \rangle$ is defined in §IV and V in terms of the Radon transform of the heat-kernel regularized operator

$$X^{\text{JLO}}(s) = a_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} da_1 e^{-s_1 Q^2} \dots da_n e^{-s_n Q^2} , \quad (\text{I.20})$$

restricted to the sector $s_j > 0$, for $0 \leq j \leq n$. In this sector, with each $s_j > 0$, we show that $X^{\text{JLO}}(s)$ is trace class. In §V–VI, we show that the definition of τ^{JLO} extends to the algebras

$\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$ that we introduce here. The trace norm $\|X^{\text{JLO}}(s)\|_1$ of $X^{\text{JLO}}(s)$ may diverge on the hyperplane $s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_n = 1$ as any $s_j \rightarrow 0$. We will estimate the rate of divergence in terms of $\alpha + \beta$, using the bound on the norm $\|da\|_{\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)}$ of da in (I.4).

We establish the existence and the properties of the Radon transform, $\tau_n^{\text{JLO}} = \text{Tr}(\gamma U(g)(\int X^{\text{JLO}}(s)ds))$, where the integral is taken over the hyperplane $s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_n = 1$. In this step, we use the assumption $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Our estimates also allow us to justify the interchange of the integration and the trace in the expression defining τ_n^{JLO} ,

$$\int \left(\text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) X^{\text{JLO}}(s) \right) \right) ds = \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) \left(\int X^{\text{JLO}}(s) ds \right) \right) .$$

Thus we simply write

$$\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = \int_{s_j > 0} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(\theta) a_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} da_1 e^{-s_1 Q^2} \dots da_n e^{-s_n Q^2} \right) \delta(s_0 + \dots + s_n - 1) ds_0 ds_1 \dots ds_n . \quad (\text{I.21})$$

In Proposition VI.2 we prove that

$$n^{1/2} \|\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}\|^{1/n} \leq O \left(n^{-(1-\alpha-\beta)/2} \right) , \quad (\text{I.22})$$

which yields the required asymptotics (I.14). The behavior of (I.22) for large n is dependent on the analysis in §V–VI of the differentiability properties of elements of $\mathfrak{A}$. The importance of the order- μ , fractional-differentiability of elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ emerges once more. We require that the order of fractional derivative $\mu = 1 - \beta$ is greater than 0, which is part of the assumption (I.5). Our methods break down just at the point when elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ have no fractional derivative, or more precisely when $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

Parenthetically, we remark that in §V we introduce sets $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of n , operator-valued generalized functions, which we call sets of *vertices*. In our study of quantum harmonic analysis, we define expectations of such sets of operators, as a multilinear functional. Suppose there are $\alpha_j, \beta_j \geq 0$, with $\beta_{n+1} = \beta_0$, and such that for $0 \leq j \leq n$,

$$x_j \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta_j, \alpha_j) \quad \text{with } \alpha_j + \beta_{j+1} < 2 . \quad (\text{I.23})$$

Then we call the set $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ a *regular set* of vertices. The conditions (I.23) require that every $\alpha_j, \beta_j < 2$; however certain configurations of α_j, β_j may allow a particular vertex x_j in a regular set to have $\alpha_j + \beta_j$ close to 4.

We define the heat kernel regularization $X(s)$ of a regular set $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of vertices as a trace class operator. For parameters $0 < s_j$, let

$$X(s) = (I + Q^2)^{-\beta_0/2} x_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} x_1 e^{-s_1 Q^2} \dots x_n e^{-s_n Q^2} (I + Q^2)^{\beta_0/2} . \quad (\text{I.24})$$

While this operator $X(s)$ is trace class, the trace norm on $\mathcal{H}$ may diverge as $s_j \rightarrow 0$. The operator $X(s)$ has a trace class Radon transform on the hyperplane $s_0 + \cdots + s_n = 1$, and the operations of taking the Radon transform and the trace commute. We write

$$\langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n = \int_{s_j > 0} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(\theta) x_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} x_1 e^{-s_1 Q^2} \cdots x_n e^{-s_n Q^2} \right) \delta(1 - \sum_{j=0}^n s_j) ds_0 \cdots ds_n. \quad (\text{I.25})$$

This functional extends by continuity from its definition on the space of bounded vertices $x_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to a multi-linear functional on the space of vertices $x_j \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta_j, \alpha_j)$ in a regular set. Furthermore we bound this expectation (I.25) in Corollary V.4 by

$$|\langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n| \leq \frac{m(\eta_{\text{local}})^{n+1}}{\Gamma(\eta_{\text{tot}})} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q^2/2} \right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|x_j\|_{(-\beta_j, \alpha_j)} \right), \quad (\text{I.26})$$

where $m(\eta_{\text{local}}) < \infty$ is a constant. Here the exponents η that characterize the behavior of the expectations are defined by

$$\eta_j = \frac{1}{2}(2 - \alpha_j - \beta_{j+1}), \quad \eta_{\text{local}} = \min_{0 \leq j \leq n} \{\eta_j\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{j=0}^n \eta_j.$$

We say that η_{local} characterizes the local regularity of the expectations (I.25), while $\eta_{\text{global}} = \eta_{\text{tot}}/(n+1)$ characterizes the global regularity of sets of such expectations as a function of n .

In §VI we also return to the fact that the functional τ^{JLO} is a cocycle in $\mathcal{C}$, namely

$$\partial \tau^{\text{JLO}} = 0. \quad (\text{I.27})$$

This was previously known in the differentiable case, for which $\alpha = \beta = 0$. In §VI we also analyze the generating functional corresponding to τ^{JLO} , namely

$$\mathcal{J}^{\text{JLO}}(t; a; g) = \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-Q^2 + itda} \right). \quad (\text{I.28})$$

Define the functional $\mathfrak{Z}(a; g)$ as the Gaussian transform of $\mathcal{J}(t; a; g)$ evaluated at the origin,

$$\mathfrak{Z}(a; g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \mathcal{J}(t; a; g) dt. \quad (\text{I.29})$$

In the case that $\mathcal{J}(t; a; g) = \mathcal{J}^{\text{JLO}}(t; a; g)$, we indicate the dependence of $\mathfrak{Z}$ on Q . We have

$$\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-Q^2 + itda} \right) dt. \quad (\text{I.30})$$

We prove in §V–VI that the functionals (I.28–30) exist for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, when $\mathfrak{A}$ is contained in one of the allowed interpolation space $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$.

Thus we have a representation for the pairing (I.17) in an extremely simple and elegant form. Let $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ denote the subset of $\mathfrak{A}$ that is pointwise invariant under $\mathfrak{G}$. For $a \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$, or more generally for $a \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}})$, and also $a^2 = I$, then (I.17) equals

$$\langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}, a \rangle = \mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-Q^2 + itda} \right) dt . \quad (\text{I.31})$$

In other words, $\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g)$ can be written as a trace of a heat kernel.³ For the case $a = I$, we have $da = 0$, and (I.31) reduces to the equivariant index

$$\mathfrak{Z}^Q(I; g) = \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) e^{-Q^2} \right) . \quad (\text{I.32})$$

The expression for $\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g)$ in (I.31) is fundamental, and it provides a generalization of the McKean-Singer heat-kernel representation of the index $\text{Tr}(\gamma e^{-Q^2})$. In this context, the cochain τ^{JLO} is the equivariant Chern character for the fractionally-differentiable structure on $\mathfrak{A}$.

In §VII we return to the question of the precise sense in which (I.31) provides a formula for an invariant. We study the variation of $\mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda)}(a; g)$ corresponding to a family of cochains $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ depending on a parameter λ . This family arises from a family of differentiations $Q(\lambda)$ of the form

$$Q(\lambda) = Q + q(\lambda) . \quad (\text{I.33})$$

We assume that $q(\lambda)$ is a bounded map

$$q(\lambda) : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0 , \quad (\text{I.34})$$

with (an appropriate) norm less than 1. This condition (I.34) can also be described by saying that $q(\lambda)$ is a perturbation of Q in the sense of T. Kato, see [22]. We also assume that there is a bounded map $\dot{q}(\lambda)$, continuous in λ , from $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $\mathcal{H}_0$, such that in the space of bounded, linear maps from $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $\mathcal{H}_0$, the difference quotient is norm convergent to the derivative,

$$\lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \lambda} \left(\frac{q(\lambda) - q(\lambda')}{\lambda - \lambda'} - \dot{q}(\lambda) \right) = 0 . \quad (\text{I.35})$$

These assumptions form the basis of our definition in §VII of a regular family $Q(\lambda)$. We also define a corresponding regular family of fractionally-differentiable structures $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ on $\mathfrak{A}$. Under these hypotheses we prove that

$$\lambda \mapsto \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda) \quad (\text{I.36})$$

³In the language of physics, $\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g)$ is called a *partition function*. Such an object often arises in statistical physics or in quantum theory. The Laplace operator which generates the heat kernel is Q^2 , perturbed by $itda$. Note that if $a = a^*$, then the perturbation is symmetric. In physics, the perturbation da is sometimes called a *local source*. We mentioned earlier that it might be the case that a functional $\text{Tr}(\gamma U(g) \cdot e^{-Q^2})$ could be represented as a functional integral, given by a measure $d\mu_g$. If this is the case, and if in addition both a and da can be realized as functions on path space, then the representation (I.31) further simplifies. The Gaussian integral can be carried out, giving $\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a, g) = \int a e^{-(da)^2/4} d\mu_g$.

is a continuously differentiable function from an interval $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ to $\mathcal{C}$. Here $\mathcal{C}$ carries the natural topology defined in §II.

These simple conditions (on $Q(\lambda)$ and its derivative) allow a complete analysis of the trace-class nature and differentiability in the appropriate Schatten norm of $\lambda \mapsto \exp(-sQ(\lambda)^2)$, for $s > 0$. In fact our hypotheses appear to cover most applications. As a consequence, the derivative $d\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)/d\lambda$ can be computed by differentiating the expression (I.21) under the integral, and under the trace. In §VII we justify this interchange of limits for $a \in \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$ and for $q(\lambda)$ as above.

Calculation of the derivative shows that there is a cochain $h \in \mathcal{C}$ with coboundary ∂h such that

$$\frac{d\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = \partial h(\lambda) . \quad (\text{I.37})$$

Integrating this relation we obtain

$$\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda) = \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda') + \partial H(\lambda, \lambda') , \quad (\text{I.38})$$

where $H(\lambda, \lambda') \in \mathcal{C}$. Since the pairing (I.16) vanishes on coboundaries, $\langle \partial H, a \rangle = 0$. The linearity of the pairing in τ ensures

$$\mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda)}(a; g) = \mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda')}(a; g) . \quad (\text{I.39})$$

In other words, $\mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda)}$ does not depend on λ , and so it is a homotopy invariant. As a special case of this result, we show that the definition of τ_n^{JLO} , involved choosing a particular hyperplane $s_0 + s_1 + \cdots + s_n = \beta$ for the Radon transform of (I.19), gives a pairing independent of β . But more generally, $\mathfrak{Z}^Q$ remains unchanged under a regular deformation of a parameter in a potential, of a metric, etc.

We comment in §VIII on using the homotopy invariance of $\mathfrak{Z}(a; g)$ in various settings, as a tool to study or to compute these quantities. In particular, we study the possibility that the family $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, may have a singularity at one endpoint of an interval Λ . We give a method to study such an endpoint, by introducing a family $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda, \epsilon)$ of approximations to $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$.

In §IX we generalize this approach to cover the case when Q can be split into the sum of “independent” parts $Q = (Q_1 + Q_2)/\sqrt{2}$, such that $(Q_1 + Q_2)^2 = (Q_1)^2 + (Q_2)^2$. We assume here that Q_1 and $(Q_2)^2$, are $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant, but we do not make that assumption about Q_2 . With further assumptions on a , the most important being that $(Q_1)^2 - (Q_2)^2$ commutes with a , we obtain a modified formula for a pairing. Namely, with $d_1 a = [Q, a]$ and $a^2 = I$, the quantity

$$\mathfrak{Z}^{\{Q_j\}}(a; g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-Q^2 + it d_1 a} \right) dt \quad (\text{I.40})$$

is invariant under regular deformations of $Q_1(\lambda)$ and $Q_2(\lambda)$ which leave $Q_1^2 - Q_2^2$ fixed.

II Cochains and Coboundary Operators

Functionals on $\mathfrak{A}$ (maps from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathbb{C}$) play a central role in non-commutative geometry. These functionals may be integrals or traces and are called *expectations* of operators in $\mathfrak{A}$. We introduce three spaces $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$ of multilinear functionals on $\mathfrak{A}$ and use them below.

In this paper, the fundamental space is the space $\mathcal{C}$ of entire cochains. The other spaces allow us to simplify the discussion of certain linear transformations of $\mathcal{C}$ into $\mathcal{C}$. Each of these spaces are defined on $\mathfrak{A}$ and have an invariance under $\mathfrak{G}$, so we denote the spaces by $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{G})$, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{G})$, etc. We sometimes suppress either $\mathfrak{A}$ or $\mathfrak{G}$ in order to simplify notation.

A coboundary operator ∂ is a continuous, linear transformation from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}$, with the property $\partial^2 = 0$. We study three coboundary operators in $\mathcal{C}$: the Hochschild operator b , the Connes operator B , and their sum $\partial = b + B$ which is the coboundary operator in entire cyclic cohomology.

II.1 Spaces of Cochains

The Space $\mathcal{D}$.

Define $\mathcal{D}_n$ as a vector space of functionals on $\mathfrak{A}^{n+1} \times \mathfrak{G}$, where every $f_n \in \mathcal{D}_n$ is an $(n+1)$ -continuous, multilinear functional on $\mathfrak{A}$, that is also a continuous function on $\mathfrak{G}$. We denote the values of f_n by $f_n(a_0, \dots, a_n; g)$, where $a_j \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $g \in \mathfrak{G}$. We assume that f_n is invariant on the diagonal, in the sense that

$$f_n(a_0^{g^{-1}}, a_1^{g^{-1}}, \dots, a_n^{g^{-1}}; g) = f_n(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) . \quad (\text{II.1})$$

The norm $\|f_n\|$ of f_n is defined with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ and the sup norm on $\mathfrak{G}$. Thus,

$$\|f_n\| = \sup_{\substack{\|a_j\| \leq 1 \\ g \in \mathfrak{G}}} |f_n(a_0, \dots, a_n; g)| . \quad (\text{II.2})$$

Elements of $\mathcal{D}$ are sequences $f = \{f_n : f_n \in \mathcal{D}_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$, which also are assumed to satisfy the *entire* condition:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/2} \|f_n\|^{1/n} = 0 . \quad (\text{II.3})$$

When we write an identity $f = g$ in $\mathcal{D}$, this means $f_n(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = g_n(a_0, \dots, a_n; g)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, for all $a_j \in \mathfrak{A}$, and all $g \in \mathfrak{G}$. Likewise we interpret identities with the $\mathfrak{G}$ -dependence suppressed as holding pointwise in $\mathfrak{G}$.

The Space $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$.

The key property of the space of entire cochains $\mathcal{C}$ is that elements of $\mathcal{C}$ vanish when evaluated on I except in the 0th place. More precisely, the elements $f \in \mathcal{C}$ are those elements $f \in \mathcal{D}$ such that for every $n \geq 1$, $f_n(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = 0$ when any $a_j = I$, for any $j \geq 1$ (but not $j = 0$).

The Space $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{C}$.

The subspace $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is the annihilator of I . In other words, $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is in $\mathcal{N}$ if each $f_n(a_0, \dots, a_n; g)$ vanishes whenever *any* $a_j = I$, for $0 \leq j \leq n$. Thus $f \in \mathcal{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}$ if for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $f_n(I, a_1, \dots, a_n; g) = 0$.

II.2 Elementary Linear Transformations

We define a number of bounded, linear transformations, $T : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. In other words, all these maps have domain $\mathcal{D}$ and range in $\mathcal{D}$. We remark below specifically which of these operators also map $\mathcal{C}$ into $\mathcal{C}$, map $\mathcal{C}$ into $\mathcal{N}$, etc.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote a generic linear transformation $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is contained in the direct sum $\oplus_n \mathcal{D}_n$ and since $\mathcal{S}$ is linear, it is sufficient to define $\mathcal{S}$ on each $\mathcal{D}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We often denote by $\mathcal{S}_n$ the action of $\mathcal{S}$ on $\mathcal{D}_n$. In all the examples here, $\mathcal{S}$ is tridiagonal: the range of $\mathcal{S}_n$ lies in $\mathcal{D}_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_n \oplus \mathcal{D}_{n+1}$.

Cyclic Transposition. $T : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$.

$$(Tf_n)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = (-1)^n f_n(a_n^{g^{-1}}, a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}; g) . \quad (\text{II.4})$$

Note that as a consequence of the invariance (II.1), it is true that

$$T^{n+1} = I . \quad (\text{II.5})$$

Cyclic Antisymmetrization. $A : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$.

The cyclic antisymmetrization A_n on $\mathcal{D}_n$ is defined by $A_n = \sum_{j=0}^n T_n^j$. Then (II.5) ensures that for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$A_n = \sum_{j=0}^n T_n^{j+s} . \quad (\text{II.6})$$

Annihilation. $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $U : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$.

The annihilation transformation U maps $\mathcal{D}_n$ into $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}$. It is defined by

$$U_0 f_0 = 0 , \quad (U_n f_n)(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) = f_n(I, a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) . \quad (\text{II.7})$$

As U acts on the first variable, $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $U : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$.

Creation. V

The creation operator maps $\mathcal{D}_n$ to $\mathcal{D}_{n+1}$, according to the rule

$$(V_n f_n)(a_0, \dots, a_{n+1}) = f_n(a_0 a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) . \quad (\text{II.8})$$

We also introduce $V(r) : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{n+1}$, defined by conjugating V by T^r , namely

$$V(r) = T^{-r} V T^r .$$

Then $V(0) = V$, and acting on $\mathcal{D}_n$,

$$(V(r)_n f_n)(a_0, \dots, a_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^r f_n(a_0, \dots, a_r a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) , & 0 \leq r \leq n , \\ (-1)^{n+1} f_n(a_{n+1}^{g^{-1}} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) , & r = n+1 . \end{cases} \quad (\text{II.9})$$

These definitions yield the following elementary identities:

$$U_{n+1} V_n = I_n , \quad (\text{II.10})$$

$$U_{n+1} V(r)_n + V(r-1)_{n-1} U_n = 0 , \quad 1 \leq r \leq n , \quad (\text{II.11})$$

$$U_{n+1} V(n+1)_n = -T_n , \quad (\text{II.12})$$

and

$$V(r)_{n+1} V(s)_n + V(s+1)_{n+1} V(r)_n = 0 , \quad 0 \leq r \leq s \leq n+1 . \quad (\text{II.13})$$

II.3 Coboundary Operators

The Hochschild Coboundary. $b : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

The Hochschild coboundary operator b maps $\mathcal{D}_n$ to $\mathcal{D}_{n+1}$. It is defined by

$$b_n = \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} V(r)_n . \quad (\text{II.14})$$

Thus

$$(b_n f_n)(a_0, \dots, a_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j f_n(a_0, \dots, a_j a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} f_n(a_{n+1}^{g^{-1}} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) . \quad (\text{II.15})$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+1} b_n &= \sum_{r=0}^{n+2} \sum_{s=0}^{n+1} V(r)_{n+1} V(s)_n \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq r \leq s \leq n+1} (V(r)_{n+1} V(s)_n + V(s+1)_{n+1} V(r)_n) = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.16})$$

where the last equality follows from (II.13).

As a consequence of (II.16) we have established that b is a *coboundary operator* on $\mathcal{D}$. In particular, we have proved on the identity $\mathcal{D}$,

$$b^2 = 0 . \quad (\text{II.17})$$

Finally we verify that b acts on $\mathcal{C}$, namely $b : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, from which we conclude that b is also a coboundary operator on $\mathcal{C}$, namely $b^2 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{C}$; we need to show that $bf \in \mathcal{C}$. Evaluate $(b_n f_n)(a_0, \dots, a_{n+1})$ using (II.15), and also assume that $a_k = I$, for some fixed k with $1 \leq k \leq n+1$. Then

$$(b_n f_n)(a_0, \dots, a_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} \left((-1)^{k-1} + (-1)^k \right) f_n(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) , & k \leq n \\ ((-1)^n + (-1)^{n+1}) f_n(a_0, \dots, a_n) , & k = n+1 . \end{cases} \quad (\text{II.18})$$

In both cases the right hand side of (II.18) vanishes, so $b : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

The Connes Coboundary. $B : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$.

The Connes coboundary operator B is defined on $\mathcal{C}$ by

$$B = AU . \quad (\text{II.19})$$

In particular, $B_n = A_{n-1}U_n$, and on $\mathcal{C}_n$ this can be written as

$$(B_n f_n)(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{(n-1)j} f_n \left(I, a_{n-j}^{g^{-1}}, \dots, a_{n-1}^{g^{-1}}, a_0, \dots, a_{n-j-1} \right) . \quad (\text{II.20})$$

Since $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $A : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, it follows that $B : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. But $U : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$. Thus we have shown that B is a coboundary operator on $\mathcal{C}$, namely⁴

$$B^2 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0 . \quad (\text{II.21})$$

Finally we verify that on $\mathcal{D}$, the two coboundary operators satisfy

$$Bb + bB = 0 . \quad (\text{II.22})$$

In fact

$$\begin{aligned} (Bb + bB)_n &= B_{n+1}b_n + b_{n-1}B_n \\ &= A_n U_{n+1} \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} V(r)_n + \sum_{r=0}^n V(r)_{n-1} A_{n-1} U_n . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.23})$$

⁴Note that on the space $\mathcal{D}$, the Connes operator has an additional term, namely $B = AU(I - T^{-1})$. The term UT^{-1} vanishes on $\mathcal{C}$. It can be checked that $(AU(I - T^{-1}))^2 = 0$ on $\mathcal{D}$, see for example [3].

Using (II.10,12), the $r = 0$ and $r = n + 1$ terms in the first sum in (II.23) are equal to $A_n(I_n - T_n)$. By (II.5–6), this is zero. For the remaining terms in (II.23), we use (II.11) to obtain

$$(Bb + bB)_n = -A_n \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} V(r)_{n-1} U_n + \sum_{r=0}^n V(r)_{n-1} A_{n-1} U_n . \quad (\text{II.24})$$

Again by (II.5–6),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} A_n V(r)_{n-1} U_n &= \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} T_n^j V_{n-1} T_{n-1}^r U_n = A_n V_{n-1} A_{n-1} U_n \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n V(r)_{n-1} A_{n-1} U_n . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.25})$$

Hence we have shown that (II.24) vanishes and (II.22) holds.

The Entire Coboundary. $\partial : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

The entire coboundary operator is the sum of b and B . Define

$$\partial = b + B . \quad (\text{II.26})$$

Both b and B act on $\mathcal{C}$ and are coboundaries. By (II.22),

$$\partial^2 = 0 . \quad (\text{II.27})$$

Alternatively, we could use the coboundary operator $\bar{\partial} = b - B$ which also is nilpotent.

The Cocycle Condition. $\partial\tau = 0$.

A cochain $\tau \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a cocycle if

$$\partial\tau = 0 . \quad (\text{II.28})$$

Equivalence Classes of Cochains. $[f]$.

We define an equivalence class of entire cochains, modulo coboundaries. An entire cochain $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ defines an equivalence class $[f]$ by

$$[f] = \{f + \partial G : G \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})\} . \quad (\text{II.29})$$

Clearly the equivalence $[f]$ depends on the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ of allowed cochains.

II.4 Convergence of Cochains

We introduce here a topology on $\mathcal{D}$ or on $\mathcal{C}$. Let us consider a family of cochains indexed by a parameter λ ranging over an index set Λ . (For example, Λ might be $\mathbb{Z}_+$, an interval (λ_1, λ_2) on the real line, a subset of $\mathcal{C}$, etc.) We use this structure to study analysis on $\mathcal{C}$ or on $\mathcal{D}$.

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ denote a family of cochains $f(\lambda)$,

$$\mathfrak{F} = \{f(\lambda) : f(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\text{or } \mathcal{D}), \lambda \in \Lambda\} . \quad (\text{II.30})$$

We say that $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{C}$ (or $\mathcal{D}$) is *bounded* if there exists a sequence α_n such that

$$0 \leq \alpha_n , \quad n^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1/n} \rightarrow 0 , \quad (\text{II.31})$$

and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|f_n(\lambda)\| \leq \alpha_n . \quad (\text{II.32})$$

We say that the family is *Cauchy* as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ if $\mathfrak{F}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{C}$ (or $\mathcal{D}$) and for every n ,

$$\lim_{\lambda, \lambda' \rightarrow \lambda_0} \|f_n(\lambda) - f_n(\lambda')\| = 0 . \quad (\text{II.33})$$

A family $\mathfrak{F}$ that is Cauchy as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ has a limit f in $\mathcal{C}$ (or $\mathcal{D}$); in this case, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\|f_n\| \leq \alpha_n , \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \|f_n(\lambda) - f\| = 0 . \quad (\text{II.34})$$

We write

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} f(\lambda) = f . \quad (\text{II.35})$$

The standard notions of $\mathfrak{F}$ being closed or compact follow.

If Λ is an open, real interval (λ_1, λ_2) , we say that $f(\lambda)$ is differentiable at $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ if for $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{f(\lambda_0) - f(\lambda)}{\lambda_0 - \lambda} = g$$

exists. Then $f'(\lambda_0) = g$, etc.

III Pairing a Cochain

Let us define a pairing $\langle \tau, a \rangle$ between a cochain $\tau \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, and a root of unity $a \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$, as a non-linear functional $\tau : \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which depends on τ only through its equivalence class $[\tau]$. Since $\langle \tau, a \rangle$ is linear in τ , it must be true that

$$\langle \partial G, a \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{III.1})$$

for all $G \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ and all $a \in \mathfrak{A}^G$. This condition allows us to determine a pairing, at least for even elements $\{\tau_{2n}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$.

III.1 $\langle \partial G, a \rangle = 0$ Determines a Pairing

There is a canonical way to pair a cochain $\tau \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ with an element $a \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$, such that the result $\langle \tau, a \rangle$ is linear in τ . We suppose that the dependence of $\langle \tau, a \rangle$ on τ_n is a linear function of either

$$\tau_n(a, a, \dots, a) \text{ or } \tau_n(I, a, a, \dots, a) . \quad (\text{III.2})$$

Under this assumption, the general form of $\langle \tau, a \rangle$ is determined by a sequence of numerical coefficients α_n, β_n independent of τ and a , namely

$$\langle \tau, a \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \tau_n(a, a, \dots, a) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \tau_n(I, a, a, \dots, a) . \quad (\text{III.3})$$

We use the requirement $\langle \partial G, a \rangle = 0$, along with the assumption that the odd components of τ vanish, to limit the form of the pairing.

Proposition III.1. *Let $a \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ satisfy $a^2 = I$. Consider a pairing such that*

$$\langle \tau, a \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{2n} \tau_{2n}(a, a, \dots, a) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{2n} \tau_{2n}(I, a, a, \dots, a) . \quad (\text{III.4})$$

Suppose that

$$\langle \tau, a \rangle = 0$$

whenever $\tau = \partial G$ and $G \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then

$$\langle \tau, a \rangle = \alpha_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \right)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \tau_{2n}(a, a, \dots, a) . \quad (\text{III.5})$$

Remarks: (1) We remark that it is no loss of generality to normalize the pairing (III.4) so that $\alpha_0 = 1$. With this normalization, $\langle \tau, I \rangle = \tau_0(I)$.

(2) Proposition III.1 replaces Connes “normalization” condition [4], which is unnecessary for this complex. Furthermore, Proposition III.1 is dual to the related result of Getzler and Szenes [12]. These other results concern a pairing of τ with idempotents $p^2 = p \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$, rather than a pairing with operators $a \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ which are square roots of unity, $a^2 = I$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between square roots of unity a and idempotents p given by $a = 2p - I$. In terms of these variables, the pairing introduced by Connes, which we denote $\langle \tau, p \rangle^C$, equals our pairing for $a = I$. In general, it is the average of our pairing and its value at $a = I$, namely

$$\langle \tau, p \rangle^C = \frac{1}{2} \langle \tau, a \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \tau, I \rangle . \quad (\text{III.6})$$

(3) In §IV we introduce a cochain $\tau^{\text{JLO}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ which will be the focus of much of the remainder of this paper. This cochain automatically has the property $\tau_{2n+1}^{\text{JLO}} = 0$ of the form (III.4)

Proof. Let $\tau = \partial G$. For $a \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$, it is the case that $a = a^\gamma = a^{g^{-1}} = a^{g^{-1}\gamma}$. Assume $a^2 = I$ and let n be even. Then the following three relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n-1}G_{n-1}(a, a, \dots, a) &= G_{n-1}(a^2, a, \dots, a) + G_{n-1}(a^{g^{-1}}a, a, \dots, a) = 2G_{n-1}(I, a, \dots, a) , \\ B_{n+1}G_{n+1}(a, \dots, a) &= A_n G_{n+1}(I, a, \dots, a) = (n+1)G_{n+1}(I, a, \dots, a) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.7})$$

and

$$(b_{n-1}G_{n-1})(I, a, \dots, a) = 2G_{n-1}(a, \dots, a) . \quad (\text{III.8})$$

As $B : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, we also have $(B_{n+1}G_{n+1})(I, a, \dots, a) = 0$. Thus for $n \geq 1$,

$$\tau_{2n}(a, \dots, a) = 2G_{2n-1}(a, a, \dots, a) + (2n+1)G_{2n+1}(I, a, \dots, a) , \quad (\text{III.9})$$

and

$$\tau_{2n}(I, a, \dots, a) = 2G_{2n-1}(a, \dots, a) . \quad (\text{III.10})$$

Inserting the identities (III.9–10) into (III.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau, a \rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2\alpha_{2n+2} + (2n+1)\alpha_{2n}) G_{2n+1}(1, a, a, \dots, a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{2n} G_{2n-1}(a, a, \dots, a) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.11})$$

The vanishing of (III.11) for all $G \in \mathcal{C}$ ensures vanishing of the coefficients in (III.11). Thus $\beta_{2n} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, and $(2n+1)\alpha_{2n} + 2\alpha_{2n+2} = 0$. This recursion relation is satisfied by $\alpha_{2n} = (-1/4)^n (2n)!(n!)^{-1} \alpha_0$. Substituting the coefficients α_{2n} and β_{2n} into (III.4) yields (III.5).

The space $\text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A})$ is the space of $m \times m$ matrices with entries in $\mathfrak{A}$. Elements $a \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}})$ satisfying $a^2 = I$ are matrices $a = \{a_{ij}\}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} a_{jn} = \delta_{in} .$$

The pairing $\langle \tau, a \rangle$ for $a \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}})$, $a^2 = I$, is defined by

$$\langle \tau, a \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1/4)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \sum_{1 \leq i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{2n} \leq m} \tau_{2n}(a_{i_0 i_1}, a_{i_1 i_2}, \dots, a_{i_{2n} i_0}) . \quad (\text{III.12})$$

We use a shorthand notation for (III.12), so $m \times m$ matrices which enter τ are multiplied. Thus we write (III.12) as

$$\langle \tau, a \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1/4)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \text{tr } \tau_{2n}(a, a, \dots, a) . \quad (\text{III.13})$$

The tr in (III.13) denotes the trace in the space of $m \times m$ matrices $\text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A})$ with entries in $\mathfrak{A}$. We summarize this discussion by stating

Proposition III.2 *Let $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ and $a \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}})$ with $a^2 = I$. Then the pairing (III.13) exists. Furthermore, $\langle \tau, a \rangle$ depends on τ only through its class $[\tau]$, so*

$$\langle \tau, a \rangle = \langle \tau + \partial G, a \rangle ,$$

where $G \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, and

$$\langle \partial G, a \rangle = 0 . \quad (\text{III.14})$$

In the case $m = 1$, the pairing reduces to (III.4) normalized so $\alpha_0 = 1$. The proof of (III.13) reduces step by step to the proof of (III.4).

III.2 A Generating Functional $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$ for τ

Let us define a generating function $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$ for τ by

$$\mathcal{J}(z; a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-z^2)^n \text{tr } \tau_{2n}(a, a, \dots, a) . \quad (\text{III.15})$$

As a consequence of the assumption that τ is an entire cochain, and that $\|a\| \leq M$, we have

$$|\tau_n(a, \dots, a)|^{1/n} \leq o(n^{-1/2}) . \quad (\text{III.16})$$

Hence the series (III.15) converges to define an entire function of z of order at most two.

Let $h(z)$ denote an entire function of one variable,

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n z^n . \quad (\text{III.17})$$

We say that $h \in \mathcal{E}_\eta$, $\eta \geq 0$, if

$$n^\eta |h_n|^{1/n} = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty . \quad (\text{III.18})$$

A topology on $\mathcal{E}_\eta$, can be defined as follows: A family of functions $\{h^{(\lambda)}\} \subset \mathcal{E}_\eta$, indexed by λ in an index set Λ , is bounded in $\mathcal{E}_\eta$ if there is a bound $n^\eta |h_n^{(\lambda)}|^{1/n} \leq o(1)$, where $o(1)$ is independent of λ . The sequence *converges* to $h \in \mathcal{E}_\eta$ if it is bounded and as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$, and if $h_n^{(\lambda)} \rightarrow h_n$. Remark that the space $\mathcal{E}_0$ contains all entire functions.

The operator $D = d/dz$ maps $\mathcal{E}_\eta$ into $\mathcal{E}_\eta$, for all $\eta \geq 0$. Likewise, multiplication by z maps $\mathcal{E}_\eta$ to $\mathcal{E}_\eta$. Consider the operator

$$R = \exp(D^2/4) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{D^{2n}}{4^n n!} \right) . \quad (\text{III.19})$$

For bounded or L^2 functions, the operator R is given by convolution with a Gaussian kernel, and on those spaces it defines a contraction.

Lemma III.3. *The transformation R is defined on $\mathcal{E}_\eta$ for $\eta \geq 1/2$, and*

$$R : \mathcal{E}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\eta-\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ for } \eta \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (\text{III.20})$$

Proof. Let us first establish (III.20) in case $h(z) = h(-z)$. Thus $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{2n} z^{2n}$. Since

$$Rz^{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(2n)!}{4^k (2n-2k)! k!} z^{2n-2k},$$

we write R in the form

$$(Rh)(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_{2m} z^{2m}, \quad (\text{III.21})$$

with

$$\beta_{2m} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{(2n)! h_{2n}}{4^{n-m} (2m)! (n-m)!}. \quad (\text{III.22})$$

Using the hypothesis $(2n)!^\eta |h_{2n}| \leq o(1)^n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2m)!^{(\eta-\frac{1}{2})} |\beta_{2m}| &\leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} o(1)^n \frac{(2n)!^{1-\eta} (2m)!^{\eta-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2m)! (n-m)!}, \\ &\leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} o(1)^n \leq o(1)^m. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.23})$$

Here we used $(2n)!^{1/2} (n-m)!^{-1} \leq O(1)^n m!$, and $\eta \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence $Rh \in \mathcal{E}_{\eta-\frac{1}{2}}$, and we have established (III.20) for even $h(z)$. In general, $h \in \mathcal{E}_\eta$ can be written $h = h_e + zh_o$ where both h_e and h_o are even elements of $\mathcal{E}_\eta$. Since $Rz = (z + 2D)R$, the above analysis shows that (III.20) holds in general.

As an entire function of z , $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$ defined in (III.15) is an element of $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$. This is the consequence of assumption (II.3) for entire cochains. Therefore we infer from (III.20) that $\mathcal{J}$ is in the domain of R , and that $(R\mathcal{J})(z; a)$ is an entire function of z . As a consequence, we obtain a simple representation for the pairing (III.13).

III.3 The Pairing Expressed in Terms of $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$

We now express the pairing $\langle \tau, p \rangle$ in terms of the generating functional $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$ of (III.15). This leads us to the Gaussian transform $\mathfrak{Z}(a; g)$ of $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$, evaluated at the origin.

Proposition III.4. *Let τ denote an entire cochain for $\mathfrak{A}$ and let $a \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}})$ satisfy $a^2 = I$. Then the pairing (III.13) can be expressed in terms of the generating functional $\mathcal{J}$ as*

$$\mathfrak{Z}(a; g) = \langle \tau, a \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \mathcal{J}(t; a) dt. \quad (\text{III.24})$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$ is even, and an element of $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$, we have from (III.21-22) that

$$(R\mathcal{J})(0; a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1/4)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \text{tr } \tau_{2n}(a, a, \dots, a) . \quad (\text{III.25})$$

Comparing with (III.13), we find

$$(R\mathcal{J})(0; a) = \langle \tau, a \rangle .$$

As remarked above, the operator R can be expressed as convolution by a Gaussian. In particular,

$$(Rf)(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(s-t)^2} f(t) dt , \quad (\text{III.26})$$

which also can be seen from

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} t^{2n} dt = \frac{(2n)!}{n!4^n} . \quad (\text{III.27})$$

Hence we have the representation (III.24).

III.4 Pairing for Families

In §II.2 we introduced the notion of a family $\mathfrak{F} = \{\tau(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}\}$ of cochains depending on a parameter λ belonging to an index set Λ . An important consequence of the topology introduced for $\mathcal{C}$, is that the pairing $\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle$ of a family inherits the convergence properties from $\mathcal{C}$. Associated with the family $\{\tau(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}\}$, we have a family of generating functions $\{\mathcal{J}(\lambda)\}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}(\lambda) = \mathcal{J}(z; a; \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-z^2)^n \tau_{2n}(\lambda)(a, a, \dots, a; g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_n(\lambda) z^n . \quad (\text{III.28})$$

For each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the function $\mathcal{J}(\cdot; a; \lambda)$ is a function in $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$, as defined in (III.18). We consider $\{\mathcal{J}(\lambda)\} \subset \mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ as a family of functions in $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ parameterized by $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proposition III.6. *Let the family $\{\tau(\lambda)\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be bounded, continuous at λ_0 , or differentiable at λ_0 in the sense of §II.2 as a map from Λ to $\mathcal{C}$. Then*

- (i) *The family $\{\mathcal{J}(\lambda)\} \subset \mathcal{E}_{1/2}$ is respectively: bounded, continuous at λ_0 , or differentiable at λ_0 in the sense of a family in $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$.*
- (ii) *For $a^2 = I \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A})$, the pairing of $\tau(\lambda)$ with a of (III.13) or of Corollary III.3 defines the pairing function*

$$\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle . \quad (\text{III.29})$$

As a function of the variable λ , $\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle$ is respectively: bounded uniformly for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, continuous at λ_0 , or differentiable at λ_0 .

Proof. If the family defined by $\tau(\lambda)$ is bounded, then the bound (II.30–31) ensures that the coefficients $\mathcal{J}_n(\lambda)$ in (III.28) satisfy

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\mathcal{J}_n(\lambda)| \leq \alpha_n \quad (\text{III.30})$$

where $n^{1/2}\alpha_n^{1/n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\{\mathcal{J}(\lambda)\}$ is a bounded family in $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$. Likewise, convergence of the uniformly bounded coefficients $\mathcal{J}_n(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$, ensures convergence of $\mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ to a function $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{E}_{1/2}$. This is a consequence of the uniform bound on $\mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ as a function of z , and of the Vitali convergence theorem for holomorphic functions. Likewise, if the difference quotient $(\tau(\lambda_0) - \tau(\lambda))/(\lambda_0 - \lambda)$ converges in $\mathcal{C}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$, this means that $(\mathcal{J}(\lambda_0) - \mathcal{J}(\lambda))/(\lambda - \lambda_0)$ converges in $\mathcal{E}_{1/2}$. This proves part (i) of the proposition. The proof of part (ii) is similar. It is a consequence of the uniform bound (II.30–31), along with the continuity or differentiability. The uniform bound (II.30–31) ensures that the sum

$$\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1/4)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \text{tr } \tau_{2n}(\lambda) (a, a, \dots, a) \quad (\text{III.31})$$

converges uniformly for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and is bounded by the constant M defined by

$$M = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sup_{i,j} \|a_{ij}\| m \right)^{2n+1} \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \alpha_{2n} . \quad (\text{III.32})$$

Thus $\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle$ is a uniformly bounded function. If $\tau(\lambda)$ converges as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$, then $\|\tau_n(\lambda) - \tau_n(\lambda')\|$ is Cauchy for each n as $\lambda, \lambda' \rightarrow \lambda_0$. Define $\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle_N$ as (III.31), but with the sum over n limited to $n \leq N$. We write

$$|\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle - \langle \tau(\lambda'), a \rangle| \leq |\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle - \langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle_N| + |\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle_N - \langle \tau(\lambda'), a \rangle_N| + |\langle \tau(\lambda'), a \rangle_N - \langle \tau(\lambda'), a \rangle| . \quad (\text{III.33})$$

The convergence of (III.32) ensures that there exists $N_0 < \infty$ such that for $N > N_0$, $|\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle - \langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle_N| < \epsilon$ uniformly in $\lambda \in \Lambda$. On the other hand, the fact that τ_n is Cauchy insures that for $N > N_0$ and fixed, we have $|\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle - \langle \tau(\lambda'), a \rangle| < \epsilon$ for λ, λ' arbitrarily close to λ_0 . Thus $\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle$ converges as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$. The argument that differentiability of $\tau(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ ensures differentiability of $\langle \tau(\lambda), a \rangle$ is similar, so we omit the details. This completes the proof of the proposition.

IV The JLO Cochain (Differentiable Case)

IV.1 Heat Kernel Regularization and the Radon Transform

The description of the JLO cochain requires some more structure, in addition to the algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and the functionals $\mathcal{C}$ on $\mathfrak{A}$. We begin by introducing a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

of bounded linear transformations on $\mathcal{H}$. We represent $\mathfrak{A}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ the inherited norm on $\mathfrak{A}$. We think of $\mathfrak{A}$ as the non-commutative generalization of an algebra of functions. In this section we formulate the case that $\mathfrak{A}$ is an algebra of differentiable functions; thus we call it the differentiable case. We also need to define a derivative on $\mathfrak{A}$. This is natural for a differential geometric interpretation of non-commutative geometry. Some other operators play a special role. They are the following:

$\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Grading γ :

A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a self-adjoint, unitary $\gamma = \gamma^* = \gamma^{-1}$. The grading γ determines a decomposition of $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, into the $\pm$ eigenspaces of γ . The orthogonal projections of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ are $P_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(I \pm \gamma)$, and $P_+ + P_- = I$. We assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is pointwise invariant under γ ,

$$\gamma a = a \gamma, \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}. \quad (\text{IV.1})$$

In general, we denote the action of γ as

$$b^\gamma = \gamma b \gamma^{-1} = \gamma b \gamma, \quad b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (\text{IV.2})$$

Dirac Operator Q :

The operator⁵ $Q = Q^*$ is an (unbounded) operator on $\mathcal{H}$ whose square $H = Q^2$ has the interpretation of Laplacian. It is assumed that Q and γ anticommute,

$$\gamma Q + Q \gamma = 0. \quad (\text{IV.3})$$

Let $Q_\pm = Q P_\pm$. Then $Q = Q_+ + Q_-$, where $Q_+^* = Q_-$ and $Q_+^2 = Q_-^2 = 0$. It follows that $H = Q_+ Q_- + Q_- Q_+$. We also assume that for $0 < \beta$,

$$\text{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta Q^2} \right) < \infty. \quad (\text{IV.4})$$

The condition (IV.4) is called Θ -summability by Connes [4]. At least in the case $\alpha = \beta = 0$, it can be replaced by a more general condition, called KMS, see [21, 18].

Derivation d .

The operator Q defines a graded derivation d . For operators $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ in the domain of d ,

$$db = Qb - b^\gamma Q. \quad (\text{IV.5})$$

⁵In the physics literature, the square root Q of the energy operator is called the *supercharge*. The Laplacian $H = Q^2$ is called the energy, or Hamiltonian. The relation between supersymmetry in physics and index theory was observed by Witten [31] in the context of the index of the exterior differential. In quantum field theory, the supercharge also operator has the interpretation of a Dirac operator on loop space.

We assume that all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ are in the domain of d , and that the bilinear form $da = Qa - aQ$ defined on $\mathcal{D}(Q) \times \mathcal{D}(Q)$ uniquely determines a bounded linear operator

$$da \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) .$$

We assume that for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$d(ab) = (da)b + a(db) . \quad (\text{IV.6})$$

Note that for b in the domain of d^2 , or as a sesquilinear form,

$$d^2b = [Q^2, b] = Q^2b - bQ^2 . \quad (\text{IV.7})$$

In §V we elaborate the properties of the domain of d .

Symmetry Group $\mathfrak{G}$:

Equivariance arises through the existence of a continuous, unitary representation $U(g)$ of a compact Lie group $\mathfrak{G}$ on $\mathcal{H}$. We assume that

$$U(g)\gamma = \gamma U(g) , \quad U(g)Q = QU(g) . \quad (\text{IV.8})$$

We let

$$b^g = U(g)bU(g)^* , \quad b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) , \quad (\text{IV.9})$$

denote the automorphism of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ induced by $\mathfrak{G}$. We assume that $\mathfrak{G}$ acts on $\mathfrak{A}$, namely that for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $a^g \in \mathfrak{A}$. The group $\mathfrak{G}$ may be trivial, in which case the cochains are no longer functions on $\mathfrak{G}$. This is the ordinary (rather than equivariant) theory. (The representation $U(g)$ acting on $\mathcal{H}$ has no relation with, and should not be confused with, U in (II.7) which acts on $\mathcal{D}$.)

Heat Kernel Regularization:

Consider $(n+1)$ operators $b_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Define the operator valued function $X(s)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by

$$X(s) = \begin{cases} b_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} b_1 e^{-s_1 Q^2} \dots b_n e^{-s_n Q^2} , & \text{every } s_j > 0 \\ 0 , & \text{any } s_j \leq 0 \end{cases} . \quad (\text{IV.10})$$

The operator $X(s)$ is the *heat-kernel regularized density* of the ordered set operators $\{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n\}$. See also [13]. Note that $X(s)$ is an $(n+1)$ -multilinear function on the set $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We call $\{b_0, \dots, b_n\}$ the set of *vertices* of $X(s)$. We sometimes use X to denote the set of vertices,

$$X = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n\} ,$$

as well as the heat kernel regularization, at least in cases where no confusion can arise.

The Radon Transform: We also consider the Radon transform $\hat{X}(\beta)$ of $X(s)$ corresponding to the hyperplane $s_0 + s_1 + \cdots + s_n = \beta > 0$. In other words

$$\hat{X}(\beta) = \int X(s) d^n s(\beta) . \quad (\text{IV.11})$$

Here $d^n s(\beta)$ denotes Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ restricted to the hyperplane $\sum_{j=0}^n s_j = \beta$. Explicitly, this measure is

$$d^n s(\beta) = \delta(s_0 + \cdots + s_n - \beta) ds_0 ds_1 \cdots ds_n , \quad (\text{IV.12})$$

where δ denotes the Dirac measure. We shall see in (V.20,24), that the total measure of $d^n s(\beta)$, integrated over the positive “quadrant” $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, equals $\beta^n/n!$.

The heat-kernel-regularized density $X(s)$ and its Radon transform $\hat{X}(\beta)$ form the basic objects in the geometric theory we develop here. We refer to both as *heat-kernel regularizations* of $\{b_0, \dots, b_n\}$. It often turns out in the geometric theory that hyperplanes for different values of β are equivalent, and this is always the case if $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$ is trace class for all $\beta > 0$, see §VII.6. Thus in order to simplify notation, we restrict our attention to the plane

$$s_0 + s_1 + \cdots + s_n = 1 .$$

Denote this value of the Radon transform by $\hat{X} = \hat{X}(\beta = 1)$. When there is no chance of confusion, we simply write for the corresponding measure

$$d^n s = d^n s(1) \quad \text{or} \quad ds = d^n s(1) . \quad (\text{IV.13})$$

As a consequence of the trace class property of $e^{-\beta Q^2}$ for each $\beta > 0$, the heat kernel regularization $X(s)$ and also $\hat{X}$ is trace class. The trace norm $\|\hat{X}\|_1 = \text{Tr} \left((\hat{X}^* \hat{X})^{1/2} \right)$ of $\hat{X}$ satisfies

$$\|\hat{X}\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{n!} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q^2} \right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|b_j\| \right) . \quad (\text{IV.14})$$

We postpone the proof of (IV.14) to §V, in conjunction with the proof of other related bounds, see Corollary V.4.v.

Symmetries of $\hat{X}$:

The group $\mathbb{Z}_2$, implemented by γ , and the group $\mathfrak{G}$, implemented by $U(g)$ both commute with $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$. Hence these groups act on $\hat{X}$ by acting on the vertices of X . Let $\hat{X}^\gamma$ denote the heat kernel regularization $\gamma \hat{X} \gamma^{-1}$ of X^γ with vertices

$$X^\gamma = \{b_0^\gamma, b_1^\gamma, \dots, b_n^\gamma\} .$$

Similarly let $\hat{X}^g$ denote the heat kernel regularization $U(g) \hat{X} U(g)^*$ of X^g with vertices

$$X^g = \{b_0^g, b_1^g, \dots, b_n^g\} .$$

IV.2 Expectations and the Radon Transforms

Expectations $\langle \hat{X}; g \rangle$:

The expectation $\langle \hat{X}; g \rangle$ of a heat kernel regularization $\hat{X}$ is defined by

$$\langle \hat{X}; g \rangle = \text{Tr} (\gamma U(g) \hat{X}) . \quad (\text{IV.15})$$

Since the expectation is a linear function of each vertex of X , we also use the notation which indicates this multilinearity, namely we denote $\langle \hat{X}; g \rangle$ by

$$\langle \hat{X}; g \rangle = \text{Tr} (\gamma U(g) \hat{X}) = \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n; g \rangle = \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n; g \rangle_n . \quad (\text{IV.16})$$

Here we use the subscript n , when it may be helpful to clarify the number of vertices. The bound (IV.14) ensures that the expectation is continuous in each vertex, and

$$|\langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n; g \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \text{Tr} (e^{-Q^2}) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|b_j\| \right) . \quad (\text{IV.17})$$

Symmetries of Expectations:

As a consequence of cyclicity of the trace, and the commutativity of γ and $U(g)$,

$$\langle \hat{X}; g \rangle = \langle \hat{X}^\gamma; g \rangle = \langle \hat{X}^g; g \rangle = \langle \hat{X}^{g^{-1}}; g \rangle . \quad (\text{IV.18})$$

More generally, for $h \in \mathfrak{G}$, $\langle \hat{X}^h; g \rangle = \langle \hat{X}; h^{-1}gh \rangle$.

Another symmetry of the expectation arises from cyclic permutation of the vertices,

$$\langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n; g \rangle = \langle b_n^{g^{-1}\gamma}, b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}; g \rangle . \quad (\text{IV.19})$$

We also remark that the expectation is invariant under the infinitesimal d . This means that for all heat-kernel regularizations $\hat{X}$,

$$\langle d\hat{X}; g \rangle = 0 . \quad (\text{IV.20})$$

In particular, if $\hat{X}^\gamma = \hat{X}$, then $(d\hat{X})^\gamma = -d\hat{X}$ and (IV.20) vanishes by (IV.18). On the other hand, if $\hat{X}^\gamma = -\hat{X}$, then $(d\hat{X}) = Q\hat{X} + \hat{X}Q$, and (IV.20) vanishes on account of (IV.3) and cyclicity of the trace. Writing out (IV.20) in detail for X with vertices $b_0, \dots, b_n$, we infer that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \langle b_0^\gamma, b_1^\gamma, \dots, b_{j-1}^\gamma, db_j, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_n; g \rangle_n = 0 . \quad (\text{IV.21})$$

Another interesting identity for expectations is

$$\langle b_0, \dots, b_n; g \rangle_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \langle b_0, \dots, b_{j-1}, I, b_j, \dots, b_n; g \rangle_{n+1} . \quad (\text{IV.22})$$

This follows from a change of variables in the Radon transform (IV.10), for $1 \leq j \leq n+1$. Let $\beta'_i = \beta_i$, $0 \leq i \leq j-2$, $\beta'_{j-1} = \beta_{j-1} + \beta_j$, $\beta'_i = \beta_{i-1}$, $j \leq i \leq n$, and $\beta'_{n+1} = \beta_{j-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle b_0, \dots, b_{j-1}, I, \dots, b_n; g \rangle_{n+1} \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\sigma}_n} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) b_0 e^{-\beta'_0 Q^2} \dots b_{j-1} e^{-\beta'_{j-1} Q^2} b_j e^{-\beta'_j Q^2} \dots b_n e^{-\beta'_n Q^2} \right) d\beta'_0 \dots d\beta'_n d\beta'_{n+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.23})$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_n$ denotes the set

$$0 < \beta'_i, \quad 0 < \beta'_{n+1} < \beta'_{j-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta'_0 + \beta'_1 + \dots + \beta'_n = 1. \quad (\text{IV.24})$$

Note the integrand is independent of β'_{n+1} . Therefore the β'_{n+1} integration yields β'_{j-1} times an integrand common to every such term, $1 \leq j \leq n+1$. This latter integrand by itself would integrate to $\langle b_0, \dots, b_n; g \rangle_n$. Summing over j results in the integrand $\sum_{j=0}^n \beta'_j$ times the integrand defining $\langle b_0, \dots, b_n; g \rangle_n$. But the constraint $\sum_{j=0}^n \beta'_j = 1$ in this integral reduces the sum to $\langle b_0, \dots, b_n; g \rangle_n$, so we infer (IV.22).

IV.3 The Cochain τ^{JLO}

The JLO cochain [17] is the expectation whose n^{th} component is defined by

$$\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, da_n; g \rangle. \quad (\text{IV.25})$$

We assume that for $a \in A$ the norm is defined so that $\|\cdot\|$ dominates the first Sobolev norm defined by

$$\|a\|_1 = \|a\| + \|da\|. \quad (\text{IV.26})$$

Namely

$$\|a\|_1 \leq \|a\|. \quad (\text{IV.27})$$

In other words, every element of $\mathfrak{A}$ has a bounded derivative, so each element of such an $\mathfrak{A}$ is the non-commutative generalization of a continuous function. As a consequence, we infer that the expectation $\tau^{\text{JLO}} = \{\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}\}$ is a cochain:

Lemma IV.1. *The expectation τ^{JLO} is an element of the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ of cochains, as defined at the start of §II. Furthermore τ^{JLO} extends by continuity and linearity from $\mathfrak{A}$ to the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_1$ of operators $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|b\|_1 < \infty$, and $\mathcal{B}_1$ is a Banach algebra.*

Proof. Clearly τ_n^{JLO} is $(n+1)$ -linear in $\mathfrak{A}$. We show that $\tau_n^{\text{JLO}} \in \mathcal{C}_n$. This requires the symmetry (II.1) of cochains, continuity in the norm of $\mathfrak{A}$ and continuity in $\mathfrak{G}$. In addition τ_n^{JLO} must vanish for $a_k = I$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. But this latter fact follows from $dI = 0$.

The required symmetry (II.1) for τ_n^{JLO} is a consequence established in (IV.18). We combine this fact with the assumption (IV.8) which ensures $d(a^{g^{-1}}) = (da)^{g^{-1}}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(a_0^{g^{-1}}, \dots, a_n^{g^{-1}}; g) &= \langle a_0^{g^{-1}}, d(a_1^{g^{-1}}), \dots, d(a_n^{g^{-1}}); g \rangle \\ &= \langle a_0^{g^{-1}}, (da_1)^{g^{-1}}, \dots, (da_n)^{g^{-1}}; g \rangle \\ &= \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, da_n; g \rangle = \tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, \dots, a_n) \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

The continuity of τ_n^{JLO} in $\mathfrak{A}$ follows from (IV.17) and (IV.26).

$$|\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, \dots, a_n; g)| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \text{Tr}(e^{-Q^2}) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|a_j\|_1 \right). \quad (\text{IV.28})$$

Since $\|a\|_1 \leq \|a\|$, we have

$$\|\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}\| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \text{Tr}(e^{-Q^2}). \quad (\text{IV.29})$$

Furthermore $U(g)$ is a continuous, unitary representation, so $\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, \dots, a_n; g)$ is continuous (point-wise) in $\mathfrak{G}$. Thus $\tau_n^{\text{JLO}} \in \mathcal{C}_n$.

We verify that the sequence $\tau^{\text{JLO}} \in \mathcal{C}$. The factor $\frac{1}{n!}$ in (IV.29) ensures that

$$n \|\tau_n\|^{1/n} \leq 0(1),$$

so the entire condition (II.3) is satisfied.

Thus τ_n^{JLO} extends by continuity to $\mathcal{B}_1$. Finally we verify that $\mathcal{B}_1$ is a Banach algebra. In fact for $a, b \in \mathcal{B}_1$, we infer from (IV.5) that $d(ab) = (da)b + a^\gamma(db)$. Hence

$$\|ab\|_1 = \|ab\| + \|d(ab)\| \leq \|a\| \|b\| + \|da\| \|b\| + \|a\| \|db\| \leq \|a\|_1 \|b\|_1,$$

as asserted.

Other Symmetries of τ^{JLO} :

Remark that for $a_j \in \mathfrak{A}$, the odd components of τ^{JLO} vanish, namely

$$\tau_{2n+1}^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = 0. \quad (\text{IV.30})$$

This is a consequence of (IV.1,3,5) which ensures $(da)^\gamma = -da$. Thus using (IV.18),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, da_n; g \rangle &= \langle a_0^\gamma, (da_1)^\gamma, \dots, (da_n)^\gamma; g \rangle \\ &= (-1)^n \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, da_n; g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so τ_n^{JLO} vanishes for odd n .

Another elementary identity, a consequence of (IV.21) and the choice of $a_j \in \mathfrak{A}$ is that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, \dots, a_{j-1}, da_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n; g) = 0 . \quad (\text{IV.31})$$

IV.4 The JLO Pairing and the Generating Functional

The Generating Functional $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$:

We evaluate the generating functional $\mathcal{J}(z; a)$ of (III.15) for

$\tau = \tau^{\text{JLO}}$. Since $\tau_{2n+1}^{\text{JLO}} = 0$, we can also write for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\mathcal{J}^{\text{JLO}}(z; a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-z^2)^n \text{tr} \tau_n(a, a, \dots, a; g) . \quad (\text{IV.32})$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{J}^{\text{JLO}}(z; a) = \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-Q^2 + izda} \right) . \quad (\text{IV.33})$$

A Formula for the Pairing: Using (IV.33), we infer that the pairing can be expressed simply.

Proposition IV.2. *The pairing $\langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}, a \rangle$ of the cochain τ^{JLO} with $a \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A})$ satisfying $a^2 = I$ can be written*

$$3^Q(a; g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-Q^2 + itda} \right) dt . \quad (\text{IV.34})$$

Here Tr denotes both the trace on $\mathcal{H}$ and the matrix trace in $\text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A})$.

V Fractionally Differentiable Structures

We use the name *quantum harmonic analysis* for the study of fractional differentiability of operator valued functions. An interpolation space, in the quantum context, is a Banach algebra of operator-valued functions with fractional derivatives. We distinguish quantum harmonic analysis from “non-commutative harmonic analysis,” a term used to denote the study of harmonic analysis on non-commutative groups.

V.1 The Classical Picture

Let us digress on a simple case — we refer to it as the classical case — for purposes of motivation. Take $\mathcal{E} = \oplus_{k=0}^n \mathcal{E}_k$ to be the exterior algebra of smooth differential forms on the n -torus $\mathbb{T}^n$. Let $\mathcal{E}_k$

denote k -forms with the standard L^2 inner product. We let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Hilbert space of L^2 forms obtained by completing $\mathcal{E}$ as an inner product space with the inner product on $\mathcal{E}$ given by the sum of the inner products on $\mathcal{E}_k$. Thus $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{k=0}^n \mathcal{H}^{(k)}$. Also define γ as $(-1)^k$ on $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$, and let $\mathfrak{G}$ denote the group of translations on T^n . Thus $\mathfrak{G}$ acts unitarily on $\mathcal{H}$, and for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $(U(x)f)(x) = f(x-y)$.

Define d to be the exterior derivative with domain $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}$. Then define $Q = d + d^*$. Clearly $Q\gamma + \gamma Q = 0$ on $\mathcal{E}$, and $U(g)Q = QU(g)$. The operator Q with domain $\mathcal{E}$ is essentially self-adjoint. Then $Q^2 = dd^* + d^*d = -\Delta$ is the Laplacian. Also $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$, $\beta \geq 0$, commutes with γ and $U(a)$. Furthermore $\exp(-\beta Q^2) = e^{\beta \Delta}$ is trace class for every $\beta > 0$.

Alternatively, we can consider $\mathcal{E}$ as a subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$, the bounded, linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$. An element in $\mathcal{E}_k$ maps $\mathcal{H}_{k'}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{k+k'}$ by exterior multiplication. We give this algebra the norm

$$\|b\|_1 = \|b\| + \|db\|, \quad b \in \mathcal{E}, \quad (\text{V.1})$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm on $\mathcal{H}$. This agrees with the L^∞ norm defined on the coefficients of the form b . Define $\mathfrak{A}_1$ as the completion of the smooth functions $\mathcal{E}_0$ (the smooth zero forms) in the norm (V.1). Hence $\mathfrak{A}_1$ is the algebra of Lipschitz continuous functions,

$$\sup_x |a(x+y) - a(x)| \leq M|y|. \quad (\text{V.2})$$

Since (V.1) has the same form as the norm (IV.26), we can regard this example as a special case of §IV where we take $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_1$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_1$. From this point of view, the material in §IV belongs to the study of the non-commutative Lipschitz class.

In order to distinguish the differentiable structure from the continuous structure in the non-commutative case, one wants to study the analogs of Hölder continuous classes, which in the classical case would satisfy

$$\sup_x |a(x+y) - a(x)| \leq M|y|^\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad (\text{V.3})$$

for α the exponent of continuity.

Related to such classes are functions with fractional derivatives of order α . The derivative da of a Hölder continuous function is unbounded. However fractional derivatives may be bounded. One way to define an L^p fractional derivative of order α of the function a is to suppose that

$$(-\Delta + I)^{\alpha/2} a(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^n), \quad (\text{V.4})$$

for which an extensive theory exists in the classical case, see [30]. If $a(x)$ is bounded, then a natural norm on such functions is $\|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|(-\Delta + I)^{\alpha/2} a\|_{L^p}$. If the norm with $p = \infty$ exists, then one is ensured that the function $a(x)$ is Hölder continuous for all continuity exponents $\alpha' < \alpha$. For $\alpha \leq 1$ this norm

$$\|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|(-\Delta + I)^{\alpha/2} a\|_{L^\infty} \quad (\text{V.5})$$

is equivalent to

$$\|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|(-\Delta + I)^{-(1-\alpha)/2} da\|_{L^\infty} . \quad (\text{V.6})$$

The norm (V.6) provides a natural measure of functions with derivatives of order α , or of functions which are Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha' < \alpha$. Other norms of classical analysis could also be studied.

In this section we pose these questions in the non-commutative case. Thus function space norms need to be replaced by operator norms, and there are other corresponding adjustments. We have the following dictionary

classical	non-commutative
function space	algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ of linear transformations
exterior derivative d	graded commutator with Q
Laplacian	Q^2
$(-1)^{\text{degree}}$	γ
L^∞ -norm	operator norm
L^p -norm	I_p -Schatten norm
generalized function	operator-valued generalized function
Sobolev norms of a function	norm of maps between Sobolev spaces
tempered distribution	bounded map between Sobolev spaces
space of fractionally differential functions	interpolation space $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$
exterior derivative	graded commutator with Q
degree of regularity	local regularity exponent η_{local}
regularity as a function of dimension	global regularity exponent η_{global}
regularized current	heat kernel regularization
integral of (current)	JLO-cochain τ_n^{JLO}

It is natural to define fractional derivatives in terms of the scales determined by Q . Thus we say that a bounded operator a has a derivative of order μ if $(Q^2 + I)^{\mu/2} a (Q^2 + I)^{-\mu/2}$ is also bounded. We take the norm

$$\|a\| + \|(Q^2 + I)^{\mu/2} a (Q^2 + I)^{-\mu/2}\| \quad (\text{V.7})$$

as the non-commutative version of (V.5). Since da is fundamental for the theory of invariants, we prefer to pose our assumptions in terms like (V.6), rather than (V.7). We show in §V.3 that (V.7) with $\mu > 1$ leads us to assume a bound on $\|(Q^2 + I)^{-(1-\mu)/2} da\|$ itself. More generally, it is possible to assume

$$\|(Q^2 + I)^{-\beta/2} da (Q^2 + I)^{-\alpha/2}\| < \infty \quad (\text{V.8})$$

for some $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1$. The order of differentiability is $\mu = 1 - \beta$. Thus we obtain not one space, but a family of non-commutative spaces which generalize the space of bounded functions with fractional derivatives in the sense of (V.6). In fact, each of these spaces gives rise to a theory

of geometric invariants. In §V.5 we introduce a family of *interpolation spaces* $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$ that provides a natural framework in which to generalize the construction of §IV.

V.2 Sobolev Spaces in $\mathcal{H}$

We start with a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a fundamental Dirac operator $Q = Q^*$ on $\mathcal{H}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}$, as in §IV. We define Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}_p \subset \mathcal{H}$ for $0 \leq p < \infty$ which are the domain of $|Q|^p$ with a Hilbert space structure. In order to simplify the discussion of embeddings, let us consider the domain of the operator $(Q^2 + I)^{p/2}$, which we denote $\mathcal{D}((Q^2 + I)^{p/2})$ or $\mathcal{D}_p = \mathcal{D}_p(Q)$ for short. The Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}_p = \mathcal{H}_p(Q)$, $0 \leq p < \infty$, is the domain $\mathcal{D}_p$, considered as a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_p} = \langle (Q^2 + I)^{p/2} f, (Q^2 + I)^{p/2} g \rangle . \quad (\text{V.9})$$

The corresponding negative Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$, for $p > 0$, is the completion of $\mathcal{H}$ in the norm determined by the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{-p}} = \langle (Q^2 + I)^{-p/2} f, (Q^2 + I)^{-p/2} g \rangle . \quad (\text{V.10})$$

For $\alpha > \beta$ there is a natural embedding $\mathcal{H}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{H}_\beta$. With respect to this embedding the spaces $\mathcal{H}_p$ and $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$ are dual, and for $p > 0$ they define a Gelfand triple

$$\mathcal{H}_p \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}_{-p} . \quad (\text{V.11})$$

This is a standard device in the study of classical generalized functions or distributions, see [Gelfand]. We also introduce the square root of the resolvent of the “Laplacian” Q^2 ,

$$R = (Q^2 + I)^{-1/2} , \quad (\text{V.12})$$

so

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_p} = \langle R^{-p} f, R^{-p} g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} . \quad (\text{V.13})$$

In the classical case, the integral operator given by $R^{p/2}$, $p > 0$, is called the Bessel transform operator of order p . We define

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty = \bigcap_p \mathcal{H}_p , \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{-\infty} = \bigcup_p \mathcal{H}_p . \quad (\text{V.14})$$

Then for $p \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty \subset \mathcal{H}_p \subset \mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_{-p} \subset \mathcal{H}_{-\infty} , \quad (\text{V.15})$$

and for $s > 0$,

$$e^{-sQ^2} : \mathcal{H}_{-\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\infty . \quad (\text{V.16})$$

V.3 Some Facts

Schatten Classes I_p .

The analog in the non-commutative case of l_p spaces are the Schatten ideals $I_p = I_p(\mathcal{H})$. This is the subspace of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$ for which the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ is finite. Here

$$\|b\|_p = \|b\|_{I_p} = \left(\text{Tr} \left((b^*b)^{p/2} \right) \right)^{1/p}. \quad (\text{V.17})$$

When there may be a chance of confusion, we write $\|\cdot\|_{I_p}$ for $\|\cdot\|_p$. For $p = 1$ this is the trace norm, and if $\|b\|_p < \infty$ for some p , then $\|b\| = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|b\|_p$. It is clear that $\|b\|_p \leq \|b\|_{p'}$ if $p' \leq p$.

The Schatten norms satisfy a Hölder inequality for $1 \leq r$, namely

$$\|ab\|_r \leq \|a\|_p \|b\|_q, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}. \quad (\text{V.18})$$

More generally,

$$\|a_0 \cdots a_n\|_r \leq \prod_{j=0}^n \|a_j\|_{p_j}, \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{p_j} = \frac{1}{r}. \quad (\text{V.19})$$

The Beta Function B_n . Let $\eta_j > 0$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Then define the beta function B_n as

$$B_n(\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^n \Gamma(\eta_j)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=0}^n \eta_j\right)}. \quad (\text{V.20})$$

Here $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the gamma function.

We also define $\sigma_n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as the subset

$$\sigma_n = \left\{ s : s \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad 0 < s_j, \quad \sum_{j=0}^n s_j = 1 \right\}. \quad (\text{V.21})$$

A natural measure on σ_n is $d^n s(1)$, as given in (IV.12), namely Lebesgue measure restricted to the n -hyperplane $s_0 + \cdots + s_n = 1$. Then we claim that

$$B_n(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_n) = \int_{\sigma_n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-1+\eta_j} \right) d^n s(1), \quad (\text{V.22})$$

namely B_n is a Radon transform given by the hyperplane σ_n . For $0 < \beta$, let $\beta\sigma_n$ denote the set σ_n scaled by β and define the Radon transform

$$B_n(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_n; \beta) = \int_{\beta\sigma_n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-1+\eta_j} \right) d^n s(\beta). \quad (\text{V.23})$$

Changing variables, we have

$$B_n(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_n; \beta) = \beta^{-1+\sum_{j=0}^n \eta_j} B_n(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_j; 1), \quad (\text{V.24})$$

or

$$\int_{\beta\sigma_n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-1+\eta_j} \right) d^n s(\beta) = \beta^{-1+\sum_{j=0}^n \eta_j} \int_{\sigma_n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-1+\eta_j} \right) d^n s(1).$$

Using the representation $\Gamma(\eta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{-1+\eta} dt$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\eta_0) \cdots \Gamma(\eta_n) &= \int_{s_n > 0} \left(e^{-\sum_{j=0}^n s_j} \right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-1+\eta_j} \right) ds_0 ds_1 \cdots ds_n \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\beta e^{-\beta} \int_{s_n > 0} ds_0 ds_1 \cdots ds_n \delta(s_0 + s_1 + \cdots + s_n - \beta) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-1+\eta_j} \right) \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\beta e^{-\beta} \int_{\beta\sigma_n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-1+\eta_j} \right) d^n s(\beta) \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\beta e^{-\beta} \beta^{-1+\sum_{j=0}^n \eta_j} \int_{\sigma_n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-1+\eta_j} \right) d^n s(1) \\ &= \Gamma \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \eta_j \right) \int_{\sigma_n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-1+\eta_j} \right) d^n s(1), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second to last equality we use (V.24). Hence we have proved (V.22).

We remark that with $|\sigma_n|$ the measure of σ_n , and $\beta > 0$, we infer from (V.20,24) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n| &= B_n(1, \dots, 1) = \frac{1}{n!}, \quad |\beta\sigma_n| = \frac{\beta^n}{n!}, \\ B_n\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, 1\right) &= \frac{4^n n!}{(2n)!}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_n\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, 1\right) = \frac{\pi}{(n-1)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.25})$$

V.4 Operator-Valued Generalized Functions

We formulate here the natural definition of a generalized function in the non-commutative case, which reduces to a distribution in the classical case. A *generalized function*, or *operator valued distribution*, is a bounded, linear transformation between two spaces $\mathcal{H}_p = \mathcal{H}_p(Q)$ for different values of p . We consider a bounded linear transformation x with domain $\mathcal{H}_{p_1}$ and range contained in $\mathcal{H}_{p_2}$. If $p_1 = 0$ and $p_2 \geq 0$, then x is a bounded linear transformation on $\mathcal{H}$. If $p_1 > 0$ and $p_2 \geq 0$, then x is an unbounded operator on $\mathcal{H}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}_{p_1}$. If $p_1 \geq 0$ and $p_2 < 0$, then x is a sesquilinear form with domain $\mathcal{D}_{p_2} \times \mathcal{D}_{p_1}$.

The operator $(Q^2 + I)^{-p/2}$ defines a unitary isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_{p_1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{p_1+p}$. The norm of a bounded, linear transformation x from $\mathcal{H}_{p_1}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{p_2}$ is given on $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\|x\|_{(p_2, p_1)} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{p_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{p_2}} = \|R^{-p_2} x R^{p_1}\| . \quad (\text{V.26})$$

We often are interested in the case $p_1 \geq 0$ and $p_2 < 0$ of generalized functions, for which

$$\|x\|_{(-p_2, p_1)} = \|x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{p_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-p_2}} = \|R^{p_2} x R^{p_1}\| . \quad (\text{V.27})$$

Let $\mathcal{T}(p_2, p_1) = \mathcal{T}(p_2, p_1; Q)$ denote the space of bounded, linear transformations from $\mathcal{H}_{p_1}(Q)$ to $\mathcal{H}_{p_2}(Q)$. If $x \in \mathcal{T}(p_3, p_2)$ and $y \in \mathcal{T}(p_2, p_1)$ then $xy \in \mathcal{T}(p_3, p_1)$. Clearly Q is an element of $\mathcal{T}(p-1, p)$, with norm $\|Q\|_{(p-1, p)} = 1$.

For $\alpha, \beta > 0$, any $x \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$ defines a sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{D}_\beta \times \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ in $\mathcal{H}$. For all p , the space $\mathcal{D}_p \subset \mathcal{H}_\infty$, so x is defined on $\mathcal{H}_\infty \times \mathcal{H}_\infty$. Thus for $0 < s, t$, we infer from (IV.16) that

$$e^{-sQ^2} x e^{-tQ^2}$$

is bounded. Thus for $0 < s \leq 1$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

$$\|R^{-\alpha} e^{-sQ^2}\| \leq 2s^{-\alpha/2} , \text{ and } \|e^{-sQ^2} x e^{-tQ^2}\| \leq 4s^{-\beta/2} t^{-\alpha/2} \|x\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} . \quad (\text{V.28})$$

We could on this account define an alternative norm

$$\|x\|_{(-p_2, p_1)} = \sup_{0 < s, t \leq 1} \left(s^{\beta/2} t^{\alpha/2} \|e^{-sQ^2} x e^{-tQ^2}\| \right) , \quad (\text{V.29})$$

and use (V.26) to define a slightly larger space of generalized functions including $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$, namely the completion of $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$ in the norm $\|x\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} \leq 4\|x\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)}$.

Definition V.1.a. A non-commutative generalized function x is an element of $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$ for some α, β . If $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, we call x a vertex of type (β, α) , with respect to Q , (or vertex for short).

b. A regular set of $(n+1)$ ordered vertices $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$, with respect to Q , (for short, a regular set of vertices) is a set of vertices x_j of type (β_j, α_j) , where α_j, β_j satisfy the following conditions:

$$0 < \eta_j = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1}) , \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n . \quad (\text{V.30})$$

Here β_{n+1} is defined by $\beta_{n+1} = \beta_0$.

c. The local regularity exponent η_{local} of the set X is defined by the

$$0 < \eta_{\text{local}} = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{\eta_j\} , \quad (\text{V.31})$$

and the global regularity exponent η_{global} of the set X defined by the mean exponent

$$\eta_{\text{global}} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \eta_j . \quad (\text{V.32})$$

A given vertex x_j is generally an element of several different spaces $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$; for instance, Q is an element of $\mathcal{T}(\mu-1, \mu)$ for every real μ . We say that a set of vertices $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ is regular if it satisfies Definition V.1.b for some given set of $\{-\beta_j, \alpha_j\}$. Note that

$$0 < \eta_{\text{local}} \leq \eta_{\text{global}} \leq 1 . \quad (\text{V.33})$$

Furthermore, if $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a regular set of vertices, then so is any cyclic permutation

$$\{x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}\} . \quad (\text{V.34})$$

The transformations $U(g)$ and γ commute with Q^2 on $\mathcal{H}$. Thus $U(g) : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ and $\gamma : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$. We infer that $x \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$ ensures

$$x^\gamma = \gamma x \gamma \text{ and } x^g = U(g)xU(g)^* \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha) . \quad (\text{V.35})$$

It follows that if $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ is a regular set of vertices, then so is

$$\{x_0^{g_0}, x_1^{g_1}, \dots, x_n^{g_n}\} \quad (\text{V.36})$$

for $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathfrak{G}$. Similarly any of the x_j 's may be replaced by x_j^γ .

Definition V.2. The heat kernel regularization of a regular set of vertices $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with respect to Q is defined for $s \in \sigma_n$ by the following sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$,

$$X(s) = R^{\beta_0} x_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} x_1 e^{-s_1 Q^2} \dots x_n e^{-s_n Q^2} R^{-\beta_0} . \quad (\text{V.37})$$

We take $X(s) = 0$ for $s \notin \sigma_n$.

Note that $s \in \sigma_n$ ensures that each $s_j > 0$. Hence the form (V.34) is bounded on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$, and $X(s)$ uniquely determines a bounded, linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$, which we also denote by $X(s)$. Furthermore, $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$ is trace class for all $\beta > 0$, so $X(s)$ is a trace class operator on $\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition V.3. Assume that $X(s)$ is the heat kernel regularization (V.34) of a regular set of vertices with respect to Q . Then for any μ in the interval $0 < \mu < 1$:

(i) The trace norm of $X(s)$ is bounded for $s \in \sigma_n$, as defined in (V.21), by

$$\|X(s)\|_1 \leq \left(2\mu^{-(1-\eta_{\text{global}})}\right)^{n+1} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\mu)Q^2} \right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-(1-\eta_j)} \|x_j\|_{(-\beta_j, \alpha_j)} \right) , \quad (\text{V.38})$$

with η_{global} defined in (V.32) and η_j in (V.31).

- (ii) *The map $s \mapsto X(s)$ is continuous from σ_n to I_1 , the Schatten ideal of trace class operators. In fact the map is Hölder continuous with exponent η' less than η_{local} , up to the boundary of σ_n . For the Euclidean distance $|s - s'|$ sufficiently small, and with m_1, m_2 defined in (V.51),*

$$\|X(s) - X(s')\|_1 \leq m_1 m_2^{n+1} |s - s'|^{\eta'} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-1+\eta_j} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n s_j^{-\eta'} \right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|x_j\|_{(-\beta_j, \alpha_j)} \right). \quad (\text{V.39})$$

Since $\eta' < \eta_{\text{local}}$, the right hand side of (V.39) is integrable over $s \in \sigma_n$.

- (iii) *If each $x_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then for $s \in \sigma_n$,*

$$\|X(s)\|_1 \leq \text{Tr}(e^{-Q^2}) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|x_j\| \right). \quad (\text{V.40})$$

Proof. Define the following operators $T_j, S_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$:

$$T_j = R^{\beta_j} x_j R^{\alpha_j}, \quad S_j = R^{-\alpha_j - \beta_{j+1}} e^{-s_j Q^2}, \quad (\text{V.41})$$

where $\beta_{n+1} := \beta_0$. Then $X(s) = T_0 S_0 T_1 S_1 \cdots T_n S_n$. Each T_j is bounded, and

$$\|T_j\| = \|x_j\|_{(-\beta_j, \alpha_j)}. \quad (\text{V.42})$$

Each S_j is in the Schatten class $I_{s_j^{-1}}$. In fact, for $0 < \mu < 1$, by the Hölder inequality for Schatten norms (V.18),

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_j\|_{s^{-1}} &\leq \|R^{-\alpha_j - \beta_{j+1}} e^{-\mu s_j Q^2}\|_{I_\infty} \|e^{-(1-\mu)s_j Q^2}\|_{I_{s_j^{-1}}} \\ &\leq 2(\mu s_j)^{-(\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1})/2} \text{Tr}(e^{-(1-\mu)Q^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.43})$$

where we use the bound (V.28) for the $\|\cdot\|_{I_\infty}$ (operator) norm. Thus using Hölder's inequality (V.19) on $X(s)$ with the exponent ∞ for T_j and the exponent s_j^{-1} for S_j , and using $\sum_{j=0}^n s_j = 1$, we have (with the exponents η_j and η_{global} defined in (V.31,32))

$$\|X(s)\|_1 \leq 2^{n+1} \mu^{-(n+1)(1-\eta_{\text{global}})} \text{Tr}(e^{-(1-\mu)Q^2}) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{-(1-\eta_j)} \|x_j\|_{(-\beta_j, \alpha_j)} \right),$$

which is the bound (V.38). Note that if $x_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, then $\alpha_j = \beta_j = 0$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and we can take $\mu = 0$ in the bound on S_j . In fact, we have $\|T_j\| = \|x_j\|$ and $\|S_j\|_{I_{s_j^{-1}}} = (\text{Tr}(e^{-Q^2}))^{s_j}$. Thus the factor 2^{n+1} in (V.35) can be replaced by 1. Also $\eta_j = 1 = \eta_{\text{global}}$, for all j , so in this case we have (V.36). This completes the proof of (i) and (iii).

(ii). In order to establish continuity of $s \rightarrow X(s)$ at s , we consider $X(s) - X(s')$ where $s, s' \in \sigma_n$ and where s' is sufficiently close to s . Let $\tilde{s} = \min_j s_j$; note $s \in \sigma_n$ ensures $\tilde{s} > 0$. We suppose that s' lies in the neighborhood of s defined by

$$\sup_j |s_j - s'_j| < \epsilon \tilde{s}. \quad (\text{V.44})$$

We take $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Thus

$$|s_j - s'_j| s_j^{-1} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad s'_j \geq (1 - \epsilon) s_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n. \quad (\text{V.45})$$

The first inequality in (V.45) is a consequence of

$$|s_j - s'_j| \leq \epsilon \tilde{s} \leq \epsilon s_j,$$

while the second inequality follows by

$$s'_j = s_j + (s'_j - s_j) \geq s_j - |s_j - s'_j| \geq (1 - \epsilon) s_j.$$

We now show that on this set, and for any $\eta' < \eta_{\text{local}}$,

$$\|X(s) - X(s')\|_1 \leq 0(|s - s'|^{\eta'}). \quad (\text{V.46})$$

In other words, $s \mapsto X(s)$ is Hölder continuous with any exponent $\eta' < \eta_{\text{local}}$.

We require a slightly different set of bounds from (V.43). Let us denote $S_j(s)$ by S_j and $S_j(s')$ by S'_j . The bound (V.45) ensures

$$\begin{aligned} \|S'_j\|_{s_j^{-1}} &\leq \|R^{-\alpha_j - \beta_{j+1}} e^{-\mu s'_j Q^2}\| \|e^{-(1-\mu)s'_j Q^2}\|_{I_{s_j^{-1}}} \\ &\leq 2(\mu s'_j)^{-(\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1})/2} \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\mu)(s'_j/s_j) Q^2} \right) \right)^{s_j} \\ &\leq 2((1-\epsilon)\mu s_j)^{-(\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1})/2} \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\mu)(1-\epsilon) Q^2} \right) \right)^{s_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.47})$$

Furthermore we establish for $\eta' < \eta_{\text{local}}$

$$\|S_j - S'_j\|_{s_j^{-1}} \leq M s_j^{-1 + (\eta_j - \eta')} |s_j - s'_j|^{\eta'} \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\epsilon)(1-\mu) Q^2} \right) \right)^{s_j}, \quad (\text{V.48})$$

where

$$M = 2\mu^{-2 + \eta_j} \epsilon^{1 - \eta'}. \quad (\text{V.49})$$

Let $s_j(\alpha) = \alpha s_j + (1 - \alpha) s'_j$ interpolate between s_j and s'_j . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_j - S'_j &= S_j(s_j(\alpha))|_0^1 = \int_0^1 \frac{dS_j(s_j(\alpha))}{d\alpha} d\alpha \\ &= (s'_j - s_j) \int_0^1 Q^2 S_j(s_j(\alpha)) d\alpha \\ &= (s'_j - s_j) \int_0^1 Q^2 R^{-(\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1})} e^{-s_j(\alpha) Q^2} d\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.50})$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_j - S'_j\|_{s_j^{-1}} &\leq |s_j - s'_j| \int_0^1 \|Q^2 R^{-(\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1})} e^{-\mu s_j(\alpha) Q^2} \| \|e^{-(1-\mu)s_j(\alpha) Q^2}\|_{s_j^{-1}} d\alpha \\ &\leq |s_j - s'_j| \int_0^1 2(\mu s_j(\alpha))^{-(2+\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1})/2} \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\mu)s_j(\alpha) Q^2} \right) \right)^{s_j} d\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.51})$$

For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$s_j(\alpha) \geq (1 - \epsilon)s_j. \quad (\text{V.52})$$

Thus

$$\|S_j - S'_j\|_{s_j^{-1}} \leq 2|s_j - s'_j| (\mu s_j)^{-(2+\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1})/2} \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\epsilon)(1-\mu)Q^2} \right) \right)^{s_j}. \quad (\text{V.53})$$

Write $s_j^{-(2+\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1})/2} = s_j^{-(1-\eta')} s_j^{-(\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1} + 2\eta')/2}$, and use (V.47). Thus

$$\|S_j - S'_j\|_{s_j^{-1}} \leq 2|s_j - s'_j|^{\eta'} s_j^{-(\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1} + 2\eta')/2} \epsilon^{(1-\eta')} \mu^{-(2+\alpha_j + \beta_{j+1})/2} \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\epsilon)(1-p)Q^2} \right) \right)^{s_j}. \quad (\text{V.54})$$

With η_j given in (V.27) and M of (V.49) we have (V.48).

Now write

$$X(s) - X(s') = \sum_{j=0}^n T_0 S_0 T_1 S_1 \cdots T_j (S_j - S'_j) T_{j+1} S'_{j+1} \cdots T_n S'_n. \quad (\text{V.55})$$

Estimate $\|X(s) - X(s')\|_1$ using Hölder's inequality in the I_p norms, as in the derivation of the bound on $X(s)$. Use the operator norm on each T_j and the $\|\cdot\|_{s_j^{-1}}$ -Schatten norm on S_j , on S'_j , or on $S_j - S'_j$.

We obtain from (V.38, 43, 47, 48) the following bound on (V.55):

$$\|X(s) - X(s')\|_1 \leq m_1 m_2^{n+1} |s - s'|^{\eta'} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n s_j^{(-1+\eta_j)} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n s_j^{-\eta'} \right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|x_j\|_{(-\beta_j, \alpha_j)} \right). \quad (\text{V.56})$$

Here

$$m_1 = \mu^{-1} \epsilon^{(1-\eta')} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\epsilon)(1-\mu)Q^2} \right), \text{ and } m_2 = 2((1-\epsilon)\mu)^{-(1-\eta_{\text{global}})}. \quad (\text{V.57})$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.

Corollary V.4. *Assume $X(s)$ is the heat kernel regularization (V.37) for a regular set of vertices with respect to Q , and that $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$ is trace class for all $\beta > 0$. Then with $d^n s = d^n s(1)$ defined in (IV.12),*

(i) *The Radon transform*

$$\hat{X} = \int_{\sigma_n} X(s) d^n s \quad (\text{V.58})$$

exists and is a trace class operator on $\mathcal{H}$.

(ii) *The trace and integration of $\gamma U(g)X(s)$ commute, namely*

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma U(g)\hat{X}) = \int_{\sigma_n} \text{Tr}(\gamma U(g)X(s)) d^n s. \quad (\text{V.59})$$

(iii) *For $s \in \sigma_n$, defined in (V.21), and for $0 < \mu < 1$, the quantity*

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma U(g)X(s)) = \text{Tr}\left(\gamma U(g)e^{-\mu s_n Q^2} x_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} x_1 e^{-s_1 Q^2} \dots e^{-s_{n-1} Q^2} x_n e^{-(1-\mu)s_n Q^2}\right), \quad (\text{V.60})$$

is independent of μ . Thus we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\left(\gamma U(g)x_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} \dots x_n e^{-s_n Q^2}\right) &= \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Tr}\left(\gamma U(g)e^{-\mu s_n Q^2} x_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} \dots x_n e^{-(1-\mu)s_n Q^2}\right) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\gamma U(g)X(s)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.61})$$

In summary, we write $\text{Tr}(\gamma U(g)X)$ as

$$\langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n = \int_{\sigma_n} \text{Tr}\left(\gamma U(g)x_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} \dots x_n e^{-s_n Q^2}\right) d^n s. \quad (\text{V.62})$$

(iv) *Given $0 < \mu < 1$, the expectation (V.62) satisfies*

$$|\langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n| \leq m_1 m_2^{n+1} \Gamma((n+1)\eta_{\text{global}})^{-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|x_j\|_{(-\beta_j, \alpha_j)} \right), \quad (\text{V.63})$$

for constants

$$m_1 = \text{Tr}\left(e^{-(1-\mu)Q^2}\right), \quad m_2 = 2\Gamma(\eta_{\text{local}})\mu^{-(1-\eta_{\text{global}})}. \quad (\text{V.64})$$

(v) *If all $x_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, so $\alpha_i = \beta_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then*

$$|\langle x_0, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \text{Tr}\left(e^{-Q^2}\right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|x_j\| \right). \quad (\text{V.65})$$

(vi) *The expectation $\langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n$ satisfies the symmetries (IV.15–19). Thus,*

$$\langle x_0, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n = \langle x_0^g, \dots, x_n^g; g \rangle_n = \langle x_0^\gamma, \dots, x_n^\gamma; g \rangle_n, \quad (\text{V.66})$$

$$\langle x_0, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n = \left\langle x_n^{g^{-1}\gamma}, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right\rangle_n \quad (\text{V.67})$$

$$\langle x_0, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \langle x_0, \dots, x_{j-1}, I, x_j, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_{n+1} \quad (\text{V.68})$$

and if both $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, Qx_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j Q, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ are also a regular set of vertices for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, then

$$\langle d\hat{X}; g \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^n \langle x_0^\gamma, x_1^\gamma, \dots, x_{j-1}^\gamma, dx_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n = 0. \quad (\text{V.69})$$

Proof. (i–ii) We showed in Propostion V.3 i–ii, that $s \mapsto X(s)$ is Hölder continuous from σ_n to the Schatten class I_1 . Using this bound, we infer that the Radon transform $\int_{\sigma_n} X(s) ds$ exists on the unit hyperplane, and the integral and trace commute

$$\int_{\sigma_n} \text{Tr} (X(s)) d^n s = \text{Tr} \left(\int_{\sigma_n} X(s) d^n s \right).$$

This is also the case with $X(s)$ replaced by $TX(s)$, for $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. In particular (V.59) holds.

(iii) We evaluate $\text{Tr} (\gamma U(g) X(s))$ for $s \in \sigma_n$. With the notation (V.41), $\text{Tr} (\gamma U(g) X(s)) = \text{Tr} (\gamma U(g) T_0 S_0 \cdots T_n S_n)$. Each S_j is trace class, and for $0 < \mu < 1$, both $e^{-\mu Q^2}$ and $e^{-(1-\mu)Q^2}$ are trace class. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} (\gamma U(g) X(s)) &= \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) T_0 S_0 \cdots T_n S_n e^{\mu s_n Q^2} e^{-\mu s_n Q^2} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(e^{-\mu s_n Q^2} \gamma U(g) T_0 S_0 \cdots T_n S_n e^{\mu s_n Q^2} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) e^{-\mu s_n Q^2} R^{\beta_0} x_0 R^{\alpha_0} S_0 \cdots T_n R^{-\alpha_n} R^{-\beta_0} e^{-(1-\mu) s_n Q^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that Q^2 commutes with γ and with $U(g)$. Also, R^{β_0} commutes with Q^2 , with γ , and with $U(g)$. Therefore we can also cyclically permute R^{β_0} in the trace to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} (\gamma U(g) X(s)) &= \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) e^{-\mu s_n Q^2} R^{\beta_0} x_0 R^{\alpha_0} S_0 \cdots T_n R^{-\alpha_n} R^{-\beta_0} e^{-(1-\mu) s_n Q^2} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) e^{-\mu s_n Q^2} x_0 R^{\alpha_0} S_0 \cdots T_n R^{-\alpha_n} e^{-(1-\mu) s_n Q^2} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) e^{-\mu s_n Q^2} x_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} x_1 e^{-s_1 Q^2} \cdots e^{-s_{n-1} Q^2} x_n e^{-(1-\mu) s_n Q^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for any μ in the range, $\text{Tr} (\gamma U(g) X(s))$ is independent of μ , and we have established (V.61). This completes the proof of (iii).

(iv–v) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; g \rangle| &= |\text{Tr} (\gamma U(g) \hat{X})| \leq \|\gamma U(g)\| \|\hat{X}\|_1 \\ &\leq \int_{\sigma_n} \|X(s)\|_1 d^n s. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.70})$$

Thus the bounds (V.63–65) are established by integrating (V.38) over σ_n , and using the definition of B_n , see (V.20). Note that $\eta_j < 1$, and $\Gamma(\eta_j)$ is monotonic decreasing on $(0,1)$. Thus $\Gamma(\eta_j) \leq \Gamma(\eta_{\text{local}})$.

(vi) The symmetries (V.66, 67, 69) can be established as the corresponding symmetries for $\text{Tr} (\gamma U(g) X(s))$, expressed as (V.60). Then we integrate over σ_n . In the case of (V.68), we follow the argument in §IV leading to (IV.22).

V.5 Interpolation Spaces

In this section we define certain Banach algebras $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$ consisting of operators $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with a bounded fractional derivative. We call these spaces *interpolation spaces*. These spaces are a natural framework for the study of the JLO cochain, and in §VI we introduce algebras $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$ to study τ^{JLO} on $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$.

As in previous sections let $Q = Q^*$ with domain $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}$, and let $R = (Q^2 + I)^{-1/2}$. We say that b has a bounded derivative of order $\alpha > 0$, if b is a bounded linear transformation on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. In other words, the form $R^{-\alpha}bR^\alpha$ defines a bounded element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which we denote

$$R^{-\alpha}bR^\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) . \quad (\text{V.71})$$

In the notation of §V.2, $b \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha, \alpha)$. Let us define $\mathfrak{J}_\alpha$ as $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{T}(\alpha, \alpha)$ with the norm

$$\|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_\alpha} = (\|b\| + \|R^{-\alpha}bR^\alpha\|) . \quad (\text{V.72})$$

If $b \in \mathfrak{J}_\alpha$, then as a bilinear form on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \times \mathcal{D}_\alpha$,

$$\langle R^{-\alpha}g, bR^\alpha f \rangle = \langle g, R^{-\alpha}bR^\alpha f \rangle \quad (\text{V.73})$$

and

$$|\langle R^{-\alpha}g, bR^\alpha f \rangle| = \|g\| \|f\| \|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_\alpha} . \quad (\text{V.74})$$

Since Q is self-adjoint, $bR^\alpha \in \mathcal{D}((R^{-\alpha})^*) = \mathcal{D}(R^{-\alpha})$. It follows that if $a, b \in \mathfrak{J}_\alpha$, then $ab \in \mathfrak{J}_\alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|ab\|_{\mathfrak{J}_\alpha} &= \|ab\| + \|R^{-\alpha}aR^\alpha R^{-\alpha}bR^\alpha\| \\ &\leq \|a\| \|b\| + \|R^{-\alpha}aR^\alpha\| \|R^{-\alpha}bR^\alpha\| \leq \|a\|_{\mathfrak{J}_\alpha} \|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_\alpha} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.75})$$

Thus $\mathfrak{J}_\alpha$ is a Banach algebra.

It is useful to characterize fractional differentiability not by the property (V.71), but rather by some properties of $db = Qb - b^*Q$. The reason is that the expression db arises in the geometric interpretation of $\mathfrak{A}$, as studied in §IV.

We now define such a family of subalgebras of $\mathfrak{J}_{1-\beta}$, which we denote by $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{1-\beta}$. Let $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1$. If $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $b \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$ if $db \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$. In other words, $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$ consists of elements b of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that (in the notation of §V.3) db is a vertex of type (β, α) . We give $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$ the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}} &= \|b\| + c_{\alpha+\beta} \|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \\ &= \|b\| + c_{\alpha+\beta} \|R^\beta db R^\alpha\| . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.76})$$

Here we define c_μ for $0 \leq \mu < 1$ by

$$c_\mu = \sup_{0 \leq \delta \leq 1} 2\delta \int_0^\infty (1+t^{-1})^{\delta/2} (1+t)^{-1-(1-\mu)/2} dt . \quad (\text{V.77})$$

Note that c_μ is greater than the $\delta = 1$ value in (V.77), which in turn is monotonic in μ . Thus

$$c_\mu \geq 2 \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2}(1+t)^{-1} dt = 2\pi > 1. \quad (\text{V.78})$$

Also c_μ diverges logarithmically as $\mu \nearrow 1$.

Proposition V.5. *Let $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then*

i) $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha} \subset \mathfrak{J}_\delta$ for all

$$0 \leq \delta \leq 1 - \beta. \quad (\text{V.79})$$

In this case

$$\|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_\delta} \leq 2\|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}}.$$

ii) *Let δ satisfy $-(1 - \alpha) \leq \delta \leq 1 - \beta$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha} \subset \mathcal{T}(\delta, \delta). \quad (\text{V.80})$$

Furthermore,

$$\|b\|_{(\delta, \delta)} = \|R^{-\delta} b R^\delta\| \leq \|b\| + \frac{1}{2} c_{\alpha+\beta} \|db\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} \leq \|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}}. \quad (\text{V.81})$$

iii) *If $a \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$, then $a \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, -\beta) \cap \mathcal{T}(\alpha, \alpha)$. Also if $a, b \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$, then both $(da)b$ and $a(db)$ are elements of $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$. Also*

$$\|(da)b\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} \leq \|da\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} \|b\|_{(\alpha, \alpha)} \quad (\text{V.82})$$

and

$$\|a(db)\|_{-\beta, \alpha} \leq \|a\|_{(-\beta, -\beta)} \|db\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)}. \quad (\text{V.83})$$

Corollary V.6. *Let $a, b \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$, with $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$, and $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Then*

(i) *Leibniz Rule: The relation*

$$d(ab) = (da)b + a^\gamma(db), \quad (\text{V.84})$$

is an identity of elements in $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$, namely between vertices $d(ab)$, $(da)b$, and $a^\gamma(db)$ of type (β, α) .

ii) *The space $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$ is a Banach algebra, so*

$$\|ab\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}} \leq \|a\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}} \|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}}. \quad (\text{V.85})$$

For $t \geq 0$, introduce the operators $R(t) = (Q^2 + (1+t)I)^{-1/2}$ and $R = R(0)$. Then the spectral theorem ensures that for $\mu \geq 0$,

$$\|R(t)^\mu\| \leq (1+t)^{-\mu/2}, \quad \|R^{-\mu}R(t)^\mu\| \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|QR(t)\| \leq 1. \quad (\text{V.86})$$

We use a standard representation for R^μ , $0 < \mu < 2$, which is a consequence of the Cauchy integral theorem applied to the function $z^{-\mu/2}$, namely

$$R^\mu = \frac{\sin(\pi\mu/2)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{-\mu/2} R(t)^2 dt. \quad (\text{V.87})$$

Proof of Proposition V.5 and Corollary V.6. (i) We estimate the $\mathfrak{J}_\delta$ norm of $b \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_2 = \mathcal{D}(Q^2) = \text{Range}(R(t)^2)$. On the form domain $\mathcal{D}_2 \times \mathcal{D}_2$, and for $0 \leq \delta \leq 1 - \beta$ we write

$$\|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_\delta} = \|b\| + \|R^{-\delta}bR^\delta\| \leq 2\|b\| + \|R^{-\delta}[b, R^\delta]\|. \quad (\text{V.88})$$

We now study $R^{-\delta}[b, R^\delta]$. Using (V.87),

$$[b, R^\delta] = \frac{\sin(\pi\delta/2)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{-\delta/2} [b, R(t)^2] dt. \quad (\text{V.89})$$

On $\mathcal{D}_2 \times \mathcal{D}_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} [b, R(t)^2] &= R(t)^2 [R(t)^{-2}, b] R(t)^2 \\ &= R(t)^2 (Qdb + db^\gamma Q) R(t)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.90})$$

Here $b^\gamma = \gamma b \gamma$, and $(db)^\gamma = -db^\gamma$. Hence

$$R^{-\delta}[b, R^\delta] = \frac{\sin(\pi\delta/2)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{-\delta/2} R^{-\delta} R(t)^2 (Qdb + db^\gamma Q) R(t)^2 dt. \quad (\text{V.91})$$

We can bound (V.91) using (V.86). We use the following to estimate the first term on the right of (V.91),

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^{-\delta} R(t)^2 Qdb R(t)^2\| &\leq \|R^\delta R(t)^2 Q R^{-\beta}\| \|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \|R^{-\alpha} R(t)^2\| \\ &\leq (1+t)^{-(1-\beta-\delta)/2} (1+t)^{-(2-\alpha)/2} \|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.92})$$

provided $\delta \leq 1 - \beta$, and $\alpha \leq 2$, as assumed in (V.79). Furthermore $db^\gamma = -(db)^\gamma$, and the unitarity of γ , along with $\gamma R = R\gamma$ ensures that for all (p, q) ,

$$\|db^\gamma\|_{(p,q)} = \|db\|_{(p,q)}. \quad (\text{V.93})$$

We therefore estimate in a similar fashion the second term on the right of (V.91) namely

$$\|R^{-\delta}R(t)^2db^\gamma QR(t)^2\| \leq (1+t)^{-(2-\delta-\beta)/2}(1+t)^{-(1-\alpha)/2}\|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)}, \quad (\text{V.94})$$

provided $\beta + \delta \leq 2$ and $\alpha \leq 1$. But $\beta + \delta \leq 1$ by (V.79); also $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$ ensures $\alpha < 1$. Hence (V.94) does hold.

Using (V.92, 94), we bound (V.91). There are two similar bounds for the two terms in (V.91). We also use $\sin x \leq x$ for $0 \leq x \leq \pi/2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^{-\delta}[b, R^\delta]\| &\leq \delta \int_0^\infty t^{-\delta/2}(1+t)^{-1-(1-\alpha-\beta)/2+\delta/2} dt \|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}c_{\alpha+\beta}\|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.95})$$

Here $c_{\alpha+\beta}$ is defined in (V.77), and is relevant since both $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq 1 - \beta \leq 1$. Hence we conclude that $b \in \mathfrak{J}_\delta$ for $0 \leq \delta \leq 1 - \beta$.

To estimate the norm $\|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_\delta}$, using (V.88) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_\delta} &\leq 2\|b\| + \|R^{-\delta}[b, R^\delta]\| \\ &\leq 2\|b\| + \frac{1}{2}c_{\alpha+\beta}\|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \\ &\leq 2\|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.96})$$

This completes the proof of that $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{J}_\delta$, and hence of part (i) of the proposition.

(ii) We have also proved part (ii) in case $0 \leq \delta \leq 1 - \beta$. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|_{(\delta,\delta)} &= \|R^{-\delta}bR^\delta\| \leq \|b\| + \|R^{-\delta}[b, R^\delta]\| \\ &\leq \|b\| + \frac{1}{2}c_{\alpha+\beta}\|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \leq \|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.97})$$

Thus to complete the proof of (ii), we need to verify the case $\alpha - 1 \leq \delta \leq 0$. In that case, we show equivalently that $b \in \mathcal{T}(-\delta, -\delta)$ for $0 \leq \delta \leq 1 - \alpha$. Thus we need to verify that $R^\delta b R^{-\delta}$ is bounded. Write

$$\begin{aligned} R^\delta b R^{-\delta} &= b + [R^\delta, b]R^{-\delta} \\ &= b - \frac{\sin(\pi\delta/2)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^{-\delta/2} R(t)^2 (Qdb + db^\gamma Q) R(t)^2 R^{-\delta} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.98})$$

Now we use the estimates (V.86), which yield

$$\|R(t)^2 Qdb R(t)^2 R^{-\delta}\| \leq (1+t)^{-1-(1-\delta-\beta)/2+\delta/2} \|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)}, \quad (\text{V.99})$$

as long as both $\beta \leq 1$ and $\alpha + \delta \leq 2$. Since we assume $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$, it follows that $\beta < 1$. Also $\alpha < 1$, and since $0 \leq \delta \leq 1 - \alpha$, we infer that $\alpha + \delta \leq 1$. Thus both conditions are met and (V.99) holds. Likewise

$$\|R(t)^2 db^\gamma Q R(t)^2 R^{-\delta}\| \leq (1+t)^{-1-\alpha/2+\gamma/2} \|db\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)}, \quad (\text{V.100})$$

if both $\beta \leq 2$ and $\alpha + \delta \leq 1$. Both these conditions also hold. Thus from (V.98–100) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^\delta b R^{-\delta}\| = \|b\|_{(-\delta, -\delta)} &\leq \|b\| + \delta \int_0^\infty t^{-\delta/2} (1+t)^{-1-(1-(\alpha+\beta))/2+\delta/2} dt \|db\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} \\ &\leq \|b\| + \frac{1}{2} c_{\alpha+\beta} \|db\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.101})$$

Hence $b \in \mathcal{T}(-\delta, -\delta)$ and (V.80–81) hold as claimed.

(iii) Let us assume $a \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$. Then from (ii), and the restrictions $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$, we infer

$$a \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, -\beta) \cap \mathcal{T}(\alpha, \alpha). \quad (\text{V.102})$$

Thus we can estimate $(da)b$ as a map from $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ to $\mathcal{H}_{-\beta}$ as

$$\|(da)b\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} \leq \|da\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} \|b\|_{(\alpha, \alpha)},$$

showing (V.82). Likewise, since γ commutes with Q ,

$$\|\alpha^\gamma\|_{(-\beta, -\beta)} = \|a\|_{(-\beta, \beta)}, \quad (\text{V.103})$$

and

$$\|\alpha^\gamma(db)\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} \leq \|a^\gamma\|_{(-\beta, -\beta)} \|db\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} \leq \|a\|_{(-\beta, \beta)} \|db\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)}, \quad (\text{V.104})$$

which is (V.83). We therefore conclude that $(da)b$ and $a^\gamma(db)$ are both elements of $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

To establish the corollary, note $b \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha, \alpha)$, $Q \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha - 1, \alpha)$ and $a^\gamma \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha - 1, \alpha - 1)$, according to (V.80). Therefore $a^\gamma Q b \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha - 1, \alpha)$. The important conclusion here is that $a^\gamma Q b$ is defined as a sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ with some domain; in fact the domain is $\mathcal{D}_{1-\alpha} \times \mathcal{D}_\alpha$. But $\beta < 1 - \alpha$, so $\mathcal{D}_{1-\alpha} \subset \mathcal{D}_\beta$, and $\mathcal{D}_{1-\alpha} \times \mathcal{D}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}_\beta \times \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, which is contained in the domain of $(da)b$ and $a^\gamma(db)$. Furthermore Qab and $(ab)^\gamma Q$ are both forms on the domain $\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_{1-\alpha} \times \mathcal{D}_\alpha$. Thus on $\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_1$, we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} d(ab) &= Qab - (ab)^\gamma Q \\ &= Qab - a^\gamma Q b + a^\gamma Q b - a^\gamma b^\gamma Q \\ &= (da)b + a^\gamma(db). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.105})$$

However, by Proposition V.5.iii, each term on the right side of (V.105) extends by continuity to $\mathcal{D}_\beta \times \mathcal{D}_\alpha$. Thus $d(ab)$ also extends by continuity to this domain, and the identity (V.84) holds in $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$. We have therefore demonstrated the Leibniz rule (V.84) as an identity on $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$.

Finally we estimate $\|ab\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}}$ for $a, b \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$. Using (V.81–84), and the definition (V.76) of the norm on $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|ab\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}} &= \|ab\| + c_{\alpha+\beta} \|d(ab)\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \leq \|a\| \|b\| + c_{\alpha+\beta} (\|(da)b\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} + \|a(db)\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)}) \\ &\leq \|a\| \|b\| + c_{\alpha+\beta} \|da\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \left(\|b\| + \frac{1}{2} c_{\alpha+\beta} \|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \right) \\ &\quad + c_{\alpha+\beta} \|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \left(\|a\| + \frac{1}{2} c_{\alpha+\beta} \|da\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \right) \\ &= \left(\|a\| + c_{\alpha+\beta} \|da\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \right) \left(\|b\| + c_{\alpha+\beta} \|db\|_{(-\beta,\alpha)} \right) = \|a\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}} \|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.106})$$

Thus $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$ is a Banach algebra, and the proof of the corollary is complete.

V.6 Generalized Schatten Classes

In §V.4 we introduced the spaces $\mathcal{T}(p_2, p_2)$ of generalized functions as bounded, linear transformations from $\mathcal{H}_{p_1}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{p_2}$. It is convenient to introduce subspaces of $\mathcal{T}(p_2, p_1)$ which are Schatten I_p classes, with $\mathcal{T}(p_2, p_1)$ being the I_∞ case. We measure I_p size in terms of the Schatten norm (V.17). We say $R^{-p_2} x R^{p_1} \in I_p$, if the bilinear form $R^{-p_2} x R^{p_1}$ uniquely determines an operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which belongs to the Schatten ideal I_p . Thus for $1 \leq p$, define the generalized Schatten class

$$\mathcal{T}(p_2, p_1; p) = \left\{ x : x \in \mathcal{T}(p_2, p_1) , \quad R^{-p_2} x R^{p_1} \in I_p \right\} . \quad (\text{V.107})$$

Let $\mathcal{T}(p_2, p_1; p)$ be a normed space with norm

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{T}(p_2, p_1; p)} = \|R^{-p_2} x R^{p_1}\|_{I_p} . \quad (\text{V.108})$$

The norms $\mathcal{T}(p_2, p_1; p)$ satisfy a Hölder inequality, as a consequence of the inequality (V.18) for Schatten class I_p norms.

Hölder Inequality: Let $x_j \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}; p_j)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, where $1 \leq p_j$, $\sum_{j=0}^n p_j^{-1} = p^{-1} \leq 1$. Then $x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha_0, \alpha_{n+1}; p)$ and

$$\|x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha_0, \alpha_{n+1}; p)} \leq \prod_{j=0}^n \|x_j\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}; p_j)} \quad (\text{V.109})$$

Using the results of §V.5, we arrive at certain relations between $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha; p)$.

Proposition V.7. *Let $Q = Q^*$ and $e^{-sQ^2} \in I_1$, for all $s > 0$. Let $b \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$ for $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$, and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then*

$$(i) \quad Qdb \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta - 1, \alpha), \quad db^\gamma Q \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha + 1), \quad (V.110)$$

and

$$d^2b = Qdb + (db^\gamma)Q = [Q^2, b] \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta - 1, \alpha + 1). \quad (V.111)$$

(ii) For $0 \leq s$, as a form on $\mathcal{H}_\infty \times \mathcal{H}_\infty$,

$$[b, e^{-sQ^2}] = \int_0^s e^{-tQ^2} d^2b e^{-(s-t)Q^2} dt. \quad (V.112)$$

Both sides of (V.112) also define operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

(iii) For $0 < \epsilon < s$, define

$$H_\epsilon := \int_\epsilon^{s-\epsilon} e^{-tQ^2} d^2b e^{-(s-t)Q^2} dt \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1}). \quad (V.113)$$

Furthermore, as $\epsilon, \epsilon' \rightarrow 0+$,

$$\|H_\epsilon - H_{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \rightarrow 0. \quad (V.114)$$

The corresponding limit $H_0 = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} H_\epsilon$ is (V.112). Thus

$$[b, e^{-sQ^2}] \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1}). \quad (V.115)$$

(iv) Let $0 < \mu < 1$, and let

$$\begin{aligned} M &= M(\alpha, \beta, \mu, s) \\ &= 4\mu^{-\alpha-\beta-\frac{1}{2}} B_1((1-\alpha-\beta)/2, (2-\alpha-\beta)/2) \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\mu)Q^2} \right) \right)^s. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|[b, e^{-sQ^2}]\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq M s^{\frac{1}{2}-(\alpha+\beta)} \|b\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}}. \quad (V.116)$$

Proof. (i) Let $\mathcal{D}_2 = D(Q^2)$. The identity (V.111) can be established on the domain $\mathcal{D}_2 \times \mathcal{D}_2$, where

$$Q^2b - bQ^2 = Q(Qb - b^\gamma Q) + (Qb^\gamma - bQ)Q = d(db) = d^2b.$$

This can be written $d^2b = Qdb + (db^\gamma)Q$, which is the algebraic relation (V.111). Since $b \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$, in particular $db \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$. Hence $Qdb \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta - 1, \alpha) \subset \mathcal{T}(-\beta - 1, \alpha + 1)$. Also $db^\gamma = -(db)^\gamma \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$. Thus $db^\gamma Q \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha + 1) \subset \mathcal{T}(-\beta - 1, \alpha + 1)$. Hence the domain inclusions (V.110–111) hold.

(ii) As a form on $\mathcal{H}_\infty \times \mathcal{H}_\infty$, and using (V.110–111), we infer that

$$[b, e^{-sQ^2}] = -e^{-tQ^2} b e^{-(s-t)Q^2} \Big|_{t=0}^{t=s} = \int_0^s e^{-tQ^2} d^2 b e^{-(s-t)Q^2} dt . \quad (\text{V.117})$$

Thus (V.112) is an identity for sesquilinear forms. The left side is an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and therefore so is the right side.

(iii) As $e^{-tQ^2/2}$ is trace class and $d^2 b \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta-1, \alpha+1)$, clearly $e^{-tQ^2} d^2 b e^{-(s-t)Q^2}$ is trace class for $0 < t < s$. Furthermore, using Hölders inequality on

$$\left(e^{-(1-\mu)tQ^2} \right) \left(e^{-\mu tQ^2} R^{-\alpha} (d^2 b) R^{-\beta} e^{-\mu(s-t)Q^2} \right) \left(e^{-(1-\mu)(s-t)Q^2} \right) ,$$

with exponents $t^{-1}, \infty, (s-t)^{-1}$ respectively, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tQ^2} (d^2 b) e^{-(s-t)Q^2}\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} &= \|e^{-tQ^2} R^{-\alpha} (d^2 b) R^{-\beta} e^{-(s-t)Q^2}\|_{s^{-1}} \\ &\leq 4\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}-(\alpha+\beta)} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\mu)Q^2} \right)^s \left(t^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)/2} (s-t)^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2} \|R^{-\alpha} Q d b R^{-\beta}\|_{(-\alpha-\beta-1, \alpha+\beta)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + t^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2} (s-t)^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)/2} \|R^{-\alpha} (d b^\gamma) Q R^{-\beta}\|_{(-\alpha-\beta, 1+\alpha+\beta)} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.118})$$

Here we have used (V.110–111) as well as (V.28). Note

$$\|R^{-\alpha} Q d b R^{-\beta}\|_{(-\alpha-\beta-1, \alpha+\beta)} \leq \|d b\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} , \quad (\text{V.119})$$

and

$$\|R^{-\alpha} (d b^\gamma) Q R^{-\beta}\|_{(-\alpha-\beta, 1+\alpha+\beta)} \leq \|d b\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} . \quad (\text{V.120})$$

Thus integrating (V.118) and using (V.20,24) we obtain

$$\|H_\epsilon\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq 4\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}-(\alpha+\beta)} s^{\frac{1}{2}-(\alpha+\beta)} B_1((1-\alpha-\beta)/2, (2-\alpha-\beta)/2) \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\mu)Q^2} \right) \right)^s \|d b\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)} . \quad (\text{V.121})$$

This shows that $H_\epsilon \in \mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})$ and the bound on $\|H_\epsilon\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})}$ is of the form (V.116), uniformly in ϵ . We now establish convergence of H_ϵ in this norm. In fact for $\epsilon' > \epsilon$, the expression $H_\epsilon - H_{\epsilon'}$ is just the integral (V.112) restricted to the intervals $t \in [\epsilon, \epsilon']$ and $t \in [s - \epsilon', s - \epsilon]$. We therefore obtain the bound

$$\|H_\epsilon - H_{\epsilon'}\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq o(1) \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}-(\alpha+\beta)} s^{-(\alpha+\beta+1)/2} , \quad (\text{V.122})$$

as $\epsilon, \epsilon' \rightarrow 0$. Thus we have established the convergence as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$ of H_ϵ in $\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})$. Since H_0 is equal to $[b, e^{-sQ^2}]$, as we saw in (V.112), we have the bound (V.116) also for the limit. This completes the proof of the proposition.

We end this section with a useful corollary.

Corollary V.8. i. Consider the set $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Let y_j and z_j be elements of an interpolation space $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$, where $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1$. Suppose each x_j is one of the forms

$$y_j, \quad dy_j, \quad d^2 y_j, \quad y_j(dz_j), \quad \text{or} \quad (dy_j)z_j, \quad (\text{V.123})$$

but where no two adjacent x_j 's are of the form $d^2 y_j$. (Here we consider x_j and x_{j+1} adjacent, as well as x_0 and x_n adjacent.) Then X is a regular set of vertices with respect to Q .

(ii) Let $X_n^{\text{JLO}} = \{a_0, da_1, \dots, da_n\}$, where $a_j \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$. Then there exist constants $m_1, m_2 < \infty$ such that the trace norm of the Radon transform $\hat{X}_n^{\text{JLO}}$, defined in (V.58), of $X_n^{\text{JLO}}(s)$ satisfies the bound

$$\|\hat{X}_n^{\text{JLO}}\|_1 \leq m_1 m_2^{n+1} \mu^{-(\alpha+\beta)(n+1)/2} \left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2}\right)} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\mu)Q^2} \right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|a_j\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}} \right). \quad (\text{V.124})$$

(iii) For μ fixed,

$$n^{1/2} \|\hat{X}_n^{\text{JLO}}\|_1^{1/n} \leq O(n^{-(1-\alpha-\beta)/2}), \quad (\text{V.125})$$

where $(1 - \alpha - \beta) > 0$.

(iv) Let $a_j \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$, and define

$$X_1 = \{a_0, da_1, \dots, (da_{j-1})a_j, da_{j+1}, \dots, da_n\}, \quad (\text{V.126})$$

$$X_2 = \{a_0, da_1, \dots, da_{j-1}, a_j da_{j+1}, \dots, da_n\}, \quad (\text{V.127})$$

and

$$X_3 = \{a_0, da_1, \dots, da_{j-1}, d^2 a_j, da_{j+1}, \dots, da_n\}. \quad (\text{V.128})$$

Then

$$X_1(s) - X_2(s) = \int_0^{s_{j-1}} X_3(s_0, \dots, s_{j-2}, t, s_{j-1} - t, s_j, \dots, s_{n-1}) dt. \quad (\text{V.129})$$

(v) After integration over $s \in \sigma_n$,

$$\hat{X}_1 - \hat{X}_2 = \hat{X}_3, \quad (\text{V.130})$$

or in terms of the expectations (V.58)

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, (da_{j-1})a_j, da_{j+1}, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n-1} - \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, da_{j-1}, a_j da_{j+1}, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n-1} \\ &= \left\langle a_0, da_1, da_2, \dots, da_{j-1}, d^2 a_j, da_{j+1}, \dots, da_n; g \right\rangle_n. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.131})$$

(vi) There are constants $m_1, m_2 < \infty$ such that for $0 < \mu < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle a_0, da_1, \dots, da_j, d^2 a_j, da_{j+1}, \dots, da_n; g \right\rangle_n \right| \\ & \leq m_1 m_2^{n+1} \mu^{-(\alpha+\beta)n/2-1} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-(1-\mu)Q^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n!} \right)^{1-(\alpha+\beta)/2} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|a_j\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.132})$$

Proof. (i) For $y, z \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$, $y \in \mathcal{T}(0, 0)$ and $dy \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$. Furthermore

$$d^2y \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta - 1, \alpha + 1), \quad ydz \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha) \text{ and } (dy)z \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha) .$$

This is a consequence of the definition of $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$ and Proposition V.6. The most singular case occurs with $[(n+1)/2]$ vertices $x_j = d^2y_j$, interspersed between vertices in $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$. (Here $[\cdot]$ denotes the integer part.) Thus with an even number of vertices (odd n)

$$0 < \eta_{\text{local}} = \eta_{\text{global}} = (1 - \alpha - \beta)/2 . \quad (\text{V.133})$$

In the case of an odd number of vertices,

$$\eta_{\text{local}} = (1 - \alpha - \beta)/2 < \eta_{\text{global}} . \quad (\text{V.134})$$

In either case X is a regular set.

(ii–iii) In the case that $X = X_n^{\text{JLO}}$, we have

$$\eta_0 = 1 - \beta/2, \quad \eta_j = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1 - \alpha - \beta}{2} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (\text{V.135})$$

so

$$\eta_{\text{local}} = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1 - \alpha - \beta}{2} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } (n+1)\eta_{\text{global}} = (n+1)\eta_{\text{local}} + \alpha/2 . \quad (\text{V.136})$$

The bounds (V.124–125) then follow from the bound (V.63) and the asymptotics of the Γ function.

(iv–v) For $s \in \sigma_n$, $X_1(s)$ and $X_2(s)$ are trace class. Also

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(s) - X_2(s) &= a_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} da_1 \cdots e^{-s_{j-2} Q^2} da_{j-1} [a_j, e^{-s_{j-1} Q^2}] da_{j+1} e^{-s_j Q^2} \\ &\quad \times \cdots da_n e^{-s_{n-1} Q^2} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.137})$$

Using Proposition V.7.iii–iv, the commutator in (V.137) is an element of $\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta, s_{j-1}^{-1})$, with norm bounded by $M s_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{2} - (\alpha + \beta)} \|a_j\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}}$. Therefore

$$da_{j-1} [a_j, e^{-s_{j-1} Q^2}] da_{j+1} \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha; s_{j-1}^{-1}) ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|da_{j-1} [a_j, e^{-s_{j-1} Q^2}] da_{j+1}\|_{\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha; s_{j-1}^{-1})} &\leq \|da_{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha; \infty)} \| [a_j, e^{-s_{j-1} Q^2}] \|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s_{j-1}^{-1})} \|da_{j+1}\|_{\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha; \infty)} \\ &\leq M s_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{2} - (\alpha + \beta)} \prod_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \|a_k\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{V.138})$$

On the other hand, Proposition V.7.ii shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1(s) - X_2(s) &= \int_0^{s_{j-1}} a_0 e^{-s_0 Q^2} da_1 e^{-s_1 Q^2} \cdots da_{j-1} e^{-t Q^2} d^2 a_j e^{-(s_{j-1}-t) Q^2} \\
&\quad \times da_{j+1} e^{-s_j Q^2} \cdots da_n e^{-s_{n-1} Q^2} \cdots da_n e^{-s_{n-1} Q^2} dt \\
&= \int_0^{s_{j-1}} X_3(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{j-2}, t, s_{j-1} - t, s_j, \dots, s_{n-1}) dt, \tag{V.139}
\end{aligned}$$

which is (V.129). Integrating over σ_{n-1} yields (X.130). The bound (V.132) then follows by an analysis of $\|X_3(s)\|_1$ similar to the proof of (V.124).

VI Cocycles

Throughout this section take $\mathfrak{A}$ to be a subalgebra of an interpolation space $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$ introduced in §V.5. We begin this section by showing the τ^{JLO} extends in this case to be an element of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, including the formula for the pairing with a root of I . We define a fractionally-differentiable structure. Finally we show that τ^{JLO} is a cocycle. These facts are preliminary to the next section where we show that the pairing is actually a homotopy invariant.

VI.1 The JLO-Cochain Extends to Interpolation Spaces

In this sub-section we extend the JLO-cochain from the framework in §IV where the space of cochains $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ live over an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ of differentiable functions (i.e., $da \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$), to the case that $\mathfrak{A}$ is contained in one of the interpolation spaces $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$. Thus $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ will have a fractional derivative of order $1 - \beta$ and $da \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$ will be a generalized function. We require

$$\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha} \text{ for some } 0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1. \tag{VI.1}$$

We also require that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfy

$$\|a\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}} \leq \|a\|. \tag{VI.2}$$

Otherwise, we retain the basic hypotheses of §IV. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded by γ and carries a continuous unitary representation $U(g)$. We assume that $Q = Q^*$ commutes with $U(g)$ and $Q\gamma + \gamma Q = 0$. We assume that $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$ is trace class for all $\beta > 0$. We assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is pointwise invariant under the action of γ , and invariant under the action of $U(g)$, $U(g)\mathfrak{A}U(g)^* \subset \mathfrak{A}$.

Definition VI.1. We call the quintuple

$$\{\mathcal{H}, Q, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$$

satisfying the above hypotheses a Θ -summable, fractionally-differentiable structure.

Proposition VI.2. *Let $\{\mathcal{H}, Q, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ be a Θ -summable, fractionally-differentiable structure. Then $\tau^{\text{JLO}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$. There exist $m < \infty$ such that*

$$\|\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}\| \leq m^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2}\right)} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q^2/2}\right). \quad (\text{VI.3})$$

Proof. For $a_j \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$, we have already established in Corollary V.8.ii, with $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, that X_n^{JLO} is trace class with trace norm bounded by

$$m^{n+1} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q^2/2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2}\right)} \left(\prod_{j=0}^n \|a_j\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}}\right).$$

Since $\|a_j\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}} \leq \|a_j\|$, we conclude that τ_n^{JLO} is defined on $\mathfrak{A}^{n+1}$ and that $\|\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}\|$ satisfies (VI.3). Since $\alpha + \beta < 1$, this entails the “entire” condition $n^{1/2} \|\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}\|^{1/n} \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\tau^{\text{JLO}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Having extended the notion of τ^{JLO} to a fractionally-differentiable structure, we now observe that the pairing $\langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}, a \rangle$ has the same representation as in the differentiable case.

Corollary VI.3. *Let $\{\mathcal{H}, Q, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ be a Θ -summable, fractionally-differentiable structure. Let $a \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}})$ satisfy $a^2 = I$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g) = \langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}, a \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-Q^2 + itda} \right) dt. \quad (\text{VI.4})$$

Here Tr denotes both the trace on $\mathcal{H}$ and the matrix trace in $\text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A})$, in case $m > 1$.

VI.2 The JLO-Cochain is a Cocycle

Proposition VI.4. *Let $\{\mathcal{H}, Q, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ be a Θ -summable, fractionally-differentiable structure. Then the cochain $\tau^{\text{JLO}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a cocycle for ∂ , namely*

$$\partial \tau^{\text{JLO}} = 0. \quad (\text{VI.5})$$

Remarks. 1. The cochain τ^{JLO} was originally defined in [17], for the differentiable $da \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where the cocycle condition was also established. This cochain has been investigated again in several different contexts, see [12, 10, 21, 29, 24] for example. Our presentation is self contained.

2. The only known cocycles for $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ are elements $[\tau^{\text{JLO}}]$, where τ^{JLO} is defined by some Q . (Here Q gives rise either to the Θ -summable case considered above, or to the class of cochains satisfying the

KMS-condition. See [21, 18, 19] for this extension, applicable in the differentiable case.) Taking the larger space of cochains $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}) \supset \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, and the corresponding coboundary operator ∂ , Connes earlier gave another cocycle τ^C , see [4]. This cocycle is convenient because it satisfies a “normalization” condition, central to Connes’ analysis of pairings of cocycles in $\mathcal{D}$, and also used by him in other studies. Furthermore, Connes showed that any cocycle $\tau \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})$ is cohomologous to a normalized cocycle in $\mathcal{D}$. The cocycle τ^C is determined by an operator F satisfying $F^2 = I$ and $F\gamma + \gamma F = 0$. With τ^{JLO} the cocycle determined by Q , and with τ^C the cocycle determined by an appropriate $F = F(Q)$, Connes has shown [5] (for the differentiable case) that τ^C and τ^{JLO} are cohomologous. In other words, there is a cochain $G \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\tau^{\text{JLO}} = \tau^C + \partial G$.

On the other hand, cocycles in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ are not normalized in Connes’ sense. As discussed in §III, by working with the cochains $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ we avoid the need to consider this normalization. Furthermore, a pairing can be defined for all cochains in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, rather than just for cocycles. The importance of pairing a cocycle then rests on the pairing yielding an invariant, as discussed in §VII.

Proof. It was shown in (IV.25) that if $\alpha = \beta = 0$, then evaluated on $\mathfrak{A}$, $\tau_{2n+1}^{\text{JLO}} = 0$. By the symmetry (V.66), this extends to $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$. Hence to establish the cocycle condition in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, it is sufficient to show that for all odd n ,

$$B\tau_{n+1}^{\text{JLO}} = -b\tau_{n-1}^{\text{JLO}} = \langle da_0, \dots, da_n; g \rangle. \quad (\text{VI.6})$$

By Corollary V.8.i, the right side of (VI.6) is a well-defined expectation. We prove below that for n odd,

$$(B\tau_{n+1}^{\text{JLO}})(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = \tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(da_0, a_1, \dots, a_n; g), \quad (\text{VI.7})$$

and

$$(b\tau_{n-1}^{\text{JLO}})(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, a_1, \dots, da_j, \dots, a_n; g). \quad (\text{VI.8})$$

Starting from the definition (II.19) of B , and the symmetry (V.67), $B\tau_{n+1}^{\text{JLO}}$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} (B\tau_{n+1}^{\text{JLO}})(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) &= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \langle I, da_{n-j+1}^{g^{-1}}, \dots, da_n^{g^{-1}}, da_0, \dots, da_{n-j}; g \rangle_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \langle da_0, \dots, da_{n-j}, I, da_{n-j+1}, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \langle da_0, \dots, da_{j-1}, I, da_j, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n+1} \\ &= \langle da_0, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_n. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.9})$$

In the last step we use (V.68). This establishes the first part of (VI.9).

On the other hand, recall (II.9),

$$\left(V(0)\tau_{n-1}^{\text{JLO}}\right)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = \langle a_0 a_1, da_2, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n-1}.$$

Likewise for $1 \leq r \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(V(r)\tau_{n-1}^{\text{JLO}}\right)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) &= (-1)^r \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, da_{r-1}, d(a_r a_{r+1}), da_{r+2}, \dots, da_{n+1}; g \rangle_n \\ &= (-1)^r \langle a_0, \dots, a_r da_{r+1}, da_{r+2}, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n-1} \\ &\quad + (-1)^r \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, (da_r) a_{r+1}, da_{r+2}, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.10})$$

Note that here we have expanded the vertex $d(a_r a_{r+1}) \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$ into the sum of two vertices $(da_r) a_{r+1}$ and $a_r (da_{r+1})$, each of them in $\mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha)$. This is justified in Corollary V.6.i. Also for $r = n$, using (V.67),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(V(n)\tau_{n-1}^{\text{JLO}}\right)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) &= (-1)^n \langle a_n^{g^{-1}} a_0, da_1, \dots, da_{n-1}; g \rangle_{n-1} \\ &= (-1)^n \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, (da_{n-1}) a_n; g \rangle_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.11})$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left(b\tau_{n-1}^{\text{JLO}}\right)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) &= \langle a_0 a_1, da_2, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n-1} - \langle a_0, a_1 da_2, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (-1)^r \left(\langle a_0, \dots, (da_r) a_{r+1}, da_{r+2}, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle a_0, \dots, da_r, a_{r+1} da_{r+2}, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_{n-1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^r \langle a_0, da_1, \dots, d^2 a_{r+1}, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_n. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.12})$$

The final identity in (VI.12) involves combining terms using Corollary V.8.v. Hence using (V.69) we have

$$\left(b\tau_{n-1}^{\text{JLO}}\right)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = -\langle da_0, da_1, \dots, da_n; g \rangle_n, \quad (\text{VI.13})$$

completing the proof of (VI.8), and hence the proof of (VI.5).

VII Homotopy Invariants

VII.1 The Main Result: JLO Pairing is Invariant

In this section we consider the pairing of a family $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ of cocycles with a square root a of I . These cocycles arise from a family of non-commutative, fractionally-differentiable structures on $\mathfrak{A}$.

The advantage to pairing $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ with $a \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}})$ rather than to pairing an arbitrary family of cochains $\tau(\lambda)$, is the fact that the pairing function (given in various forms in III.11, 28, 29, and 31) namely

$$\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g) = \langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda), a \rangle , \quad (\text{VII.1})$$

is a constant function of λ . Hence we obtain an *invariant*, and we call the continuous variation in λ a *homotopy*. In other words, each $\langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda), p \rangle$ is a homotopy invariant.

Our basic result is to give conditions that are sufficient to prove that $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ is continuously differentiable in λ . Under these hypotheses, the pairing function $\langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda), a \rangle$ is actually constant. This invariant is in general not integer valued, but may be in certain special cases.

In particular we assume that the λ -dependence of $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$, and hence that the pairing of $\langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda), p \rangle$, arises from the λ -dependence of $Q(\lambda)$. Here $Q(\lambda)$ generates $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ as described in §IV, and the parameter λ lies in an open interval $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Our operator $Q(\lambda)$ is a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$, and we suppose that $Q(\lambda)$ has the general form

$$Q(\lambda) = Q + q(\lambda) . \quad (\text{VII.2})$$

We regard $Q = Q^*$ as defining a basic τ^{JLO} , and $q(\lambda)$ as providing a deformation of Q and a perturbation $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ of τ^{JLO} .

Let us state the main result of this section.

Theorem VII.1.i *Let $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ be a regular family of Θ -summable, fractionally differentiable, structures as defined in §VII.3. Then the corresponding family of JLO cocycles $\{\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)\}$ is continuously differentiable as a function $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, and there is a continuous family $\{h(\lambda)\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,*

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda) = \partial h(\lambda) . \quad (\text{VII.3})$$

(ii) *The function $g \rightarrow \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ is continuous function of $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ from $\mathfrak{G}$ to $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, uniformly for λ in compact subsets of Λ .*

An immediate consequence of the fact that $d\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)/d\lambda = \partial h$ is the invariance of $\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g)$. In particular, continuous differentiability of $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ ensures, c.f. Proposition III.6, that the pairing $\langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda), a \rangle$ is also a continuously differentiable function. Hence

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda), a \rangle = \langle \partial h(\lambda), a \rangle = 0 . \quad (\text{VII.4})$$

We established in Proposition III.1 the vanishing of the pairing function defined in (VI.4) on coboundaries. Thus we have

Corollary VII.2.i *For a regular family of non-commutative structures, the JLO-pairing $\langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda), p \rangle$ is constant. For $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$,*

$$\mathfrak{Z}^Q(a; g) = \langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda_1), a \rangle = \langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda_2), a \rangle . \quad (\text{VII.5})$$

Furthermore

$$\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda_2) = \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda_1) + \partial H \quad (\text{VII.6})$$

where $H = H(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} h(\lambda) d\lambda$.

(ii) In addition (VII.5) is a continuous function of g .

The considerations in this section are both algebraic and analytic. While the former are universal, the latter are crucial. Hence the relevant analytic groundwork has already been prepared in §V. The invariants $\langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}, a \rangle$ do take different values for a given $\mathfrak{A}$ and a given a . In fact, even a bounded, differentiable family $q(\lambda)$ requires work to establish the continuous differentiability of $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$. The work is not that much greater for the class of regular perturbations which we consider here and which include a wide variety of interesting examples. Sections VII.2–5 are devoted to a precise formulation of a regular deformation and to the proof of this theorem. In the literature there are special cases of this result, for example [10], but there is no general and easily verifiable conditions on $q(\lambda)$, like the one we give here, which result in a homotopy. In fact, the differentiability of $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ was never completely analyzed, even for bounded perturbations $q(\lambda)$.

VII.2 Regular Linear Deformations

In this subsection we outline a class of *regular linear deformations* $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ of the JLO cochain τ^{JLO} defined for a particular $\{\mathcal{H}, Q, \gamma, U(g)$, and $\mathfrak{A}\}$. We denote the family of Q 's by $\{Q(\lambda)\}$ and the family of cochains by $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$. These families depend on a fixed $\mathcal{H}, \gamma, U(g)$, and $\mathfrak{A}$.

We first compile a list of assumptions.

a. The Starting Point. The undeformed problem is given by the structure introduced earlier in §V.7. It is defined by a self adjoint $Q = Q^*$ with domain $\mathcal{D}$, acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The heat kernel $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$ is assumed to be trace class for every $\beta > 0$. There is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading γ on $\mathcal{H}$ for which $Q\gamma + \gamma Q = 0$. There is a continuous, unitary representation $U(g)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ of a compact Lie group $\mathfrak{G}$, and $U(g)Q = QU(g)$. There is a Banach algebra of observables $\mathfrak{A}$, with

$$\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha} , \quad (\text{VII.7})$$

and where $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$ is an interpolation space introduced in §V.5. We require that $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1$. We also require that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfy

$$\|a\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}} \leq \|a\| \quad (\text{VII.8})$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Thus elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ have $0 < 1 - \beta$ fractional derivatives with respect to Q . We assume that the algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is pointwise invariant under the action of γ , namely $\alpha^\gamma = \gamma \alpha \gamma = a$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Furthermore $\mathfrak{A}$ is invariant under the action of $U(g)$, namely $a^g = U(g)aU(g)^* \in \mathfrak{A}$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. This structure defines a JLO cocycle τ^{JLO} and a non-commutative, fractionally-differentiable, structure $\{\mathcal{H}, Q, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$.

b. A Family of Regular Linear Perturbations. A family of regular deformations of $\{\mathcal{H}, Q, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ is defined by a family $q(\lambda)$ of regular perturbations of Q on the space $\mathcal{H}$. Let q denote a symmetric operator on $\mathcal{H}$ with domain $\mathcal{D}$. We suppose there are constants $0 \leq a, M < \infty$ such that on the domain $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$,

$$q^2 \leq a^2 Q^2 + M^2. \quad (\text{VII.9})$$

In other words, q is a bounded map from the Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}_1$, defined in §V.2, to $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$. We define the family $\{q(\lambda)\}$ of regular perturbations⁶ parameterized by real λ , and the family $\{Q(\lambda)\}$ of perturbed operators, by

$$q(\lambda) = \lambda q, \text{ and } Q(\lambda) = Q + q(\lambda). \quad (\text{VII.10})$$

The linearity of $q(\lambda)$ in λ is the linearity in the sub-section title. Here λ belongs to a bounded open interval Λ ,

$$\lambda \in \Lambda = (-\mu, \mu), \text{ and } 0 < \mu < a^{-1}.$$

In addition to the bound (VII.9), we will need another bound: for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$,

$$\|R^{1-\epsilon} q R^\epsilon\| + \|R^\epsilon q(\lambda) R^{1-\epsilon}\| \leq O(1) \quad (\text{VII.11})$$

where $R = (Q^2 + I)^{-1/2}$. If $q(\lambda)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}$, then (VII.11) follows automatically from (VII.9), in fact for all $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$. However, if $q(\lambda)$ is not essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}$, we also assume (VII.11) for some $0 < \epsilon$.

c. Symmetries. We assume that γ and $U(g)$ of Assumption (a), also are symmetries of $\{Q(\lambda)\}$ in the sense that

$$Q(\lambda)\gamma + \gamma Q(\lambda) = 0, \quad U(g)Q(\lambda) = Q(\lambda)U(g) \quad (\text{VII.12})$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for all $g \in \mathfrak{G}$. Of course this is ensured by $\gamma q + q\gamma = 0$ and $U(g)q = qU(g)$.

d. The Algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. We assume that the algebra $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$ is independent of λ . It is necessary that for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, the differential $d_\lambda a$, as λ varies, remains in $\mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$. Thus we require that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies

$$\|a\| \geq \|a\| + \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|d_\lambda a\|_{(-\beta, \alpha)}$$

⁶The condition (VII.9) ensures $\|qf\| \leq a\|Qf\| + M\|f\|$, a condition introduced by T. Kato to study $Q + q$, see [22]. The relevant case $a < 1$ corresponds in our present case to the bound $\mu a < 1$ of (VII.9). If $0 < a$ may be chosen arbitrarily small (which may require $M(a)$ large), then q is said to be infinitesimally small compared with Q . In that case μ may be chosen arbitrarily large.

for some α, β where

$$0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \quad \alpha + \beta < 1.$$

Since Λ is an interval $[-\mu, \mu]$, this is ensured by

$$da \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad [q, a] \in \mathcal{T}(-\beta, \alpha).$$

Definition VII.3. A family $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda)\}$ of operators satisfying Assumptions (a–b) are a regular (linear) Q -family. A family $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ which satisfies Assumptions (a–d) is a regular linear family of Θ -summable, fractionally-differentiable, non-commutative structures.

Remark. We generalize the notion of a regular family in §VII.3, replacing linearity by an additional assumed estimate.

Proposition VII.4. Let $\{Q(\lambda)\}$ denote a regular (linear) Q -family. Then

a) For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $Q(\lambda)$ is self-adjoint on the domain $\mathcal{D}$.

b) There are constants $\tilde{M}_1, \tilde{M}_2 < \infty$ such that

$$Q^2 \leq \tilde{M}_1^2(Q(\lambda)^2 + I) \tag{VII.13}$$

for all $|\lambda| \leq \mu$. Here $\tilde{M}_1 = \max\{2, 2\mu M, (1 - \mu a)^{-1}, (1 - \mu a)^{-1}\mu M\}$. Also for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$,

$$q(\lambda)^2 \leq \tilde{M}_2^2(Q(\lambda')^2 + I), \tag{VII.14}$$

where $\tilde{M}_2^2 = \tilde{M}_1^2 + (\mu M)^2$.

c) For all $\beta > 0$, and all $|\lambda| \leq \mu$, $\exp(-\beta Q(\lambda)^2)$ is trace class and

$$\text{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta Q(\lambda)^2} \right) \leq e^\beta \text{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta Q^2 \tilde{M}_1^{-2}} \right). \tag{VII.15}$$

For given β , this bound is uniform for λ in a compact subset of Λ .

Corollary VII.5. For λ in any compact subset of Λ , the regular linear family $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ determines a bounded family $\{\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)\}$ of JLO-cocycles on $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. (a) A symmetric operator $Q(\lambda)$ on the domain $\mathcal{D}$ is self adjoint if and only if for some $\alpha > 0$, $(Q(\lambda) \pm i\alpha)\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{H}$. This is the statement that the resolvents $(Q(\lambda) \pm i\alpha)^{-1}$ exist and are bounded. By the spectral theorem for $Q = Q^*$, we infer $\|(Q \pm i\alpha)^{-1}\| \leq \alpha^{-1}$ and $\|(Q(Q \pm i\alpha)^{-1}\| \leq 1$. Thus (VI.4) ensures that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\|q(\lambda)(Q \pm i\alpha)^{-1}\| \leq (\mu a)^2 + (\mu M/\alpha)^2.$$

Assumption (b) ensures $|\lambda|a < \mu a < 1$. Thus $\|q(\lambda)(Q \pm i\alpha)^{-1}\| < 1$, for α sufficiently large, uniformly in $\lambda \in \lambda$. It follows that the series

$$(Q \pm i\alpha)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-q(Q \pm i\alpha)^{-1})^n \quad (\text{VII.16})$$

converges in norm. This is $(Q + q \pm i\alpha)^{-1}$, as can be verified from the series expansion. Since the domain of (VII.13) is $\mathcal{H}$, the range of $(Q + q \pm i\alpha)$ is $\mathcal{H}$. The range of $(Q \pm i\alpha)^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{D}$, so the domain of $Q + q \pm i\alpha$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}$. However $Q + q \pm i\alpha$ is originally defined on all of $\mathcal{D}$, so that is its domain.

(b) Remark that (VII.14) follows from (VII.13), using (VII.9). In fact

$$\begin{aligned} q(\lambda)^2 &\leq (\lambda a)^2 Q^2 + (\lambda M)^2 \leq (\lambda a)^2 \tilde{M}_1^2 (Q(\lambda')^2 + I) + (\lambda M)^2 \\ &\leq ((\mu a)^2 \tilde{M}_1^2 + (\mu M)^2) (Q(\lambda')^2 + I) \\ &\leq (\tilde{M}_1^2 + (\mu M)^2) (Q(\lambda')^2 + I) . \end{aligned}$$

So now we establish (VII.13). On the domain $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ for sesquilinear forms, it follows from the Schwarz inequality that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\pm (q(\lambda)Q + Qq(\lambda)) \leq \epsilon Q^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} q(\lambda)^2 . \quad (\text{VII.17})$$

Thus on $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$, we infer from (VII.17) and (VII.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} Q^2 &= (Q(\lambda) - q(\lambda))^2 = Q(\lambda)^2 - q(\lambda)^2 - (q(\lambda)Q + Qq(\lambda)) \\ &\leq Q(\lambda)^2 + \epsilon Q^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1\right) q(\lambda)^2 \\ &\leq Q(\lambda)^2 + \left(\epsilon + (\lambda a)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1\right)\right) Q^2 + (\lambda M)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.18})$$

Case 1. $|\lambda|a \leq 1/2$: In this case choose $\epsilon = 1/2$ in (VII.18). Then $\epsilon + (\lambda a)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1\right) \leq \frac{3}{4}$, so collecting the Q^2 terms in (VII.18) gives

$$\frac{1}{4} Q^2 \leq Q(\lambda)^2 + (\lambda M)^2 \leq Q(\lambda)^2 + (\mu M)^2 . \quad (\text{VII.19})$$

Hence (VII.13) holds with $\tilde{M}_1 = 2 \max\{1, \mu M\}$.

Case 2. $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\lambda|a \leq \mu a < 1$: In this case choose $\epsilon = |\lambda|a$ in (VII.18). Then the coefficient of Q^2 in (VII.18) is $1 - \epsilon - (\lambda a)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1\right) = (1 - |\lambda|a)^2 \geq (1 - \mu a)^2$, and $\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1\right) \leq 1$. Thus (VII.18) ensures that

$$(1 - \mu a)^2 Q^2 \leq Q(\lambda)^2 + \lambda^2 M^2 \leq Q(\lambda)^2 + \mu^2 M^2 . \quad (\text{VII.20})$$

Thus (VII.13) holds with $\tilde{M}_1 = (1 - \mu a)^{-1} \max\{1, \mu M\}$. Thus in both cases, (VII.13) holds with

$$\tilde{M}_1 = \max\{2, 2\mu M, (1 - \mu a)^{-1}, (1 - \mu a)^{-1} \mu M\} . \quad (\text{VII.21})$$

This completes the proof of (b).

(c) Using (VII.13),

$$\tilde{M}_1^{-2} Q^2 - I \leq Q(\lambda)^2 .$$

We infer that if $E_i(Q^2)$ is the i th eigenvalue of Q^2 , counting in increasing order, then by the minimax principle, $\beta \tilde{M}_1^{-2} E_i(Q^2) - \beta \leq \beta E_i(Q(\lambda)^2)$. Assumption (a) includes the assertion that $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$ is trace class for all β . Thus (VII.15) follows.

This completes the proof of the proposition. The corollary follows. In fact Assumptions (a–d) plus the fact that $Q(\lambda) = Q(\lambda)^*$ and $\text{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta Q(\lambda)^2} \right) < \infty$, with a uniform bound for λ in a compact subset of Λ , ensure the existence of $\{\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)\}$. The fact that this family is bounded then follows as a consequence of (IV.6), along with (VII.15).

Proposition VII.6. *If $\{Q(\lambda)\}$ denotes a regular, linear Q -family, then the Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}_p(Q(\lambda))$, with $p \in [-1, 1]$ and λ in a compact subset of Λ , are independent of λ .*

Proof. We require that if $f \in \mathcal{H}_p(Q(\lambda))$ then $f \in \mathcal{H}_p(Q(\lambda'))$, and if $f_n \rightarrow f \in \mathcal{H}_p(Q(\lambda))$, then $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{H}_p(Q(\lambda'))$. It is sufficient to establish this for $p \geq 0$, from which the result for $p \leq 0$ follows from the duality of $\mathcal{H}_p$ with $\mathcal{H}_{-p}$. Furthermore for $p = 1$, we have verified (Proposition VII.4(b)) that $\mathcal{D}(Q(\lambda)) = \mathcal{D}(Q)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and hence that $\mathcal{H}_p(Q(\lambda)) = \mathcal{D}((Q(\lambda)^2 + I)^{1/2}) = \mathcal{D}(Q(\lambda)) = \mathcal{H}_p(Q)$ is independent of Q .

The statement about convergence for $p = 1$ is equivalent to the existence of constants $\tilde{M}_1, \tilde{M}_2$ such that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$(Q^2 + I) \leq \tilde{M}_1^2(Q(\lambda)^2 + I) , \quad \text{and} \quad Q(\lambda)^2 + I \leq \tilde{M}_2^2(Q^2 + I) . \quad (\text{VII.22})$$

The first inequality was proved in Proposition VII.2(b), while the second follows from

$$Q(\lambda)^2 = (Q + q(\lambda))^2 = Q^2 + q(\lambda)^2 + q(\lambda)Q + Qq(\lambda) \leq 2(Q^2 + q(\lambda)^2) ,$$

along with assumption (VII.9).

For $0 \leq p \leq 1$, the desired results for $\mathcal{H}_p$ follow from the inequalities

$$(Q^2 + I)^p \leq \tilde{M}_1^p(Q(\lambda)^2 + I)^p , \quad (Q(\lambda)^2 + I)^p \leq \tilde{M}_2^p(Q^2 + I)^p .$$

But suppose $0 \leq A^2 \leq B^2$ is a monotonicity relation for invertible operators on a domain $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$, where A and B are essentially self adjoint on $\mathcal{D}$. Then automatically

$$A^{2p} \leq B^{2p} \quad (\text{VII.23})$$

for all $0 \leq p \leq 1$. This completes the proof.

VII.3 Regular Deformations

In §VII.2 we studied regular linear deformations $Q(\lambda) = Q + \lambda q$ of Q , and the resulting family $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ of Θ -summable, fractionally differentiable structures. In this section we replace λq by a family $q(\lambda)$. We require that $q(\lambda)$ satisfies the assumptions of §VII.2, and in addition we make an assumption on the derivative of $q(\lambda)$ with respect to λ . Replacing Assumption (b) in §VII.2, we formulate the following:

b'. *A Family of Regular Perturbations.* We assume that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the operator $q(\lambda)$ is a symmetric operator on the domain $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(Q)$. We assume that there are constants $0 \leq a < 1$ and $0 \leq M < \infty$ such that for all λ in a compact subset of Λ , the inequality

$$q(\lambda)^2 \leq a^2 Q^2 + M^2 \quad (\text{VII.24})$$

holds on $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$. We define

$$Q(\lambda) = Q + q(\lambda). \quad (\text{VII.25})$$

Note that if q is an operator with domain $\mathcal{D}$ which satisfies (VII.24) on $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$, then q is an element of $\mathcal{T}(0, 1)$. Furthermore, if q is symmetric, then q determines uniquely an element of $\mathcal{T}(-1, 0)$ given by the adjoint sesquilinear form. Conversely, if q is a symmetric sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{D}_\infty \times \mathcal{D}_\infty$, and if furthermore $q \in \mathcal{T}(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{T}(-1, 0)$, then q uniquely determines a symmetric operator on the domain $\mathcal{D}$. Thus we may consider $q(\lambda)$ as an operator with domain $\mathcal{D}$ or as an element of $\mathcal{T}(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{T}(-1, 0)$.

According to (VII.24),

$$q(\lambda) \in \mathcal{T}(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{T}(-1, 0)$$

and $q(\lambda)$ varies over a bounded set for λ in a compact subset of Λ . As in Assumption (b), we also require that $q(\lambda) \in \mathcal{T}(-\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon) \cap \mathcal{T}(-1 + \epsilon, \epsilon)$ for some interval $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$. We combine these requirements by assuming that

$$\|q(\lambda)\|_{(-\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)} \leq O(1) \quad (\text{VII.26})$$

for all $\epsilon \in [0, \epsilon_0] \cup [1 - \epsilon_0, 1]$ with some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Furthermore the bound (VII.26) is uniform for λ in a compact subset of Λ . The assumption (VII.26) for all $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ follows automatically from (VII.24) in case $q(\lambda)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}$.

It is in the latter sense that we make an assumption about the differentiability of $q(\lambda)$. We assume that for $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$, the difference quotient

$$\delta(\lambda, \lambda') = \frac{q(\lambda) - q(\lambda')}{\lambda - \lambda'},$$

which is an element of $\mathcal{T}(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{T}(-1, 0)$, converges in both these spaces as $\lambda' \rightarrow \lambda$.

Thus we assume that there exists a symmetric operator $\dot{q}(\lambda)$ with domain $\mathcal{D}$, which is the derivative of $q(\lambda)$ in the sense that for λ, λ' in a compact set of Λ ,

$$\lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \lambda} \|\delta(\lambda, \lambda') - \dot{q}(\lambda)\|_{(0,1)} + \lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \lambda} \|\delta(\lambda, \lambda') - \dot{q}(\lambda)\|_{(-1,0)} = 0. \quad (\text{VII.27})$$

We also want $\dot{q}(\lambda)$ to be continuous in λ , in the space $\mathcal{T}(0, 1)$. Thus we suppose that

$$\lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \lambda} \|\dot{q}(\lambda) - \dot{q}(\lambda')\|_{(0,1)} + \lim_{\lambda' \rightarrow \lambda} \|\dot{q}(\lambda) - \dot{q}(\lambda')\|_{(-1,0)} = 0. \quad (\text{VII.28})$$

We let (b') replace the assumption (b) of the previous subsection. We retain assumptions (a, c, d). In the linear case of §VII.2, $q(\lambda) = \lambda q$, so $\delta(\lambda, \lambda') = q = \dot{q}(\lambda)$. As $q \in \mathcal{T}(0, 1)$, the limits (VII.27–28) hold trivially, and (b') is an automatic consequence of (b).

Definition VII.7. *A family $Q(\lambda)$ satisfying the Assumptions (a) of §VII.2 and (b') above (including (VII.24–28)) is a regular Q -family. The family $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ which satisfies Assumptions (a, b', c, d) is a regular family of Θ -summable, fractionally-differentiable, non-commutative structures.*

Proposition VII.8. *Let $\{Q(\lambda)\}$ denote a regular Q -family. Then for λ in any compact subset of Λ , the conclusions of Proposition VII.4 and Corollary VII.5 hold with $a < 1$ replacing $\mu a < 1$, and with M replacing μM in all estimates.*

The proof of this proposition parallels that of the Proposition VII.4 and Corollary VII.5. We just replaced the bound $\lambda^2 q^2 \leq \mu^2 a^2 Q^2 + \mu^2 M^2$ by (VII.24). Self-adjointness of $Q(\lambda)$ follows as before. Furthermore the three inequalities all flow from the inequality $q(\lambda)^2 \leq a^2 Q^2 + M^2$. Thus we end up with the modified form of (VII.13–15), where a replaces μa and where M replaces μM . Similarly we can derive the inequalities (VII.22). Thus we also have proved

Proposition VII.9. *Let $Q(\lambda)$ denote a regular Q -family. Then the Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}_p(Q(\lambda))$, with $p \in [-1, 1]$, and λ in any compact subset of Λ , are independent of λ .*

We now proceed to study the differentiability of $\exp(-sQ(\lambda)^2)$. Let us define the difference quotient of heat kernels by

$$\Delta(\lambda, \lambda') = (\lambda - \lambda')^{-1} \left(e^{-sQ(\lambda)^2} - e^{-sQ(\lambda')^2} \right). \quad (\text{VII.29})$$

Proposition VII.10. *Let $Q(\lambda)$ be a regular Q -family and let $0 < s \leq 1$. Let λ belong to a compact subset of Λ . Let*

$$Y(\lambda) = \int_0^s e^{-tQ(\lambda)^2} d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda) e^{-(s-t)Q(\lambda)^2} ds, \quad (\text{VII.30})$$

where $d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda) = Q(\lambda)\dot{q}(\lambda) + \dot{q}(\lambda)Q(\lambda)$. Also let $X = \{I, d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda)\}$ be a two-vertex set. Then

- (i) X is a regular set with respect to Q .

(ii) The operator $Y(\lambda)$ of (VII.30) is related to the heat kernel regularization of X by

$$Y(\lambda) = \int_0^s X(t, s-t) dt \quad (\text{VII.31})$$

(iii) Let $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1$ and $0 < s < 1$. Consider $Y(\lambda)$ and $\Delta(\lambda, \lambda')$ for λ, λ' in a compact subset of Λ . They are bounded uniformly in $\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})$, as defined in §V.6. There exists $M < \infty$ such that

$$\|Y\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} + \|\Delta\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq Ms^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2}. \quad (\text{VII.32})$$

(iv) The derivative of $e^{-sQ(\lambda)^2}$ in $\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})$ is $-Y$. In fact for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\|\Delta + Y\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq o(1)s^{-(\alpha+\beta+\epsilon)/2} \quad (\text{VII.33})$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda - \lambda'| \rightarrow 0$.

We write

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} e^{-sQ(\lambda)^2} = - \int_0^s e^{-tQ(\lambda)^2} d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda) e^{-(s-t)Q(\lambda)^2} ds. \quad (\text{VII.34})$$

Remark. The fact that the derivative (VII.34) exists not just as a limit of difference quotients in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, but also as a limit in the space $\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})$ is crucial. It is this fact which will allow us to differentiate the expression for $\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ in terms of the expectations which define $\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$. In other words, it establishes the commutativity of differentiation with respect to λ and the trace and integration over s .

Proof. (i) We assume in (b') that $\dot{q}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{T}(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{T}(-1, 0)$. Furthermore as explained in (b), $q(\lambda) \in \mathcal{T}(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{T}(-1, 0)$, so also $Q(\lambda) \in \mathcal{T}(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{T}(-1, 0)$. As a consequence, both $\dot{q}(\lambda)Q(\lambda)$ and $Q(\lambda)\dot{q}(\lambda)$ and therefore $d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda)$ are elements of $\mathcal{T}(-1, 1)$. Hence the two vertex set has $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1$, giving $\eta_0 = \eta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus according to Definition V.1, X is a regular set with respect to Q .

(ii) Note $X(t, s-t) \in I_1$ for $t, s-t > 0$, and $\|X(t, s-t)\|_1$ satisfies the bound (V.38), which is integrable over s . This defines $Y(\lambda)$ in (VII.31) and also proves (ii).

(iii) We next estimate $\|Y(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})}$. In fact

$$\|Y(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq \int_0^s \|X(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} dt,$$

so it is sufficient to estimate the integrand for $t, s-t > 0$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} &= \|R^{-\alpha} X(t, s-t) R^{-\beta}\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} \\ &= \|R^{-\alpha} e^{-tQ(\lambda)^2} d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda) e^{-(s-t)Q(\lambda)^2} R^{-\beta}\|_{I_{s^{-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $R = (Q^2 + I)^{-1/2}$. By Proposition VII.9, there is a constant $\tilde{M}_3 < \infty$, independent of λ , in a compact subset of Λ , such that for $R(\lambda) = (Q(\lambda)^2 + I)^{-1/2}$,

$$\|R^{-1}R(\lambda)\| \leq \tilde{M}_3 ,$$

and hence

$$\|R^{-\alpha}R(\lambda)^\alpha\| \leq \tilde{M}_3^\alpha , \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 . \quad (\text{VII.35})$$

Thus by Hölder's inequality and (V.28)

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} &\leq \tilde{M}_3^{\alpha+\beta} \|R(\lambda)^{-\alpha-1} e^{-tQ(\lambda)^2/2}\| \|R(\lambda)^{-\beta-1} e^{-(s-t)Q(\lambda)^2/2}\| \\ &\quad \times \|R(\lambda) d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda) R(\lambda)\| \|e^{-tQ(\lambda)^2/2}\|_{I_{t-1}} \|e^{-(s-t)Q(\lambda)^2/2}\|_{I_{(s-t)-1}} \\ &\leq 4\tilde{M}_3^{\alpha+\beta} \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q(\lambda)^2/2} \right) \right)^s (t/2)^{-(\alpha+1)/2} \|R(\lambda) d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda) R(\lambda)\| . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.36})$$

Since $d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{T}(-1, 1)$, it follows that

$$\|R(\lambda) d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda) R(\lambda)\| \leq \tilde{M}_3^2 \|d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda)\|_{(-1,1)} .$$

Furthermore, $\alpha + \beta < 1$, and as we may take $\tilde{M}_3 > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} &\leq 16\tilde{M}_3^3 t^{-(\alpha+1)/2} (s-t)^{-(\beta+1)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q(\lambda)^2/2} \right) \right)^s \|d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda)\|_{(-1,1)} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.37})$$

Integrating over s we therefore obtain

$$\|Y(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq \tilde{M}_4 s^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2} \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q(\lambda)^2/2} \right) \right)^s \|d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda)\|_{(-1,1)} \quad (\text{VII.38})$$

with $M_4 = 16\tilde{M}_3^3 B_1 \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\beta}{2} \right)$.

Next we derive a similar bound on $\|\Delta(\lambda, \lambda')\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})}$. In this case we recall from Proposition VII.9 with $p = 1$, that the domain $\mathcal{D}(Q(\lambda))$ of $Q(\lambda)$ is λ independent for λ in a compact subset of Λ . Thus $Q(\lambda)^2$ is a sesquilinear form on $\mathcal{D}(Q(\lambda')) \times \mathcal{D}(Q(\lambda'))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\lambda, \lambda') &= (\lambda - \lambda')^{-1} e^{-tQ(\lambda)^2} e^{-(s-t)Q(\lambda')^2} \Big|_{t=0}^{t=s} \\ &= (\lambda - \lambda')^{-1} \int_0^s e^{-tQ(\lambda)^2} (Q(\lambda')^2 - Q(\lambda)^2) e^{-(s-t)Q(\lambda')^2} dt . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.39})$$

Note $\text{Range}(e^{-tQ(\lambda)^2}) \subset \mathcal{D}(Q(\lambda)) = \mathcal{D}(Q) = \mathcal{D}$. We have on $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$ the form identity

$$\Delta(\lambda, \lambda') = - \int_0^s e^{-tQ(\lambda)^2} (Q(\lambda)\delta(\lambda, \lambda') + \delta(\lambda, \lambda')Q(\lambda')) e^{-(s-t)Q(\lambda')^2} dt . \quad (\text{VII.40})$$

Since $\delta(\lambda, \lambda') \in \mathcal{T}(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{T}(-1, 0)$, we can repeat the proof of the bound on $Y(\lambda)$ to obtain

$$\|\Delta(\lambda, \lambda')\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq \tilde{M}_5 s^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2} \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-\tilde{M}_1^{-2} Q^2/2} \right) \right)^s \left(\|\delta(\lambda, \lambda')\|_{\mathcal{T}(0,1)} + \|\delta(\lambda, \lambda')\|_{\mathcal{T}(-1,0)} \right), \quad (\text{VII.41})$$

where $\tilde{M}_5 = 16e\tilde{M}_3^4 B_1 \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\beta}{2} \right)$. Since s is bounded, and $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$ is trace class for all $\beta > 0$, this proves (VII.32).

(iv) Up to now we have only used the uniform bound on $\delta(\lambda, \lambda')$. However, in order to prove differentiability we need to establish convergence to zero of the sum $\Delta + Y$. We express $\Delta + Y$ as a sum of five terms, and we then show that each term converges to zero in $\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})$. With the notation

$$S(t) = e^{-tQ(\lambda)^2}, \quad S'(t) = e^{-tQ(\lambda')^2}, \quad (\text{VII.42})$$

use (VII.41, 44) to write

$$\Delta + Y = - \int_0^s S(t) Q(\lambda) (\delta S'(s-t) - \dot{q} S(s-t)) dt - \int_0^s S(t) (\delta Q(\lambda') S'(s-t) - \dot{q} Q(\lambda) S(s-t)) dt. \quad (\text{VII.43})$$

We expand this as a sum of differences

$$\Delta + Y = - \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_0^s Z_j(t, s-t) dt, \quad (\text{VII.44})$$

with

$$Z_1(t, s-t) = S(t) Q(\lambda) (\delta - \dot{q}) S'(s-t), \quad (\text{VII.45})$$

$$Z_2(t, s-t) = S(t) Q(\lambda) \dot{q} (S'(s-t) - S(s-t)), \quad (\text{VII.46})$$

$$Z_3(t, s-t) = S(t) (\delta - \dot{q}) Q(\lambda') S'(s-t), \quad (\text{VII.47})$$

$$Z_4(t, s-t) = S(t) \dot{q} \delta S'(s-t) (\lambda' - \lambda), \quad (\text{VII.48})$$

and

$$Z_5(t, s-t) = S(t) \dot{q} Q(\lambda) (S'(s-t) - S(s-t)). \quad (\text{VII.49})$$

We now show that for any $\epsilon > 0$, each of these terms satisfies

$$\|Z_j(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha_1 \beta; s^{-1})} \leq o(1) t^{-(1+\alpha)/2} (s-t)^{-(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2}, \quad (\text{VII.50})$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda - \lambda'| \rightarrow 0$. We then integrate (VII.50) over $0 < t < s$. Since $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$, it follows that $\beta < 1$. Therefore we can choose ϵ so that $(1 + \beta + \epsilon)/2 < 1$. Then the integral converges, and we obtain

$$\|\Delta + Y\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq o(1) s^{-(\alpha+\beta+\epsilon)/2}, \quad (\text{VII.51})$$

as claimed.

Let us begin by proving the bound on $Z_1(t, s-t)$. Observe that for $t > 0$, $s-t > 0$, by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Z_1(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} &= \|R^{-\alpha} Z_1(t, s-t) R^{-\beta}\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} \\
&\leq \|R^{-\alpha} S(t) Q(\lambda)\|_{I_{t^{-1}}} \|(\delta - \dot{q}) R\| \|R^{-1} S'(s-t) R^{-\beta}\|_{I_{(s-t)^{-1}}} \\
&\leq \|R^{-\alpha} S(t/3)\| \|S(t/3)\|_{I_{t^{-1}}} \|S(t/3) Q(\lambda)\| \|(\delta - \dot{q}) R\| \\
&\quad \|R^{-1} S'(s-t)/3\| \|S'((s-t)/3)\|_{I_{(s-t)^{-1}}} \|S'(s-t) R^{-\beta}\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{VII.52}$$

Using bounds (VII.35) and (V.28), we bound the first term on the right

$$\|R^{-\alpha} S(t/3)\| \leq \tilde{M}_3^\alpha \|R(\lambda)^{-\alpha} S(t/3)\| \leq 2\tilde{M}_3^\alpha (t/3)^{-\alpha/2}. \tag{VII.53}$$

Similarly the third, fifth, and seventh terms on the right are bounded. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Z_1(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} &\leq 3^2 2^3 (3\tilde{M}_3)^{(\alpha+\beta+1)/2} t^{-(1+\alpha)/2} (s-t)^{-(1+\beta)/2} \\
&\quad \times \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q(\lambda)^2/3} \right) \right)^t \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q(\lambda')^2/3} \right) \right)^{s-t} \|(\delta - \dot{q})\|_{(0,1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{VII.54}$$

By Proposition VII.8, we have the bound (VII.15) for λ and for λ' . Thus

$$\left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q(\lambda)^2/3} \right) \right)^t \left(\text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q(\lambda')^2/3} \right) \right)^{s-t} \leq \tilde{M}_4^s$$

where $\tilde{M}_4$ is bounded uniformly in λ, λ' in the compact subset of Λ . Hence we include all constants together in one constant $\tilde{M}_5$ to give

$$\|Z_1(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq \tilde{M}_5 t^{-(1+\alpha)/2} (s-t)^{-(1+\beta)/2} \|\delta - \dot{q}\|_{(0,1)}. \tag{VII.55}$$

The hypothesis (VII.27) ensures that $\|\delta - \dot{q}\|_{(0,1)}$ is $o(1)$ as $|\lambda - \lambda'| \rightarrow 0$. Thus (VII.55) is bounded by (VII.50) with $\epsilon = 0$, and the bound on Z_1 has been proved. The bound on Z_3 is similar, except that we use (VII.28) to conclude $\|\delta - \dot{q}\|_{(-1,0)} = o(1)$.

Next we consider the bound on Z_4 . Here we use the uniform bounds

$$\|\dot{q}\|_{(-1,0)} \leq O(1), \quad \|\delta\|_{(0,1)} \leq O(1)$$

to proceed as above to establish

$$\|Z_4(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq O(|\lambda - \lambda'|) t^{-(1+\alpha)/2} (s-t)^{-(1+\beta)/2}, \tag{VII.56}$$

so the explicit coefficient $\lambda' - \lambda$ provides Lipschitz continuity of this term. In particular, we have established (VII.50) for Z_1, Z_3, Z_4 , and the bound holds for all three with $\epsilon = 0$.

Let us now inspect Z_2 which requires a different method. Let P_n denote the orthogonal projection in $\mathcal{H}$ onto the subspace for which $Q^2 \leq n$. Decompose $\mathcal{H} = P_n \mathcal{H} \oplus (I - P_n) \mathcal{H}$ into two subspaces on which $Q^2 \leq n$ and $Q^2 > n$ respectively. Also write

$$Z_2(t, s - t) = Z_2(t, s - t)P_n + Z_2(t, s - t)(I - P_n). \quad (\text{VII.57})$$

We claim that given $\epsilon_1 > 0$, we can choose n_0 sufficiently large so that for $n > n_0$, we have

$$\|Z_2(t, s - t)(I - P_n)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha - \beta; s^{-1})} \leq \epsilon_1 t^{-(1+\alpha)/2} (s - t)^{-(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2} \quad (\text{VII.58})$$

for all λ, λ' in the compact subset of Λ . In fact, choose $0 < \epsilon$ sufficiently small so that $\beta + \epsilon < 1$. We repeat the type of estimate in (VII.52) above to obtain the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z_2(t, s - t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta - \epsilon; s^{-1})} &\leq O(t^{-(1+\alpha)/2}) \|S'(s - t) - S(s - t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(1, -\beta - \epsilon; (s - t)^{-1})} \\ &\leq O(1) t^{-(1+\alpha)/2} (s - t)^{-(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.59})$$

We use here $\|R^{-1}S'(s - t)R^{-\beta - \epsilon}\|_{I_{(s - t)^{-1}}} \leq O(1)$, and similarly $\|R^{-1}S(s - t)R^{-\beta - \epsilon}\|_{I_{(s - t)^{-1}}} \leq O(1)$.

On the other hand

$$\|R^\epsilon(I - P_n)\| = \|(Q^2 + I)^{-\epsilon/2}(I - P_n)\| \leq (n + 1)^{-\epsilon/2}. \quad (\text{VII.60})$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z_2(t, s - t)(I - P_n)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} &\leq \|Z_2(t, s - t)R^{-\epsilon}R^\epsilon(I - P_n)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \\ &\leq \|Z_2(t, s - t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta - \epsilon; s^{-1})} \|R^\epsilon(I - P_n)\| \\ &\leq O((n + 1)^{-\epsilon/2}) t^{-(1+\alpha)/2} (s - t)^{-(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.61})$$

Hence for n_0 sufficiently large and $n \geq n_0$, we have $O((n + 1)^{-\epsilon/2}) \leq \epsilon_1$, and (VII.58) holds.

We also claim that if we choose a fixed $n > n_0$, then

$$\|Z_2(t, s - t)P_n\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq o(1) t^{-(1+\alpha)/2}. \quad (\text{VII.62})$$

In fact $\exp(-Q^2)$ is trace class so $P_n \mathcal{H}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. The dimension of $P_n \mathcal{H}$ is fixed once n is fixed. Furthermore, the operator T defined by

$$T = R^{-\alpha} Z_2(t, s - t) R^{-\beta} P_n,$$

yields

$$T^* T = P_n R^{-\beta} Z_2(t, s - t)^* R^{-2\alpha} Z_2(t, s - t) R^{-\beta} P_n, \quad (\text{VII.63})$$

which acts on the finite-dimensional subspace $P_n \mathcal{H}$. Thus the absolute value $|T| = (T^* T)^{1/2}$ of T also acts on $P_n \mathcal{H}$. We therefore can write

$$\|T\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} = \left(\text{Tr}_{P_n \mathcal{H}} (|T|^{s^{-1}}) \right)^s, \quad (\text{VII.64})$$

with the trace restricted to $P_n\mathcal{H}$. But

$$\|Z_2(t, s-t)P_n\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} = \|T\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} , \quad (\text{VII.65})$$

so we can evaluate the $\mathcal{T}(\alpha, \beta; s^{-1})$ norm of Z_2P_n on the subspace $P_n\mathcal{H}$.

Let f_j , for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ be an orthonormal basis for $P_n\mathcal{H}$. We claim that for each j ,

$$\langle f, |T|^{-s^{-1}} f_j \rangle^s \leq o(1)t^{-(\alpha+1)/2} , \quad (\text{VII.66})$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda - \lambda'| \rightarrow 0$. As a consequence of (VII.66) and of the fixed, finite dimensionality of $P_n\mathcal{H}$, we infer (VII.62).

To prove (VII.66), note that

$$\|R^{-\beta}P_n\| \leq \|(Q^2 + I)^{\beta/2}P_n\| \leq (n+1)^{\beta/2} . \quad (\text{VII.67})$$

Also

$$R^{-\alpha}Z_2(t, s-t) = R^{-\alpha}S(t)Q(\lambda)\dot{q}(\lambda)(S'(s-t) - S(s-t)) . \quad (\text{VII.68})$$

As a consequence of Proposition VII.9, we have the bounds (IV.22) for all λ in a compact subset of Λ . In particular, we have

$$Q(\lambda)^2 \leq \tilde{M}_2^2(Q^2 + I)$$

on the subspace $P_n\mathcal{H}$. We therefore conclude that $\|Q(\lambda)P_n\|$ is bounded by $\tilde{M}_2(n+1)$. In other words, if $P_m(\lambda)$ is the orthogonal projection in $\mathcal{H}$ onto the subspace on which $Q(\lambda)^2 \leq m$, we have

$$P_n\mathcal{H} \subset P_{\tilde{M}_2(n+1)}(\lambda)\mathcal{H} ,$$

for each λ in the compact subset of Λ . On the other hand the other inequality (VII.22) ensures

$$Q^2 \leq \tilde{M}_1^2(Q(\lambda)^2 + I) ,$$

so

$$P_{\tilde{M}_2(n+1)}(\lambda)\mathcal{H} \subset P_{\tilde{M}_1(\tilde{M}_2(n+1)+1)}\mathcal{H} .$$

We conclude that $(S'(s-t) - S(s-t))P_n$ has a range in $P_{n_1}\mathcal{H}$, where $n_1 = \tilde{M}_1(\tilde{M}_2(n+1) + 1)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{q}(\lambda)(S'(s-t) - S(s-t))P_n\| &= \|\dot{q}(\lambda)RR^{-1}P_{n_1}(S'(s-t) - S(s-t))P_n\| \\ &\leq \|\dot{q}\|_{(0,1)}(n_1 + 1)\|(S'(s-t) - S(s-t))P_n\| . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.69})$$

Combining (VII.68) with the bound

$$\|R^{-\alpha}S(t)Q(\lambda)\| \leq O(t^{-(1+\alpha)/2}), \quad (\text{VII.70})$$

uniformly on a compact subset of Λ , we can bound $|T|$ in norm by

$$\begin{aligned} \| |T| \| &= \|(T^*T)^{1/2}\| \leq \|T^*T\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq O\left(t^{-(1+\alpha)/2}\right) (n_1 + 1)(n + 1)^{\beta/2} \|\dot{q}\|_{(0,1)}^{1/2} \|\dot{q}\|_{(-1,0)}^{1/2} \|(S'(s-t) - S(s-t))P_n\| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.71})$$

For $n \geq n_0$ fixed, the constants in (VII.71) are uniform in λ . However, $\|(S'(s-t) - S(s-t))P_n\| = o(1)$ as $|\lambda - \lambda'| \rightarrow 0$, for this norm is calculated on a given, finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. Thus

$$\| |T| \| = (o(1)t^{-(1+\alpha)/2}),$$

with $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda - \lambda'| \rightarrow 0$. Likewise

$$\| |T|^{s^{-1}} \| \leq (o(1) (t^{-(1+\alpha)/2}))^{s^{-1}}$$

and

$$\langle f, |T|^{s^{-1}} f_j \rangle^s \leq o(1)t^{-(1+\alpha)/2}.$$

Hence we have proved (VII.66) and (VII.62).

We now combine (VII.58) with (VII.62) to give

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z_2(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} &\leq \|Z_2(t, s-t)(I - P_n)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} + \|Z_2(t, s-t)P_n\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \\ &\leq o(1)t^{-(1+\alpha)/2}(s-t)^{-(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.72})$$

Here we use $1 \leq (s-t)^{(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2}$ in the bound on Z_2P_n . Thus we have established (VII.50) in the case of Z_2 .

The proof of the bound (VII.50) for Z_5 is a minor modification on the proof for Z_2 , and it also results in the bound

$$\|Z_5(t, s-t)\|_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq o(1)t^{-(1+\alpha)/2}(s-t)^{-(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2}. \quad (\text{VII.73})$$

Hence we have completed the proof of (VII.33) and of the proposition.

In the course of establishing the proposition, we have used a method which gives a useful bound on Δ . We state this separately,

Proposition VII.11. *Let $Q(\lambda)$ be a regular Q -family and let $0 < s \leq 1$. Let λ, λ' belong to a compact subset of Λ . Let $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\|e^{-sQ(\lambda)^2} - e^{-sQ(\lambda')^2}\|_{\mathcal{T}(\beta, -1; s^{-1})} + \|e^{-sQ(\lambda)^2} - e^{-sQ(\lambda')^2}\|_{\mathcal{T}(1, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq o(1)s^{-(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2}, \quad (\text{VII.74})$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda - \lambda'| \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We inspect the second term in (VII.74). The bound on this difference in the norm $\mathcal{T}(1, -\beta; s^{-1})$ was proved in the course of our proof of the bound (VII.72) on $Z_2(t, s - t)$. To be explicit,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-sQ(\lambda)^2} - e^{-sQ(\lambda')^2}\|_{\mathcal{T}(1, -\beta; s^{-1})} &= \|R^{-1} \left(e^{-sQ(\lambda)^2} - e^{-sQ(\lambda')^2} \right) R^{-\beta}\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} \\ &\leq \|R^{-1} \left(e^{-sQ(\lambda)^2} - e^{-sQ(\lambda')^2} \right) R^{-\beta} (I - P_n)\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} \\ &\quad + \|R^{-1} \left(e^{-sQ(\lambda)^2} - e^{-sQ(\lambda')^2} \right) R^{-\beta} P_n\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.75})$$

where P_n denotes the orthogonal projection onto the subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ on which $Q^2 \leq n$. As in the proof of (VII.72), and with the notation used there,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^{-1}(S(s) - S'(s))R^{-\beta}(I - P_n)\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} &\leq \|R^{-1}(S(s) - S'(s))R^{-\beta-\epsilon}\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} \|R^\epsilon(I - P_n)\| \\ &\leq \|R^{-1}(S(s) - S'(s))R^{-\beta-\epsilon}\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} (n+1)^{-\epsilon} \\ &\leq O(n+1)^{-\epsilon} s^{-(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2} \leq \epsilon_1 s^{-(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.76})$$

Here $\epsilon_1 > 0$ is given and n is chosen sufficiently large, depending on ϵ_1 . Likewise for fixed n ,

$$\|R^{-1}(S(s) - S'(s))R^{-\beta}P_n\|_{I_{s^{-1}}} \leq o(1) , \quad \text{as } |\lambda - \lambda'| \rightarrow 0 . \quad (\text{VII.77})$$

This is a consequence of an analysis of T^*T , where

$$T = R^{-1}(S(s) - S'(s))R^{-\beta}P_n . \quad (\text{VII.78})$$

We infer that

$$T^*T = P_n R^{-\beta} (S(s) - S'(s)) R^{-2} (S(s) - S'(s)) R^{-\beta} P_n \quad (\text{VII.79})$$

is bounded using (VII.67) and the argument following, for n fixed, by

$$\| |T| \| \leq \|T^*T\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq O(1) \|(S(s) - S'(s))P_n\| \leq o(1) . \quad (\text{VII.80})$$

Hence

$$\left(\text{Tr}_{P_n \mathcal{H}} \left(|T|^{s^{-1}} \right) \right)^s \leq o(1) \quad (\text{VII.81})$$

and (VII.77) holds. But (VII.76–77) show that

$$\|S(s) - S'(s)\|_{\mathcal{T}(1, -\beta; s^{-1})} \leq o(1) s^{-(1+\beta+\epsilon)/2} ,$$

which bounds the second term on the left of (VII.74). The bound on the first term of (VII.74) is just the corresponding dual bound on the adjoint of $S(s) - S'(s)$. Hence it follows and the proposition is proved.

VII.4 The Basic Cochains $L(\lambda)$ and $h(\lambda)$

We define the two families of cochains $L(\lambda)$ and $h(\lambda)$ as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_n(\lambda)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, d_\lambda a_{j-1}, [\dot{q}(\lambda), a_j], d_\lambda a_{j+1}, \dots, d_\lambda a_n; g \rangle_n \\
 &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^n \langle a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, d_\lambda a_j, d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda), d_\lambda a_{j+1}, \dots, d_\lambda a_n; g \rangle_{n+1}, \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, [\dot{q}(\lambda), a_j], \dots, d_\lambda a_n; g \rangle_n \\
 &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^n \tau_{n+1}^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_j, \dot{q}(\lambda), \dots, a_n; g), \tag{VII.82}
 \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$h_n(\lambda)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = (-1)^{[n/2]} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \langle a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, d_\lambda a_k, \dot{q}(\lambda), d_\lambda a_{k+1}, \dots, d_\lambda a_n; g \rangle_{n+1}. \tag{VII.83}$$

The analytic properties of these cochains are a consequence of the groundwork we have laid.

Proposition VII.12. *Let $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ be a regular family of Θ -summable, fractionally-differentiable structures. Then*

- (i) *The families $\{L(\lambda)\}$ and $\{h(\lambda)\}$ are bounded families of cochains in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$.*
- (ii) *Furthermore $L_{2n+1}(\lambda) = 0$ and $h_{2n}(\lambda) = 0$.*
- (iii) *For each j , $0 \leq j \leq n$, define the functional*

$$\tilde{h}_n^{(j)}(\lambda)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = h_n(\lambda)(a_0, a_1, \dots, da_j, \dots, a_n; g).$$

Then $\tilde{h}_n^{(j)}$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathfrak{A})$.

- (iv) *The functional $\tilde{h}(\lambda)$ defined by*

$$\tilde{h}(\lambda) = \left\{ \tilde{h}_n(\lambda) \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{j+1} \tilde{h}_n^{(j)}(\lambda) \right\} \tag{VII.84}$$

is an element of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$.

- (v) *The function $g \rightarrow h(\lambda)$ is continuous in g as a map from $\mathfrak{G}$ to $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, uniformly for λ in compact subsets of Λ .*

Proof. It is clear that for any $a_k = I$, $k \neq 0$, both $L_n(\lambda)$ and $h_n(\lambda)$ vanish, as they must for cochains in $\mathcal{C}$. Furthermore, the expressions (VII.22) have the invariance property (II.1). The symmetry property under γ ensures that $L_{2n+1} = 0$ and $h_{2n} = 0$, when evaluated on $\mathfrak{A}$.

Thus in order to ensure that $L(\lambda)$ and $h(\lambda)$ are in $\mathcal{C}$ we must establish a uniform bound of the form of (II.31), as well as continuity in λ of the form (II.33). To prove the uniform bound (II.31), we must make sure that the expectation in each case is for a regular set of vertices. This is true for each possibility.

We remark that for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\dot{q}(\lambda)a$ and $a\dot{q}(\lambda)$ are vertices of type (1,0) and (0,1) respectively. Thus the sets

$$X_n = \{a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, d_\lambda a_{j-1}, \dot{q}(\lambda)a_j, d_\lambda a_{j+1}, \dots, d_\lambda a_n\}$$

and

$$Y_n = \{a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, d_\lambda a_{j-1}, a_j \dot{q}(\lambda), d_\lambda a_{j+1}, \dots, d_\lambda a_n\}$$

have regularity exponents (V.31, 32) given by

$$\eta_{\text{local}}^{X_n} = \frac{1}{2} \min\{1 - \alpha, 1 - \beta\} > 0, \quad \eta_{\text{global}}^{X_n} > 1 - \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2(n+1)} > \frac{1}{2},$$

for n sufficiently large, and

$$\eta_{\text{local}}^{Y_n} = \frac{1}{2} \min\{1 - \alpha, 1 - \beta\} > 0, \quad \eta_{\text{global}}^{Y_n} > 1 - \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2(n+1)} > \frac{1}{2},$$

for n sufficiently large. Thus both X_n and Y_n have expectations in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, according to the estimate of Corollary V.4.iv. Here we use Proposition VII.6 which ensures that norms defined with $Q(\lambda)$ or with Q are equivalent. The sum of n such X_n and Y_n also defines a cochain in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, as $n^{1/n} \rightarrow 1$.

On the other hand, the second sum of (VII.82) for $L_n(\lambda)$ has one vertex $Q(\lambda)\dot{q}(\lambda) + \dot{q}(\lambda)Q(\lambda) = d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda)$. This vertex is of type $(-1, 1)$. Thus again we may apply Proposition VII.6 if we verify that $\eta_{\text{local}} > 0$ and $\eta_{\text{global}} > \frac{1}{2}$ for the set of vertices

$$Z = \{a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, d_\lambda a_j, d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda), d_\lambda a_{j+1}, \dots, d_\lambda a_n\}.$$

In this case

$$\eta_{\text{local}}^{Z_n} = \min \left\{ \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{2} \right), \left(\frac{1 - \beta}{2} \right) \right\} > 0$$

and as before $\eta_{\text{global}}^{Z_n} > \frac{1}{2}$ for n sufficiently large. Thus $L(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$. For the cochain $h(\lambda)$ we proceed similarly. However $\dot{q}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{T}(0, 1)$, so proceeding as above we conclude $h(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Next we consider the expectation $\tilde{h}_n^{(j)}$. Here one vertex of type $d_\lambda^2 a_j = Q(\lambda)d_\lambda a_j + d_\lambda a_j Q(\lambda)$ may occur. The vertex adjacent to this vertex will be either a vertex $a_j d_\lambda a_k$, or $\dot{q}(\lambda)$. Such a pair of vertices will give rise to ηj 's in the range $0 < (1 - \alpha - \beta)/2 \leq \eta j \leq \max((1 - \alpha)/2, 1 - \beta/2)$.

This does not affect the above discussion, so each $\{\tilde{h}^{(j)}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$. Likewise $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$. Both these bounds are uniform for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ in a compact set.

In order to establish the continuity of $h(\lambda)$ in g , we need to analyze the difference $h(\lambda; g) - h(\lambda; g')$, for g, g' nearby elements of $\mathfrak{G}$. We let P_r denote the orthogonal projection in $\mathcal{H}$ onto the subspace $Q^2 \leq r$. Let $\mu = (1 - \alpha - \beta) < 4$, so $0 < \mu < \eta_{\text{local}}/2$ and μ is independent of n . Also let $R = (Q^2 + I)^{-1/2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U(g) - U(g') &= P_r(U(g) - U(g')) \\ &\quad + R^{-\mu} R^\mu (I - P_r)(U(g) - U(g')) . \end{aligned}$$

We insert this relation in place of $U(g) - U(g')$ in each term in the difference

$$h_n(\lambda)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) - h_n(\lambda)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g') .$$

Expand into $2(n+1)$ terms using definition (VII.83). We will show that for g sufficiently close to g' , the norm of each of these terms is small, with a coefficient $o(1)$ uniform for λ in a compact and with the large- n behavior $o(1)M^{n+1}(n!)^{-\frac{1}{2} - (\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2})}$. Hence $h(\lambda)$ is continuous in $g \in \mathfrak{G}$, uniformly on compact sets of Λ .

To complete the proof we argue why each term is small. First choose r sufficiently large, which will make all the $(I - P_r)$ contributions small. In fact we use cyclicity of the trace and the fact that R^μ commutes with γ to move R^μ to the last factor in the trace, adjacent to $\exp(-s_{n+1}Q(\lambda)^2)$. Furthermore, we have established in Proposition VII.6, 9 that for λ in a compact subset of Λ , $\|(Q(\lambda)^2 + I)^{+\mu/2} R^{-r}\|$ uniformly bounded. Thus the factor $\exp(-s_{n+1}Q(\lambda)^2) R^{-\mu}$ can be replaced by $\exp(-s_{\mu+1}Q(\lambda)^2)(Q(\lambda)^2 + I)^\mu$ times a uniformly bounded operator. Since $|mu| \leq \eta_{\text{local}}/2$, the factor $(Q(\lambda)^2 + I)^\mu$ can be absorbed into α_{n+1} , with the only effect being to change the combinatorial constant from one vertex. We are thus left with the factor

$$\|R^\mu(I - P_r)\| \leq (r^2 + 1)^{-\mu/2} ,$$

which is $o(1)$ uniformly in all constants if r is sufficiently large.

Now fix r and consider the first term $P_r(U(g) - U(g'))$. Note Q^2 and $U(g)$ commute, so $U(t) - U(g')$ acts on $P_r\mathcal{H}$. While $U(g)$ is only strongly continuous on $\mathcal{H}$, strong continuity and norm continuity agrees on the finite dimensional subspace $P_r\mathcal{H}$. Thus

$$\|P_r(U(g) - U(g'))\| \leq o(1) ,$$

where $o(1)$ is bounded uniformly for fixed r , and where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $g^{-1}g' \rightarrow e$, the identity in $\mathfrak{G}$. Thus both terms in the expansion of $U(g) - U(g')$ give a small coefficient times a uniform bound in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$, and the proof of Proposition VII.12 is complete.

Proposition VII.13. *Assume that $Q(\lambda)$ is a regular family of perturbations and $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{J}_{\beta, \alpha}$ with $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then*

- (i) *The families of cochains $L(\lambda), h(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ are continuous as maps from $\lambda \in \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$.*
- (ii) *The family $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ is differentiable in λ , and $d\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)/d\lambda = L(\lambda)$. Hence τ^{JLO} is continuously differentiable.*

Proof. (i) The continuity of $L(\lambda)$ and of $h(\lambda)$ in λ flows from an analysis of the differences $L(\lambda) - L(\lambda')$ and $h(\lambda) - h(\lambda')$. Taking λ, λ' in a compact subset of Λ , we obtain uniform estimates

$$\|L_n(\lambda) - L_n(\lambda')\| + \|h_n(\lambda) - h_n(\lambda')\| \leq o(1)m^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \quad (\text{VII.85})$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. Here $o(1)$ is independent of n and $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda - \lambda'| \rightarrow 0$. To obtain this bound, write out the differences of L_n or of h_n using the definitions (VII.82–83).

Each term in these sums has the form $\int \text{Tr}(\gamma U(g)(X(s; \lambda) - X(s; \lambda'))) ds$, where $X(s, \lambda)$ is a product of n or $n+1$ vertices (of the form $a_0, d_\lambda a_j, \dot{q}(\lambda), d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda)$, or $[\dot{q}(\lambda), a_j]$) and an equal number of heat kernels. Thus each difference $X(s; \lambda) - X(s; \lambda')$ can be expanded further as a sum of $2n$ or $w(n+1)$ terms, with exactly one difference in each. Here this difference is either the difference of a vertex at two values of λ , or else a difference of heat kernels at two values of λ .

For each term, we repeat the uniform bounds as in the proof of Proposition VII.12. These bounds, however, can be improved through the presence of the difference, which will ultimately give a coefficient $o(1)$. If the difference is a difference of heat kernels, use the bound of Proposition IVV.11. Suppose the difference occurs in the j^{th} heat kernel, counting from zero on the left. This yields a factor $o(1)$, as well as the uniform bound, with the loss of an arbitrarily small power $s_j^{-\epsilon}$ from this one vertex.

Next let us consider terms for which the difference is a difference of a vertex. We need to consider each generic possibility. If the vertex is $d_\lambda a_j$ the difference has the form

$$d_\lambda a_j - d_{\lambda'} a_j = [q(\lambda) - q(\lambda'), a_j] = (\lambda - \lambda')[\delta, a_j], \quad (\text{VII.86})$$

where δ denotes the difference quotient for $q(\lambda)$. The only possible problem arises if δ is adjacent to a vertex containing $\dot{q}(\lambda)$. Each term has at most two vertices with q or $\dot{q}$'s. In this case we use (VII.26) for $0 < \epsilon$ or $\epsilon < 1$. For example, in the term

$$\cdots (\lambda - \lambda') e^{-s_{j-1} Q(\lambda)^2} a_j \delta e^{-s_j Q(\lambda')^2} \dot{q}(\lambda') a_{j+1} e^{-s_{j+1} Q(\lambda')^2} \cdots, \quad (\text{VII.87})$$

we use the identity

$$a_j \delta R^{1-\epsilon} = R^{-\epsilon} (R^\epsilon a_j R^{-\epsilon}) (R^\epsilon \delta R^{1-\epsilon}) \quad (\text{VII.88})$$

to transfer $R^{-\epsilon}$ through a_j and away from the heat kernel $\exp(-s; Q(\lambda')^2)$ which is sandwiched between two factors of q or $\dot{q}$. By Proposition V.5.ii, $\|R^\epsilon a_j R^{-\epsilon}\| = \|a_j\| \leq \|a_j\|_{\mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}}$ for $0 < \epsilon \leq 1 - \alpha$. Also $\|R^\epsilon \delta R^{1-\epsilon}\| = \|\delta\|_{(-\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)} \leq O(1)$ by (VII.26). Thus (VII.87) can be bounded by $O(|\lambda - \lambda'|)$, times the usual bound. The special treatment of the two vertices with factors of q or $\dot{q}$ does not change η_{global} for n large. The $O(n^2)$ terms in L_n and h_n are bounded by m^n and do not affect the power of $1/n!$ in the overall estimate. Thus (VII.85) holds for any $\epsilon \leq (1 - \alpha - \beta)/2$, and part (i) is proved.

(ii) To establish differentiability of $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$, we write out the difference quotient and subtract $L(\lambda)$. Then

$$\frac{\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda) - \tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda')}{\lambda - \lambda'} - L_n(\lambda) \quad (\text{VII.89})$$

can be expressed as a sum of differences, as in the proof of (i). Two types of terms occur in the difference quotient: the difference quotient for heat kernel factors and the difference quotient for vertices. These terms are in 1-1 correspondence with the terms in L_n ; the difference quotients for heat kernels correspond to the $d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda)$ vertices in $L_n(\lambda)$ while the difference quotients for vertices correspond to the $[\dot{q}(\lambda), a_j]$ vertices in L_n . After combining these terms, the estimates of the terms with vertex differences proceed as in the proof of part (i). The terms with heat kernel difference quotients can be treated using the bounds of Propositions VII.10–11. The bounds are similar to the bounds proved earlier, so we do not give the details.

VII.5 Deformations of $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)$ Yield a Coboundary

We establish that $\frac{d\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = L(\lambda) = \partial h(\lambda)$, with $h(\lambda)$ defined in (VII.28). In other words, we establish the constancy of $\langle \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda), a \rangle$ under a homotopy as explained in §VII.1. This completes the proof of Theorem VII.1 and of Corollary VII.2.

Proposition VII.14. *If $Q(\lambda)$ is a regular family of perturbations and $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\beta,\alpha}$, then $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda) = \partial h(\lambda) .$$

Proof. In Proposition VII.13.ii we established that $d\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\lambda)/d\lambda$ exists and equals $L(\lambda)$, and furthermore that $L(\lambda)$ is a continuous function from Λ to $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$. We also have shown the existence and continuity of $h(\lambda)$. So now we need only show that $L = \partial h$.

In Proposition VII.12.ii, we have shown that (evaluated on $\mathfrak{A}$) both $h_{2n} = 0$ and $L_{2n+1} = 0$. Thus we need only verify that

$$L_{2n}(\lambda) = (Bh_{2n+1})(\lambda) + (bh_{2n-1})(\lambda) . \quad (\text{VII.90})$$

We begin by proving that

$$(Bh_{2n+1})(\lambda)(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = -h_{2n}(da_0, a_1, \dots, a_n; g), \quad (\text{VII.91})$$

where the right side is $\tilde{h}_{2n}^{(0)}$ defined in Proposition VII.12.iii, and shown there to be an element of $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathfrak{A})$. In fact, we establish (VII.91) starting from the definition

$$(Bh_{2n+1})(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} h_{2n+1}(I, a_{2n-j+1}^{g^{-1}}, \dots, a_{2n}^{g^{-1}}, a_0, \dots, a_{2n-j}; g).$$

We expand the right side according to the definition (VII.82) of h . Note that $[(2n+1)/2] = n$. Also, we use the cyclic permutation symmetry (V.67) of expectations to permute da_0 into the zeroth position. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (Bh_{2n+1})(a_0, \dots, a_{2n}; g) \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^k \left\langle I, da_{2n-j+1}^{g^{-1}}, \dots, da_{2n-j+k}^{g^{-1}}, \dot{q}(\lambda), da_{2n-j+k+1}^{g^{-1}}, \dots, \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. da_{2n}^{g^{-1}}, da_0, \dots, da_{2n-j}; g \right\rangle_{2n+2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{2n-j} (-1)^{k+j+1} \left\langle I, da_{2n-j+1}^{g^{-1}}, \dots, da_{2n}^{g^{-1}}, da_0, \dots, da_k, \dot{q}(\lambda), \dots, da_{2n-j}; g \right\rangle_{2n+2} \right) \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \left(\sum_{k=2n-j}^{2n} (-1)^{k+1} \langle da_0, da_1, \dots, da_{2n-j}, I, \dots, da_k, \dot{q}(\lambda), \dots, da_{2n}; g \rangle_{2n+2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{2n-j} (-1)^{k+1} \langle da_0, da_1, \dots, da_k, \dot{q}(\lambda), da_{k+1}, \dots, da_{2n-j}, I, \dots, da_{2n}; g \rangle_{2n+2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.92})$$

We now combine the terms in (VII.92) which have a particular value of k . For these terms, the factor I occurs in the position $1, 2, \dots, 2n$, while the factor $\dot{q}(\lambda)$ always follows da_k . These terms all have the same sign $(-1)^{n+k+1}$. Thus using (V.68) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Bh_{2n+1})(a_0, \dots, a_{2n}; g) &= (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n+1} (-1)^k \langle da_0, da_1, \dots, da_k, \dot{q}(\lambda), da_{k+1}, \dots, da_{2n}; g \rangle_{2n+1} \\ &= -h_{2n}(da_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2n}; g), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed in (VII.91).

Next we evaluate bh_{2n-1} . We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} (bh_{2n-1})(a_0, \dots, a_{2n}; g) &= - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j h_{2n}(a_0, a_1, \dots, d_\lambda a_j, \dots, a_{2n}; g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \langle a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, d_\lambda a_{j-1}, [\dot{q}(\lambda), a_j], \dots, d_\lambda a_{2n}; g \rangle_{2n} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.93})$$

In fact the definition of b yields

$$\begin{aligned} (bh_{2n-1})(a_0, \dots, a_{2n}; g) &= \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} (-1)^j h_{2n-1}(a_0, \dots, a_j a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{2n}; g) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{2n} h_{2n-1}(a_{2n}^{g^{-1}} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1}; g) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.94})$$

We expand using the definition of h , giving a sum of $n(2n+1)$ terms. We then expand further each of the terms in (VII.94) arising from $1 \leq j \leq 2n-1$. Each such term is a sum of expectations with one vertex of the form $d(a_j a_{j+1})$, which we expand as

$$d(a_j a_{j+1}) = (da_j) a_{j+1} + a_j (da_{j+1}) .$$

We end up expressing bh_{2n-1} as a sum of $8n^2$ expectations. We want to combine the terms in pairs, as in the proof of (VI.8). However, the expectations also contain one $\dot{q}(\lambda)$ vertex; thus we are missing the terms of the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2n} \langle a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, a_j \dot{q}(\lambda) - \dot{q}(\lambda) a_j, \dots, d_\lambda a_{2n}; g \rangle_{2n} .$$

Thus we add and subtract this sum. Hence (using $(-1)^n = -(-1)^{[(2n-1)/2]}$)

$$\begin{aligned} (bh_{2n-1})(a_0, \dots, a_{2n}; g) &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \langle a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, [\dot{q}(\lambda), a_j], \dots, d_\lambda a_{2n}; g \rangle_{2n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (-1)^j h_{2n}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, d_\lambda a_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{2n}; g) , \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

We now add (VII.91) and (VII.93) to yield

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial h)_{2n}(a_0, \dots, a_{2n}; g) &= - \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j h_{2n}(a_0, \dots, d_\lambda a_j, \dots, a_{2n}; g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \langle a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, [\dot{q}(\lambda), a_j], \dots, d_\lambda a_{2n}; g \rangle_{2n} . \end{aligned}$$

Each term in the first sum exists as a consequence of Proposition VII.12.iii, while each term in the second sum occurs in the sum for $L_{2n}(\lambda)$; hence it too is defined. We rewrite the first sum using the identity (V.69). Here we must add and subtract

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \langle a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, d_\lambda a_k, d_\lambda \dot{q}(\lambda), \dots, d_\lambda a_{2n}; g \rangle_{2n+1} .$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial h)_{2n}(a_0, \dots, a_{2n}; g) &= + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \langle a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, [\dot{q}(\lambda), a_j], \dots, d_\lambda a_{2n}; g \rangle_{2n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \tau_{2n+1}^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, a_1, \dots, \dot{q}(\lambda), \dots, a_{2n}; g) . \end{aligned}$$

The right side of this identity is exactly $L_{2n}(\lambda)$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

VII.6 Equivalence of Parallel, Radon Hyperplanes

The basic ingredient in the definition of the cocycle τ^{JLO} is $\hat{X}(\beta)$. This operator is the Radon transform of the heat kernel regularization $X(s)$ of $(n+1)$ vertices, evaluated on the hyperplane $\beta = s_0 + \dots + s_n$. Until now, we restricted attention to the hyperplane $\beta = 1$. In this section, we consider a general, parallel, hyperplane defined by $\beta > 0$. We define the corresponding $\tau^{\text{JLO},\beta}$ and show that both $\tau^{\text{JLO},\beta}$ and $\tau^{\text{JLO}} = \tau^{\text{JLO},1}$ belong to the same equivalence class. This justifies our restriction earlier to the case $\beta = 1$.

Let $\{\mathcal{H}, Q, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ denote a Θ -summable, fractionally-differentiable structure. Let $X = \{a_0, da_1, \dots, da_n\}$ be an $(n+1)$ -vertex set, where $a_j \in \mathfrak{A}$. Define the expectation $\tau^{\text{JLO},\beta}$ with components

$$\tau_n^{\text{JLO},\beta}(a_0, \dots, a_n; g) = \beta^{-n} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) \hat{X}(\beta) \right) , \quad (\text{VII.95})$$

where $\hat{X}(\beta)$ denotes the Radon transform of the heat kernel regularization of X evaluated on the hyperplane $\sum_{j=0}^n s_j = \beta$.

Proposition VII.15. *The expectation $\tau^{\text{JLO},\beta} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$. Furthermore $\tau^{\text{JLO},\beta} \in [\tau^{\text{JLO},1}]$. In particular, $\tau^{\text{JLO},\beta}$ is a cocycle for each $\beta > 0$.*

Proof. The statement $\tau^{\text{JLO},\beta} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ follows immediately from the estimates already proved for $X^{\text{JLO}}(s)$, at least in the case $0 < \beta \leq 1$. For $\beta > 1$ we require minor modification of the constants in certain bounds; we leave this to the reader.

Note that the scaling properties of the Radon transform ensure that $\tau_n^{\text{JLO},\beta}$ defined for Q is identical with $\tau_n^{\text{JLO},1}$ defined for $\beta^{1/2}Q$. Hence $\tau_n^{\text{JLO},\beta}$ is a cocycle. In order to demonstrate that

$\tau^{\text{JLO},\beta}$ belongs to the same equivalence class as τ^{JLO} , we need only study the family $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\beta^{1/2})$ given by $\{\mathcal{H}, \beta^{1/2}Q, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$. As a function of $\beta^{1/2}$, we have a linear perturbation of Q . Define $Q(\beta^{1/2}) = Q + q(\beta^{1/2})$, where $q(\beta^{1/2}) = (\beta^{1/2} - 1)Q$. Since $Q \in \mathcal{T}(0, 1)$, and it has norm 1, we infer that $Q(\beta^{1/2})$ is a regular Q -family. Thus Theorem VII.1 shows that $\tau^{\text{JLO},\beta} = \tau^{\text{JLO}} + \partial H$, as long as for $|\beta^{1/2} - 1| < 1$. With redefinition of the starting point of the homotopy from $\beta = 1$ to $\beta = 2$, etc., we show that $\tau^{\text{JLO},\beta}$ is cohomologous for all $\beta > 0$. This completes the proof.

VIII End Points

We often encounter a family $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ which is a regular family for λ in the interior of a set Λ , but for which we lack some relevant information λ tends to the boundary. In fact, this often arises in the case that Λ is an interval and λ tends to one endpoint of Λ . We consider here a case of such a phenomenon. For simplicity, let us assume $\lambda \in \Lambda = (0, 1]$, with $\lambda = 0$ the singular endpoint.

VIII.1 End Point Regularization

As an example, we replace the energy operator $Q(\lambda)^2$ by the regularized energy operator $H(\epsilon, \lambda)$,

$$H(\epsilon, \lambda) = Q(\lambda)^2 + \epsilon^2 Z^* Z . \quad (\text{VIII.1})$$

Here ϵ is a real, non-zero parameter and $Z^* Z \geq 0$ is an operator chosen so that it regularizes $Q(\lambda)^2$. This means that if $\beta > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ are fixed, then

$$\text{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta H(\epsilon, \lambda)} \right) \quad (\text{VIII.2})$$

is bounded uniformly in λ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Suppose that $Z^* Z$ commutes with the representation of $\mathfrak{G}$, namely for all $g \in \mathfrak{G}$,

$$U(g)Z^* Z = Z^* Z U(g) ,$$

and also suppose that $\gamma Z^* Z = Z^* Z \gamma$. Then in defining the heat kernel regularizations $\hat{X}$ of sets of vertices, or in defining expectations, we can replace $\exp(-s_j Q(\lambda)^2)$ with $\exp(-s_j H(\epsilon, \lambda))$. We obtain

$$\langle \hat{X}; g \rangle_n(\epsilon, \lambda) = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; g \rangle_n(\epsilon, \lambda) . \quad (\text{VIII.3})$$

Similarly, we arrive at a regularized JLO cochain $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\epsilon, \lambda)$, by using regularized expectations in place of expectations (IV.16) or (V.62). In particular

$$\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(a_0, \dots, a_n; g)(\epsilon, \lambda) = \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) \hat{X}^{\text{JLO}}(\epsilon, \lambda) \right) \quad (\text{VIII.4})$$

where $X^{\text{JLO}} = \{a_0, d_\lambda a_1, \dots, d_\lambda a_n\}$. In general, for $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$, one can define

$$\hat{X}(\epsilon, \lambda) = \int x_0 e^{-s_0 H(\epsilon, \lambda)} x_1 e^{-s_1 H(\epsilon, \lambda)} \dots x_n e^{-s_n H(\epsilon, \lambda)} \delta(s_0 + \dots + s_{n-1}) ds_0 \dots ds_n. \quad (\text{VIII.5})$$

Given $a \in \mathfrak{A}^\mathfrak{G}$ and $a^2 = I$, the regularized cochain $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{\tau_n^{\text{JLO}}(\epsilon, \lambda)\}$ yields the regularized pairing defined as

$$\mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)}(a; g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int e^{-t^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-H(\epsilon, \lambda) + it d_\lambda a} \right) dt. \quad (\text{VIII.6})$$

This pairing $\mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)}(a; g)$ converges as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to $\mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda)}(a; g)$ of (I.28). However, $\tau^{\text{JLO}}(\epsilon, \lambda)$ is not a cocycle. Nor is the pairing (VIII.6) an invariant function of λ or of ϵ . For $\epsilon \neq 0$, the pairing $\mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)}(a; g)$ depends on both ϵ and λ .

VIII.2 Exchange of Limits

In certain examples, we have studied the dependence of $\mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)}$ on ϵ and λ in detail, at least in a neighborhood of $\epsilon = \lambda = 0$, see [15]. In these examples we have shown that $\mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda)}$ can be recovered from $\mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, 0)}$. Also we choose the regularizing factor $Z^* Z$ to be sufficiently simple so that we can evaluate $\mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, 0)}$ in closed form. On the other hand, we are interested in knowing $\mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda)} = \mathfrak{Z}^{H(0, \lambda)}$ for $\lambda > 0$, where it is constant. The important fact is that the function $\mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)}$ is *not* jointly continuous in (ϵ, λ) in the unit square $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ at the point $(0, 0)$.

However, another fact saves the day; it is our ability to prove that while $\mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)}(a, g)$ is not jointly continuous in (ϵ, λ) in the unit square and for every value of $g \in \mathfrak{G}$, this function *is* jointly continuous in (ϵ, λ) for *almost every* value of $g \in \mathfrak{G}$. We establish this continuity in the examples, with the aid of a new expansion that we name the *holonomy expansion*. As a consequence of the expansion, we obtain bounds on

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)} \quad (\text{VIII.7})$$

of the form

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)} \right| \leq M\epsilon, \quad \left| \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)} \right| \leq M\epsilon^2, \quad (\text{VIII.8})$$

for $0 \leq \epsilon, \lambda, 0 < \epsilon + \lambda$, and sufficiently close to $(\epsilon, \lambda) = (0, 0)$. Thus we obtain,

$$\left| \mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, 0)} - \mathfrak{Z}^{H(0, \lambda)} \right| \leq O(\epsilon^2), \quad (\text{VIII.9})$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We combine this information with two other facts: (i) in the examples, the explicit form of $\mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, 0)}$ has a pointwise limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for almost all $g \in \mathfrak{G}$. (ii) In Corollary VII.2, we established the *a priori* continuity of $\mathfrak{Z}^{H(0, \lambda)}$ as a function of $g \in \mathfrak{G}$. These two pieces of information ensure

that for almost all $g \in \mathfrak{G}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}^{Q(\lambda)}(a, g) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)}(a, g) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathfrak{Z}^{H(\epsilon, \lambda)} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathfrak{Z}^{Q(0)^2 + \epsilon^2 Z^* Z}(a, g) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VIII.10})$$

The right side of (VIII.10) can be evaluated, and extends by continuity to all $g \in \mathfrak{G}$.

IX Split Structures

We define *splitting* of Q as a decomposition

$$Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_1 + Q_2) , \quad (\text{IX.1})$$

such that also

$$Q^2 = \frac{1}{2}(Q_1^2 + Q_2^2) .$$

A splitting is associated with corresponding derivatives on $\mathfrak{A}$ given by

$$da = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(d_1 a + d_2 a) , \quad d_j a = [Q_j, a] . \quad (\text{IX.2})$$

In §IX.1 we specify this assumption in more detail. Clearly a splitting into a sum of many parts is possible, but we concentrate here on a splitting in two.

As in earlier sections, we assume

$$\text{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta Q^2} \right) < \infty , \quad \text{for } \beta > 0 . \quad (\text{IX.3})$$

However, we do not assume that $\text{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta Q_j^2} \right)$ exists for the individual Q_1 or Q_2 . In addition, while we assume that the group $U(g)$ of unitary symmetries commutes with Q_1 , we do not assume that Q or Q_2 are necessarily invariant. For these reasons, the resulting framework will be different from the equivariant framework studied earlier.

Within this revised setting we generalize the cochain τ^{JLO} to a cochain $\tau^{\{Q_j\}}$ defined on a suitable algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. Letting $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ denote the pointwise- $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant part of $\mathfrak{A}$, we obtain for $a \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}}$ and $a^2 = I$ the following expression for a pairing:

$$\mathfrak{Z}^{\{Q_j\}}(a, g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-Q^2 + it d_1 a} \right) dt . \quad (\text{IX.4})$$

While this formula bears a close resemblance to (VI.4), the resulting pairing $\mathfrak{Z}^{\{Q_j(\lambda)\}}$ in general is not an invariant. If $Q_j(\lambda)$ depends on a parameter λ , then $\mathfrak{Z}^{\{Q_j\}}(a; g)$ remains a function of λ .

However, we describe a special family of variations $Q_j(\lambda)$ and algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, such that (IX.4) is an invariant. Within this class of variations, we find that, as previously, there is a cochain $h(\lambda)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \tau^{\{Q_j(\lambda)\}} = \partial h^{\{Q_j(\lambda)\}} . \quad (\text{IX.5})$$

IX.1 A Q_1 -Invariant Splitting

We split the self-adjoint operator Q into a sum of self-adjoint operators Q_1 and Q_2 , as in (IX.1). Each operator Q_1 , Q_2 , and Q is odd under γ ,

$$Q_j \gamma + \gamma Q_j = 0 , \quad j = 1, 2 . \quad (\text{IX.6})$$

Furthermore, the decomposition (IX.3) of Q^2 has the interpretation that Q_1 and Q_2 generate *independent* translations. Algebraically,

$$Q_1 Q_2 + Q_2 Q_1 = 0 . \quad (\text{IX.7})$$

Recall that the Q is assumed to be essentially self-adjoint on the domain $\mathcal{D}$; such a domain is called a core for a symmetric operator. The core is invariant if $Q\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a common, invariant core for Q_1 and Q_2 , then products are defined on $\mathcal{D}$ and on this domain

$$[Q_1, Q_2^2] = 0 = [Q_2, Q_1^2] . \quad (\text{IX.8})$$

Definition IX.1. *The self-adjoint operator Q splits into the sum of two independent parts, if there is a common, invariant core for Q_1 , Q_2 , and Q , such that the bounded functions of Q_1 and Q_2 commute with the bounded functions of Q_1^2 and of Q_2^2 .*

Part of the definition that Q splits into a sum of two independent parts, involves the assumption that the spectral projections of Q_1 commute with those of Q_2^2 . Hence the unbounded, self-adjoint operators Q_1 and Q_2^2 commute.

Complementing H defined in (IX.3), we define the self-adjoint operator⁷

$$P = \frac{1}{2} (Q_1^2 - Q_2^2) . \quad (\text{IX.9})$$

⁷The notation H and P is suggestive of energy and momentum. In fact, this is no accident, as such examples arise in space-time supersymmetry. In that case, Q_1 and Q_2 are generators of symmetries arising from two space-time directions, and $Q_1^2 = H + P$ and $Q_2^2 = H - P$, with H and P being the energy and momentum operators. Combined with the equation for independence of Q_1 and Q_2 , this is called the algebra for space-time supersymmetry (in a two-dimensional space-time). The condition (IX.9–10), supplemented by $0 \leq H = Q^2$, can be interpreted as a restriction of special relativity for the energy-momentum to lie in (or on) the positive cone.

Note that P and H commute. Furthermore as a consequence of (IX.3,9), we infer

$$\pm P \leq H , \quad (\text{IX.10})$$

and the joint spectrum of H and P lies in a cone.

Let us define the Sobolev spaces $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \mathcal{D}((H+I)^{\alpha/2})$, which are Hilbert spaces with inner product defining a norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} = \|(H+I)^{\alpha/2}f\|$. The space $\mathcal{T}(\beta, \alpha)$ of bounded linear transformations from $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ to $\mathcal{H}_\beta$ is a Banach space with norm $\|T\|_{(\beta, \alpha)} = \|(H+I)^{\beta/2}T(H+I)^{-\alpha/2}\|$. Thus (IX.9) can be interpreted as saying $P \in \mathcal{T}(-1, 1)$ with

$$\|P\|_{(-1, 1)} \leq 1 . \quad (\text{IX.11})$$

In the equivariant case, we also are interested in the unitary group of symmetries $U(g)$ acting on $\mathcal{H}$. We assume as in earlier sections that

$$\gamma U(g) = U(g)\gamma \text{ and } Q^2 U(g) = U(g)Q^2 \quad (\text{IX.12})$$

for all $g \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Definition IX.2. *A splitting (IX.1) is Q_1 -invariant, if (IX.9) holds and also for all $g \in \mathfrak{G}$,*

$$Q_1 U(g) = U(g)Q_1 . \quad (\text{IX.13})$$

Note that a Q_1 -invariant splitting has the property

$$Q_2^2 U(g) = U(g)Q_2^2 . \quad (\text{IX.14})$$

Let us define two parameter abelian representation

$$V(t, x) = e^{itH + ixP} . \quad (\text{IX.15})$$

The relation (IX.6) ensures that for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\gamma V(t, x) = V(t, x)\gamma . \quad (\text{IX.16})$$

Definition IX.3. *The representation $V(t, x)$ is $U(g)$ -invariant if $V(t, x)U(g) = U(g)V(t, x)$ for all $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ and all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.*

IX.2 Observables

We define a new algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ of observables, suitable for a Q_1 -invariant splitting of Q . First we define an interpolation space $\mathcal{T}_{\beta,\alpha}^{(1)}$ based on the d_1 derivative. In particular, let

$$\mathcal{T}_{\beta,\alpha}^{(1)} = \{b : b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \text{ and } R_1^\beta(d_1 b)R_1^\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\}, \quad (\text{IX.17})$$

where $R_1 = (Q_1^2 + I)^{-1/2}$. We give $\mathcal{T}_{\beta,\alpha}^{(1)}$ the norm

$$\|b\|_{\mathcal{T}_{\beta,\alpha}^{(1)}} = \|b\| + c_{\alpha+\beta} \|R_1^\beta(d_1 b)R_1^\alpha\|. \quad (\text{IX.18})$$

Here $c_{\alpha+\beta}$ is defined in (V.77). Clearly $\mathcal{T}_{\beta,\alpha}^{(1)}$ is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{G}$ defined by conjugation with $U(g)$, as a consequence of (IX.11–12). Define the (spatial) translate $b(x)$ of an element $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$b(x) = e^{ixP} b e^{-ixP} = V(0, x) b V(0, x)^*. \quad (\text{IX.19})$$

Definition IX.4. *The zero-momentum subalgebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_0$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ consists of all elements $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $b(x) = b$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

We remark that $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_0$ if and only if

$$Pb = bP. \quad (\text{IX.20})$$

We assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ is a Banach-subalgebra of the interpolation space

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_0 \cap \mathcal{T}_{\beta,\alpha}^{(1)}, \quad (\text{IX.21})$$

which we call the zero-momentum subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}_{\beta,\alpha}^{(1)}$. Here α, β satisfy $0 \leq \alpha, \beta$, with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Assume the pointwise, γ -invariance of $\mathfrak{A}$, namely $a = a^\gamma$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Furthermore assume

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{T}_{\beta,\alpha}^{(1)}} \leq \|a\|. \quad (\text{IX.22})$$

Also let $\mathfrak{A}^\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ denote the pointwise- $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$.

The analysis of the interpolation spaces $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_0 \cap \mathcal{T}_{\beta,\alpha}^{(10)}$ follows step by step the analysis of the interpolation spaces $\mathcal{T}_{\beta,\alpha}$ in §V. In order to carry this out, we note the following:

Lemma IX.4. *Let $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_0$. Then as a bilinear form on $\mathcal{D}(Q^2) \times \mathcal{D}(Q^2) \subset \mathcal{D}(Q_1^2) \times \mathcal{D}(Q_1^2)$,*

$$d^2 b = d_1^2 b. \quad (\text{IX.23})$$

The proof of this lemma is an elementary consequence of $[P, b] = 0$. Thus estimates on d^2b can be reduced to estimates on d_1^2b . Also

$$R = (Q^2 + I)^{-1/2} = (Q_1^2 + Q_2^2 + I)^{-1/2} \leq (Q_1^2 + I)^{-1/2} = R_1 . \quad (\text{IX.24})$$

As a result, we can reduce all estimates in the proof of this statement to estimates of same form as those of §V. In particular, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_0 \cap \mathcal{T}_{\beta, \alpha}^{(1)}$ is a Banach algebra, and we can prove analogs of Proposition V.5 (with R_1 replacing R and d_1 replacing d), as well as Corollary V.16, Proposition V.7, and Corollary V.8.

IX.3 The Cochain $\tau^{\{Q_i\}}$ and Invariants

Let Q in (IX.1) denote a Q_1 -invariant, splitting of Q . Let

$$\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_0 \cap \mathcal{T}_{\beta, \alpha}^{(1)} , \quad 0 \leq \alpha, \beta , \quad \alpha + \beta < 1 ,$$

denote the zero-momentum algebra of observables, with fractional Q_1 -derivatives, as in §IX.2. Let

$$\{\mathcal{H}, Q, Q_i, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\} \quad (\text{IX.25})$$

denote a Q_1 -invariant, split, fractionally-differentiable structure, generalizing Definition VI.1 to the Q_1 -invariant, split case. Here we also assume the hypothesis of Θ -summability for $\exp(-\beta Q^2)$, $\beta > 0$.

Define a cochain $\tau\{Q_i\}$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ with components,

$$\tau_n^{\{Q_i\}}(a_0, \dots, a_n) = \langle a_0, d_1 a_1, \dots, d_1 a_n; g \rangle_n , \quad (\text{IX.26})$$

where the $(n+1)$ -linear expectation $\langle \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle_n$ in (IX.26) is defined in (IV.16). The results of §IX.2 allow us to establish the following Propositions.

Proposition IX.5. a. *Let $\{\mathcal{H}, Q, Q_i, \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ be as in (IX.25), and let $\tau\{Q_i\}$ be as in (IX.26). Then $\tau^{\{Q_i\}} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$. There exists a constant $m < \infty$ such that*

$$\|\tau_n^{\{Q_i\}}\| \leq m^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1-\alpha-\beta}{2} \right)} \text{Tr} \left(e^{-Q^2/2} \right) . \quad (\text{IX.27})$$

b. *Let $a \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{G}})$ satisfy $a^2 = I$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{Z}^{\{Q_i\}}(a; g) = \langle \tau^{\{Q_i\}}, a \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(g) a e^{-Q^2 + it d_1 a} \right) dt \quad (\text{IX.28})$$

is the natural pairing of cochains in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ with elements $a \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathfrak{A}^\Theta)$ satisfying $a^2 = I$. Here Tr denotes both the trace in $\mathcal{H}$ and the trace in $\text{Mat}_n(\mathfrak{A})$.

c. The cochain $\tau^{\{Q_i\}}$ is a cocycle,

$$\partial \tau^{\{Q_i\}} = 0 . \quad (\text{IX.29})$$

The proof of Proposition IX.5 again follows the proofs in §VI. We can also generalize the results of §VII–VIII. In order to understand the parameter dependence of $\tau^{\{Q_i(\lambda)\}}$ on a parameter λ , we consider in particular the following common case, where the parameter λ is called a *coupling constant*:

Definition IX.6. We say that the splitting $Q(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_1(\lambda) + Q_2(\lambda))$ depends parametrically on a coupling constant λ , if $Q(\lambda)$ depends on λ , but $P = \frac{1}{2}(Q_1(\lambda)^2 - Q_2(\lambda)^2)$ is independent of λ .

As far as the analytic bounds are concerned, for a regular, linear deformation we retain the assumptions formulated in §VII.2.b. We also suppose that, as in §VII.2.c–d, the symmetry group $U(g)$ and the algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ are both independent of λ . Alternatively, in the case of a regular deformation, we retain Definition VII.7 of §VII.3. We can then extend Theorem VII.1 and its corollary to the case of Q_1 -invariant, split structures, following our preceding work. We summarize this result:

Theorem IX.7. a. Let $\{\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda), Q_i(\lambda), \gamma, U(g), \mathfrak{A}\}$ be a regular family (in the sense explained above) of Θ -summable, split, Q -invariant, fractionally-differentiable structures, depending on a coupling constant λ in the sense of Definition VII.6. Then the family of cocycles $\{\tau^{\{Q_i(\lambda)\}}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ is continuously differentiable in λ as a function $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$. There is a continuous family of cochains $h(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \tau^{\{Q_i(\lambda)\}} = \partial h(\lambda) .$$

Furthermore the pairing of $\tau^{\{Q_i(\lambda)\}}$ with $a \in \text{Mat}_n\{\mathfrak{A}^\Theta\}$ satisfying $a^2 = I$ and given by (IX.27), namely

$$\mathfrak{Z}\{Q_i\}(a, g) = \langle \tau^{\{Q_i(\lambda)\}}, a \rangle , \quad (\text{IX.30})$$

is independent of $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

b. Suppose that instead of the λ -dependence in $Q_i(\lambda)$ arises from a coupling constant, we substitute the condition that $Q_1(\lambda)$ commutes with a , and that $Q_2(\lambda)$ is independent of λ . Then $Z(a, g)$ is λ -independent for $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

IX.4 An Example of a Split Structure

We mention here an example which we analyze elsewhere by these methods [16]. The splitting arises from space-time supersymmetry, as suggested in footnote 6 or whatever. In our example

we assume the existence of $N = 2$ supersymmetry. This means that there exist four, pairwise, mutually-independent self-adjoint operators $Q_1, Q_2, \tilde{Q}_1$, and $\tilde{Q}_2$ such that for a given H and P ,

$$Q_1^2 = \tilde{Q}_1^2 = H + P, \quad Q_2^2 = \tilde{Q}_2^2 = H - P. \quad (\text{IX.31})$$

We take for the group symmetry $\mathfrak{G}$ the group $U(1) \times U(1)$ constructed in the following manner: one factor $U(1)$ has the form $e^{i\theta J}$, where the generator J commutes with γ , with H , and with P . Furthermore J commutes with Q_1 and $\tilde{Q}_1$. On the other hand,

$$Q_2(\theta) = e^{i\theta J} Q_2 e^{-i\theta J} = Q_2 \cos \theta + \tilde{Q}_2 \sin \theta. \quad (\text{IX.32})$$

Thus

$$Q_2(\theta)^2 = H - P = Q_2^2$$

and J commutes with $Q_2(\theta)^2$. The other $U(1)$ has the form e^{itP} ; this is clearly a symmetry of both Q_1 and Q_2 , as well as with γ . We take

$$U(\tau, \theta) = e^{i\tau P + i\theta J}. \quad (\text{IX.33})$$

In this example, we study [16] the equivariant index $\mathfrak{Z}^{\{Q_i\}}(I; g)$, namely

$$\mathfrak{Z}(\tau - i, \theta) = \text{Tr} \left(\gamma U(\tau, \theta) e^{-Q^2} \right), \quad (\text{IX.34})$$

where $g = (\tau, \theta)$. This index was considered in the physics literature [32, 20]. We can justify its evaluation in a certain class of non-linear quantum field theories (Wess–Zumino field theory examples arising from polynomial, quasi-homogeneous superpotentials, which also satisfy an elliptic estimate) as a product of modular forms [15, 16]. The modular symmetry in (τ, θ) is a hidden symmetry of the original example.

References

- [1] B. Blackadar, K-Theory for operator algebras, *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications* 5, Springer, 1986.
- [2] J. Block and E. Getzler, Equivariant cyclic cohomology and equivariant differential forms, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **27** (1994), 493–527.
- [3] A. Connes, Non-commutative geometry, Academic Press, 1994.
- [4] ———, Entire cyclic cohomology of Banach algebras and characters of Θ -summable Fredholm modules, *K-Theory* **1** (1988), 519–548.
- [5] ———, On the Chern character of Θ -summable Fredholm modules, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **139** (1991), 171–181.
- [6] A. Connes and H. Moscovici, Cyclic cohomology, the Novikov conjecture and hyperbolic groups, *Topology* **29** (1990), 345–388.
- [7] ———, The local index formula in non-commutative geometry.
- [8] J. Cuntz and D. Quillen, Algebra extensions and nonsingularity, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1995), 251–289.
- [9] ———, Cyclic homology and nonsingularity, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1995), 373–442.
- [10] K. Ernst, P. Feng, A. Jaffe, and A. Lesnewski, Quantum K -Theory, II, *J. Funct. Anal.* **90** (1990), 355–368.
- [11] I. Gelfand and N. Ya. Vilenkin, Generalized Functions, Volume IV: Applications of Harmonic Analysis, Academic Press 1964.
- [12] E. Getzler and A. Szenes, On the Chern character of a theta-summable Fredholm module, *J. Funct. Anal.* **84** (1989), 343–357.
- [13] A. Jaffe, Heat kernel regularization and infinite dimensional analysis. In *Mathematical Proceedings*, Volume 9, J. Feldman and L. Rosen, Editors, American Mathematical Society, 1988.
- [14] ———, Lecture on the occasion of the retirement of A. S. Wightman, Princeton University, April 1992, unpublished.
- [15] ———, The holonomy expansion, index theory, and approximate supersymmetry, preprint.
- [16] ———, Hidden Symmetry, in preperation.

- [17] A. Jaffe, A. Lesniewski, and K. Osterwalder, Quantum K-Theory I. The Chern Character, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118** (1988), 1–14.
- [18] ———, On super-KMS functionals and entire cyclic cohomology, *K-Theory* **2** (1989), 675–682.
- [19] A. Jaffe, A. Lesniewski, and M. Wisniewski, Deformations of super-KMS functionals, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989), 527–540.
- [20] Toshiya Kawai, Yasuhiko Yamada, and Sung-Kil Yang, Elliptic Genera and $N = 2$ Superconformal Field Theory, *Nuclear Phys. B* **414** (1994) 191–212.
- [21] D. Kastler, Cyclic cocycles from graded KMS functionals, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989), 345–350.
- [22] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer, 1966.
- [23] M. Khalkhali, Algebraic connections, universal bimodules, and entire cyclic cohomology, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **161** (1994), 433–446.
- [24] S. Klimek and A. Lesniewski, The Chern character for equivariant cyclic cohomology, *K-Theory* **4** (1991), 219–226.
- [25] S. Klimek, W. Kondracki, and A. Lesniewski, Equivariant entire cyclic cohomology I, Finite Groups, *K-Theory* **4** (1991), 201–218.
- [26] A. Lesniewski and K. Osterwalder, Superspace formulation of the Chern character of a theta-summable Fredholm module, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **168** (1995), 643–650.
- [27] V. Nistor, A bivariant Chern-Connes character, *Ann. Math.* **138** (1993), 555–590.
- [28] R. Nest and B. Tsygan, Algebraic index theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **172** (1995), 223–262.
- [29] D. Quillen, Algebra, cochains and cyclic cohomology, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **68** (1989), 139–174.
- [30] E. Stein, Harmonic Analysis, Princeton 193.
- [31] E. Witten, Supersymmetry and Morse theory, *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), 661–692.
- [32] ———, On the Landau-Ginzburg description of $N = 2$ minimal models. *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* **9** (1994), 4783–4800.